

**Information Systems for a
Connected Society**

CAiSE'03

Forum

Forum for short contributions at
The 15th Conference on
Advanced Information Systems Engineering
Klagenfurt/ Velden, Austria, 16 – 20 June, 2003

**Proceedings
Short Papers**

Edited by
Johann Eder
Tatjana Welzer

Table of Contents

XML-RL Update Language	1
Li Lu, Mengchi Liu, Guoren Wang (Canada)	
Graph Decomposition and Recursive Closures	5
Yangjun Chen (Canada)	
Updateable Views for an XML Query Language	9
Hania Kozankiewicz, Jacek Leszczyowski, Kazimierz Subieta, Kazimierz Subieta (Poland)	
Requirements Driven Data Warehouse Development	13
Naveen Prakash, Anjana Gosain (Australia)	
Accessing Relational Databases via XML Schema	17
Chengfei Liu, Minyi Guo, Jixue Liu (India)	
Towards the Automation of Data Warehouse Logical Design: a Rule-Based Approach	21
Verónica Peralta, Álvaro Illarce, Raúl Ruggia (Uruguay)	
A Logic-Based Formalism to Model and Analyze Workflow Executions	25
Gianluigi Greco, Antonella Guzzo, Domenico Saccà (Italy)	
View Generation and Optimisation in the AutoMed Data Integration Framework	29
Edgar Jasper, Nerissa Tong, Peter McBrien, Alex Poulouvassilis (UK)	
A Methodology for Multilevel Database Design	33
Eduardo Fernandez-Medina, Mario Piattini (Spain)	
Improving Termination Analysis of Active Rules with Priorities	37
Alain Couchot (France)	
Adjustment of Process Transactional Properties for Flexible Workflow	41
Jelena Zdravkovic (Sweden)	
Improving the Efficiency of Workflow Analysis	45
Zerguini Louicif (The Netherlands)	
A study of Object Evolution	49
Mourad Oussalah, Dalila Gonzalezit (France)	

Metadata Repositories as Infrastructure for Database Oriented Applications	53
Stefan Jablonski, Ilia Petrov, Christian Meiler, Udo Mayer (Germany)	
Administration Console for the CSAP System	57
Fredj Dridi, Björn Muschal, Güntler Pernul (Germany)	
Exploiting Generalization for the Composition of Transformations of XML	61
Marek Lehmann (Austria)	
MILK Mobile Support for Knowledge Management	65
Carla Valle, Elke Hinrichs, Karl-Heinz Klein, Gerd Woetzel Thomas Koch (Germany)	
OMT: Overlap Minimizing Top-down Bulk Loading Algorithm for R-tree	69
Taewon Lee, Sukho Lee (Republic of Korea)	
Development of Embedded System for storing and retrieving XML data	73
Joonho Kwon, Dongseop Kwon, Hyeoseop Shin, Sukho Lee (Republic of Korea)	
A Bi-Temporal Data Warehouse Model	77
Christian Koncilia (Austria)	
A Scenario Description Language Based on Action Frame	81
Zhang Hong Hui, Atsushi Ohnishi (Japan)	
Semantic Annotation and Retrieval of Digital Photos	85
Mathias Lux, Jutta Becker, Harald Krottmaier (Austria)	
Conceptual Modelling of Mobile Object Systems: Some Language Constructs ...	89
Peter Ahlbrecht, Silke Eckstein, Karl Neumann (Germany)	
Evaluation of the SRA Tool Using Data Mining Techniques	93
Andreas Gregoriades, Alistair Sutcliffe, Haralampos Karanikas (UK)	
Extending UML to Represent XML Schemas	97
Belén Vela Sánchez, Esperanza Marcos Martínez (Spain)	
Ontology Mapping Through Analysis of Model Extension	101
Xiaomeng Su, Terje Brasethvik, Sari Hakkarainen (Norway)	
Context Modeling for Web Information Systems	105
Roland Kaschek, Klaus-Dieter Schewe, Bernhard Thalheim (New Zealand)	
Time Related Factors Of Data Accuracy, Currency, and Completeness: In Multi- Channel Information System	109
Cinzia Cappiello, Chiara Francalanci, Barbara Pernici (Italy)	

