

WWW

**iadis international conference**

# **WWW/Internet 2006**

**5 - 8 October 2006 - Murcia, SPAIN**

WWW

WWW

**PROCEEDINGS  
Volume II**

**Edited by:  
Pedro Isaías  
Miguel Baptista Nunes  
Inmaculada J. Martínez**

WWW



**iadis**

international association for development of the information society

**IADIS INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE**

**WWW/INTERNET 2006**



**PROCEEDINGS OF THE  
IADIS INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE  
WWW/INTERNET 2006**

**Volume II**

**MURCIA, SPAIN**

**OCTOBER 5 - 8, 2006**

Organised by  
**IADIS**

**International Association for Development of the Information Society**

Co- Organised por  
**Universidad de Murcia - Facultad de Comunicación y Documentación**

Copyright 2006

IADIS Press

All rights reserved

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, re-use of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other way, and storage in data banks. Permission for use must always be obtained from IADIS Press. Please contact [secretariat@iadis.org](mailto:secretariat@iadis.org)

Edited by Pedro Isaías, Miguel Baptista Nunes and Inmaculada J. Martínez

Associate Editors: Luís Rodrigues and Patrícia Barbosa

ISBN: 972-8924-19-4

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

## VOLUME II

FOREWORD	xi
PROGRAM COMMITTEE	xiii
KEYNOTE LECTURES	xix

## SHORT PAPERS

USING WQM FOR CLASSIFYING USABILITY METRICS <i>V.M.R. Penichet, C.Calero, M.D. Lozano and M. Piattini</i>	3
THE LAVA PROJECT: A SERVICE BASED APPROACH TO SUPPORTING EXPLORATORY LEARNING <i>Kristoffer Getchell, Alan Miller, Colin Allison, Clare Kerbey, Ruth Hardy, Rebecca Sweetman, Victoria Crook and Jeremy Complin</i>	8
THE TEACHER'S ROLE AND PERSPECTIVES TOWARD INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INTEGRATED CURRICULUM FOR YOUNG CHILDREN: THE CASE IN TAIWAN <i>Poh-Hwa Liang and Jin-Yi Wang</i>	14
SOCIAL COMPUTING AND USER LITERACY DEMOGRAPHICS: PREDICTORS FOR ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTURE PLANNING? <i>Deborah G. Coleman and Carla Lorek</i>	18
THE E-FOTO PROJECT: CONCEPTION AND DEVELOPMENT OF AN ENVIRONMENT FOR E-LEARNING IN DIGITAL PHOTOGRAMMETRY <i>Jorge L. N. e S. Brito, Luiz C. T. Coelho Filho, Francisco J. C. da Silveira, Guilherme L. A. Mota, Orlando Bernardo Filho, João A. Ribeiro, Marcelo T. Silveira and Rodrigo J. M. da Fonseca</i>	24
INTERNET AND FACULTY TRAINING PROGRAMS <i>Hosein Moeini</i>	29
CONSTRUCTION OF INTEGRATING OF CONCEPT CARTOONS INTO TWO-TIER ON-LINE TESTING SYSTEM <i>Tzu-Hua Huang, Yuan-Chen Liu, Tien-Ying Lin and Vaci Istanda</i>	34