Virtual Communities: Analysis and Design Support	113
Ralf Klamann, Marc Spaniol, Matthias Jarke (Germany)	
Integrating With Temporal Constraints Heterogeneous Data Sources	117
Francisco Araque Cuenca (Spain)	
The Use of B2B Process Standards: A Case Study	121
Eva Söderström, Anette H Pettersson (Sweden)	
Exp-DB: Fast Development of Information Systems for Experiment Tracking	125
Omar A. Nacem, Stéphane Raymond, Anne Poupou, Mirosław Cygler, Bettina Kemme (Canada)	
A Shared-Context Information Workspace to Support Knowledge Processes	129
Ronald Maier, Johannes Sametinger (Austria)	
Approaches to Implementing Tailored Metaschemas in XML	133
Martin Bernauer, Gerti Kappel, Gerhard Kramler (Austria)	
From State to Structure: an XML Web Publishing Framework	137
Moiira C. Nouric, Alexios Palinginis (Switzerland)	
Towards a Meta Model for Distributed Business Transactions	141
Boriana Rukanova, Kees van Slooten, Robert Stegwee (The Netherlands)	
The Multirepresentation Ontologies: A Contextual Description Logics Approach	145
Djamal Benslimane, Ahmed Arara (France)	
Improving e-Form Layout Through Analysis of Form Semantics and Validation Checks	149
Costas Vassilakis, George Lepouras, Stathis Rouvas, Panagiotis Georgiadis (Greece)	
The Emergence of Knowledge e-Marketplace	153
Emanuela Pauselli (Italy)	
Metrics For Dynamics : How To Improve The Behaviour Of An Object Oriented Information System	157
Jean-louis Cavarero (France)	
Knowledge Channels. Bringing The Knowledge On The Web To Software Agents	161
J.L. Arjona, R. Corchuelo, D. Ruiz, J. Peña, M. Toro (Spain)	

Conceptual Modelling in Practice - Myth or Reality?	
I.G. Davies, P.F. Green, M. Rosemann (Australia)	
Improving CoP Knowledge Sharing: a CSCW Approach Based Alicia DIAZ, G�r�me CANALS (Argentina)	
Ontological Basis for Agent ADL	
St�phane Faulkner, Manuel Kolp (Belgium)	
Ontologies and Matching Techniques for Peer-based Knowledge S. Castano, A. Ferrara, S. Montanelli (Italy)	
Modelling Telecare Service Requirements For Older People Modelling Language	
Ken Lunn, Andrew Sixsmith, Ann Lindsay, Marja Vaaranen	
The EKP Approach To Building Organisational Memories - Two EU Projects	
Anne Persson, Janis Stirna (Sweden)	
Computing Event Dependencies in System Designs and Program Bruce Lee Liang, Leszek A. Maciaszek (Australia)	
Implementing Virtual Enterprises Using AGORA Multi-agent S Jinghai Rao, Sobah Abbas Poulussen (Norway)	
Recommendation Based Discovery of Dynamic Virtual Community Papagelis Manos, Plexousakis Dimitris (Greece)	
Metamodel-Compliance Checking of Requirements in a Semiformal Representation	
Hermann Kaindl, Stefan Kraner, Mario Hailing, Vahan Harizyan	
User Roles in Document Analysis	
Pasi Tiitinen (Finland)	
Reusing Models of Different Abstraction Levels	
Pinna Soffer (Israel)	
Distributed Data Clustering	
Abdelhamid Bouchachia (Austria)	
Component Engineering for Large Database Applications	
Bernhard Thalheim (Germany)	

Developing Web Applications from Conceptual Models	221
Vicente Pelechano, Joan Fons, Manoli Albert, Oscar Pastor (Spain)	
Developing Application-Centric Methods	225
Naveen Prakash, M. P. S. Bhatia (India)	
Software Confederations and Alliances	229
Jaroslav Kral, Michal Zenlicka (Czech Republic)	
Metamodels and Information Systems Engineering: a UML-based Approach....	233
Marie-Noelle Terrasse, George Becker, Marinette Savonnet, Eric Leclercq (France)	
A Flexible Time-based Pricing Policy for Charging Internet Services	237
Ming-Hua Lin, Chi-Chun Lo, Wayne Zhuang (Taiwan)	
Hybrid Publish/Subscribe: A Compromise Approach for Large-Scale	241
Roman Szarowski (Czech Republic)	
Information Dissemination based on Semantic Relations	245
Irina-Electra Katzianni, Dimitris Plexousakis (Greece)	
Modeling Probe-Agent Distributed System by Goal-oriented Approach	249
Yun Lin, Hao Ding (Norway)	
Towards a Component based Privacy Protector Architecture	253
Amr Ali Eldin, René Wagenaar (The Netherlands)	
A Collaborative Framework for Location-Based Services	257
Shijun YU, Marie-Aude Aufaure, Nadine Cullot, Stefano Spaccapietra (Switzerland)	
Author Index	261

definitions by replacing each construct of the parent schema by a disjunction (OR) of the corresponding constructs in the child schemas. Child schema constructs may later be made Void by contract transformations occurring further down the tree. The tree is traversed in this fashion from the root to the leaves until all the nodes are visited. The resulting view definitions are the GAV definitions for the global schema constructs over the local schemas.

For LAV views, the pathway from a local schema to the global schema is processed as above to derive the view definitions. The process is simpler than for GAV views because there is now only a single pathway being traversed, with no branching. More generally, views can be derived for any schema in terms of any set of other schemas provided that pathways linking all the schemas exist.

View definitions can be simplified after they have been generated. This saves later work for the query optimiser when these definitions are substituted into global queries for GAV query processing (which is what AutoMed supports).

The AutoMed query language, IQL, has bags (multi-sets) as its basic collection type, and supports two kinds of operator for manipulating them: bag union, ++, and also a family of operators all derived from one function fold. fold applies a given function to each element of a bag and then 'folds' a binary operator into the resulting values. For example, $sum = fold(id)(+) 0$ and $count = fold(lambda x.f)(+) 0$. Selection, projection, join and group-by operations can also be defined in terms of fold. Optimisations for fold apply to all operators that can be defined in terms of it. Two particular optimisations can be applied to view definitions generated from LAV pathways. Firstly, instances of Void can be removed. Void is equivalent to the empty bag and thus:

$$\begin{aligned} fold\ f\ op\ e\ Void &= fold\ f\ op\ e\ [] &&= e \\ Void\ ++\ e &= []\ ++\ e = e\ ++\ [] &&= e\ ++\ Void = e \\ Void\ OR\ e &= e\ OR\ Void &&= e \end{aligned}$$

Secondly, due to the step-wise fashion in which view definitions are generated, loop fusion may also be applicable. This optimisation replaces two successive iterations over a collection by one iteration provided the iterations satisfy certain algebraic properties. We refer the reader to [4] for a discussion of IQL, and for references to relevant work on fold-based functional query languages and optimisation techniques for them.

References

1. F. Jasper, N. Tong, P. McBrien, and A. Poulouassilis. View generation and optimisation in the AutoMed data integration framework. Technical report, AutoMed Project, 2003.
2. M. Lenzerini. Data integration: A theoretical perspective. In *Proc. PODS02*, pages 247-258, 2002.
3. P.J. McBrien and A. Poulouassilis. Data integration by bi-directional schema transformation rules. In *Proc. ICDE'03*, 2003.
4. A. Poulouassilis. The AutoMed Intermediate Query Language. Technical report, AutoMed Project, 2001.

A Methodology for Multilevel Databases

Eduardo Fernández-Medina and Mario Piattini

Escuela Superior de Informática, University of Castilla-La Mancha
 Paseo de la Universidad 4, 13071, Ciudad Real. (SPAIN). Tel: 34
 {Eduardo.FdczMedina, Mario.Piattini}@uclm.es <http://www.uclm.es>

Abstract. In a Connected Society, the importance of database privacy increases considerably. Security must be considered as a fundamental requirement in Information Systems (IS) development, and has to be considered at all stages of the development, instead of being an marginal requirement considered once the IS is already finished. We propose a methodology for designing secure databases, which allows to design databases taking into account constraints regarding sensitive information requirements phase.

1. Introduction

The Connected Society forces companies and enterprises to evolve, and to manage information properly in order to achieve their objectives and survive. Organizations depend increasingly on IS, which rely upon large data collections. Databases need increasingly more quality and security. Indeed the very existence of an organization depends on the correct management, security and confidentiality of information (Dhillon and Backhouse, 2000). The protection of data is a fundamental requirement, which must be considered carefully, not as an isolated element present in all stages of the database life cycle, from the requirements phase to implementation and maintenance. For this purpose, Hall and Chapman propose different ideas for integrating security in the system development process. Database security is only considered from the cryptographic point of view. In this sequence, we need to commit our efforts to design databases that are secure.

All the solutions that have been offered up until now are very imprecise, partial and isolated, and they do not solve the problem of database privacy. Moreover, they do not deal with the security problem at the design level. In these hands, in the traditional database methodologies, security is not considered. To come to solve this problem, we propose a methodological approach, which allows to design databases taking into account confidentiality from the earliest stages of the development process.

We have defined a methodology for designing secure databases from the UML models and the Object Constraint Language. It also adapts the development process and the methodology for developing secure databases that is in use.

¹ Partially supported by DOMEN (11C2000-1673-C06-06) and RETISS (11C2000-5023-E) of the Research Projects Subdirection of the Ministry of Science and Technology.

tano et al. (1994). The methodology allows to create conceptual and logical models of multilevel databases, and to implement them by using *Oracle9i Label Security*. There are a few proposals that try to integrate security into the software development process such as the Semantic Data Model for Security (Smith, 1991) and the Multilevel Object Modeling Technique (Marks et al., 1996), but they have only been prototypes. One more recent proposal is UMLSec (Jürgens, 2002) where UML is extended to develop secure systems. This approach is very interesting, but it only deals with IS, whilst databases are not considered.

2. Methodology Overview

This methodology allows us to classify the information according to its confidentiality properties and to which user roles will have access permissions. It is also possible to specify security constraints on that classification. The methodology ends implementing the multilevel database with *Oracle9i Label Security*.

The methodology will be iterative and incremental, driven by use cases, and centered on the architecture. The stages of the methodology are as follows: *Requirements gathering, System Analysis, Multilevel Relational Logical Design, and Specific Logical Design*.

We have extended Rational Rose, to include and manage automatically the security information and constraints in the multilevel database design process.

2.1. Requirements Gathering

The goal of this stage is to collect and represent requirements considering confidentiality aspects. The most important artifact of this stage is the *extended use case model*, which allows us to represent actors and use cases, indicating confidentiality properties of them through stereotypes. The extended use case model introduces the concept of *secure use case* and *authorized actor*. A secure use case is a use case that should be deeply studied from the point of view of security. An authorized actor is an actor that must have special authorizations in order to carry out a particular use case.

The activities of this stage are as follows: *Gathering initial requirements, creating the business model and the system glossary, looking for actors, looking for use cases, looking for persistent elements, describing use cases, analyzing security in actors and in use cases, defining priorities in use cases, structuring the use case model, looking for relationships between use cases, and reviewing use cases*. The most important activity is the *analysis of security in actors and use cases*, which consist of studying whether the use cases have confidentiality requirements, and whether the actors need special authorization in order to carry out the related use case.

2.2. System Analysis

The aim of this stage is to build the database conceptual model, considering all the requirements that have been collected in the previous activities. The conceptual model

is composed of the *extended class diagram* and a set of *security constraints* that are expressed through OSCL language (Piatini and Fernández-Medina, 2001).

The extended class diagram allows to specify confidentiality information in classes, attributes and associations, which indicates the conditions that the subjects have to fulfill to access them. On the other hand, the users are also provided with authorization information. The kinds of security information that have been considered in the methodology are *security levels* and *roles of authorized users*. If one security level is assigned to a class, it means that subjects have to be classified in at least the same level to access the information. If a set of roles is assigned to an element, it means that the subjects have to play at least one of those roles to access the element.

The OSCL language allows the specification of security constraints that define the information about security of classes, attributes or associations, depending on a particular condition. For instance, it is possible to define constraints that specifies that the security level of the objects belonging to a class will be more restrictive if the value of one of its attributes have one particular value. The syntax is easy to understand, because this language is based on the well-known Object Constraint Language.

The activities of this stage are as follows: *Architecture analysis, use case analysis, classes analysis, security analysis, and package analysis*.

2.3. Multilevel Relational Logical Design

Once a conceptual model has been developed, we can decide which logical database paradigm is interesting in each case. We have considered relational databases because they are the most used and widespread at present (Leavitt, 2000), but it could be possible to develop secure object-relational or object oriented databases. This stage is the bridge between the conceptual model and the implementation with a specific logical model. The components of the multilevel relational model are as follows: *Database relational model* (includes the definition of each relation or the database, considering the necessary attributes for representing the confidentiality information), *meta-information of the model* (each relation has associated a meta-information tuple, which includes the data type of the attributes, and the valid values of the attributes related to security information), and *security constraints*. The main activities of this stage deal with the transformation of all elements in the extended class diagram into the multilevel relational model.

2.4. Specific Logical Design

At the end of the previous stage, we have built a logical model of the secure database that is implementation independent. In this stage we specify the secure database in a particular logical model: *Oracle9i Label Security*. We have chosen this model because it is part of one of the most important DBMS that allows the implementation of label-based databases.

The activities of this stage are as follows: *Defining the database model (all the tables), defining the security policy and their default options, defining the security information in the security policy, creating the authorized users and assigning their au-*

thorizations, defining security information for tables through la
plementing security constraints through labeling functions and c
ates, and finally, implementing e, erations and controlling their:

3. Conclusions

Confluence of databases, IS and their application in business, to
quirements of laws and governments, make necessary more soph
to ensure data security.

Traditionally, information security deals with different research
control techniques, cryptographic methods, etc. Although all these
portant, we think that we should use a methodological approach,
different levels, is taken into account at all stages of the database
ess. In this paper we have summarized a methodology that has be
about security and usability, extending the modeling languages, pr
sistent languages and security models that are most accepted in the
search community. We have used the methodology to design a se
Spanish local government, and we have solved its confidentiality pr

4. References

- Castano, S., Fugini, M., Martella, G. and Samarati, P. (1994). Addison-Wesley.
- Dhillon, G. & Backhouse, J. (2000) Information system security in
new millennium. *Communications of the ACM*, 43, 7, 125-.
- Hall, A. & Chapman, R. (2002). Correctness by construction devel
cial secure system. *IEEE Software*, 19, 1, 18-25.
- Jürjens, J. (2002). UMLsec: Extending UML for secure systems de
zéquel, J., Hussmann, H. & Cook, S. (Eds.), *UML 2002 - U
cing Language, Model engineering, concepts and tools* (pp
many, Springer.
- Leavitt, N. (2000). Whatever happened to Object-Oriented Data
Trends, IEEE Computer Society, August, 16-19.
- Marks, D., Sell, P. and Thuraisingham, B. (1996). MOMT: A Multile
eting Technique for Designing Secure Database Application
ject-Oriented Programming, Vol. 9, N° 4, pp. 22-29.
- Piattini, M. & Fernández-Medina, E. (2001). Specification of securi
UML. In proceedings of the 35th Annual 2001 IEEE Interna
Conference on Security Technology (ICCST 2001), pp. 16
2001. London (UK).
- Smith, G.W. (1991). Modeling Security-Relevant Data Semantics. Proc
IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, Vol. 17, N° 11,
1195-1203.