MARKING STUDENT WORK ON THE WEB <i>Hu, Bo; Koster, Kai and Hu, Bin</i>	39
EXPLORING INTERACTIONS IN TEXT CHAT ROOMS <i>Mei-Ya Liang</i>	43
THE ASSESSMENT ON COOPERATIVE-LEARNING ONLINE GAMES AND ON THE TEACHING OF MATHEMATICS <i>Yuan-Chen Liu, Tzu-Hua Huang, Horng-Huei Wu and Vaci Istanda</i>	48
INVESTIGATING THE APPROPRIATENESS OF PERSONALISED INFORMATION FOR PEOPLE WITH MULTIPLE SCLEROSIS <i>Fadi Qutaishat, Steve Proberts and Mark Hepworth</i>	53
A FULLY WEB ORIENTED EXPERT SYSTEM TOOL <i>José L. López-Cuadrado, Israel González-Carrasco, Ángel García-Crespo and Belén Ruiz-Mezcua</i>	58
TOWARDS THE SEMANTIC WEB: EXTRACTING OWL ONTOLOGIES FROM SQL RELATIONAL SCHEMATA <i>Irina Astrova and Ahto Kalja</i>	62
WWW → WWW: MIGRATION TO THE WISDOM WEB <i>Julia A. Johnson and Grant G. O. Duncan</i>	67
MAPPING XML SCHEMA TO EXISTING OWL ONTOLOGIES <i>Toni Rodrigues, Pedro Rosa and Jorge Cardoso</i>	72
HISTREE - A HIERARCHICAL BACK MENU <i>Daniel Orner and I. Scott MacKenzie</i>	78
CANTRA: MYTHOLOGICAL UTOPIA AND METAPHORICAL REALITY FOR VISUALIZING AND ACCESSING INFORMATION IN 3D INTERACTIVE WORLDS <i>Enrica Lovaglio</i>	83
CROSS-CULTURAL SYSTEM DESIGN STRATEGY: AVOIDING PROBLEMS IN USABILITY EVALUATION <i>Jainaba Jagne, Elke Duncker-Gassen, Serengul Smith-Atakan and Paul Curzon</i>	88
HCI SUPERDESIGNER: DESCRIBING, PREDICTING, FOSTERING AND CONTROLLING EMERGENCE <i>Christian Briggs</i>	93
FUTURE MOBILE PHONE USER INTERFACES: A SURVEY <i>Timo Kivikangas and Arto Kaarna</i>	98
AN INTERACTIVE DATA PROCESSING ENVIRONMENT WITH DATA AND OPERATION HISTORY <i>Ken Nakayama, Motohiro Shibata, Satoshi Yazawa, Yoshitake Kobayashi, Mamoru Maekawa and Toshio Okamoto</i>	104
PERSONALIZATION OF INFORMATION BROWSING USER INTERFACE: EVALUATION OF THE USER POLICIES CONCEPTS <i>Encelle Benoit and Baptiste-Jessel Nadine</i>	110
ADAPTING AN ONLINE WEBSITE TO A PALM OS WITH YOUNG ELDERLY PEOPLE <i>Sergio Sayago and Josep Blat</i>	115

THE IMPACT OF CUSTOMER LOYALTY ON COMPLAINING BEHAVIOUR <i>Alexandra Zaugg and Natalie Jäggi</i>	119
STANDARDIZING THE ACCESS TO E-BUSINESSES <i>Enric Jaen Villoldo and Joan Serrat-Fernandez</i>	124
THE ROLE OF INTERNET IN THE COMMUNICATION STRATEGY OF COCA-COLA <i>Mariola Palazón and María Sicilia,</i>	129
E-MARKET PROJECT; THE E-MARKETPLACE OF THE MARCHES REGION <i>Corradini Flavio, Gagliardi Roberto, Polzonetti Alberto, Santarelli Dario and Trojani Massimo</i>	133
SUBPROCESS DEFINITION RETRIEVAL THROUGH COLLABORATIVE TAGGING <i>Jochen Reich</i>	138
FRIENDS NETWORK <i>Maytham Safar and Haya Bin Ghaith</i>	143
ELEMENTS FOR DESIGNING A WEB ENVIRONMENT TO PROMOTING COLLABORATIVE LEARNING OF AUTOMATA THEORY <i>G M. da Nóbrega, A. S. M. Fernandes, E. Ferneda and E. S. Guimarães</i>	147
HYBRID CONTEXT DESIGN – INTEGRATING SEMANTIC WEB IN KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS ON THE BASIS OF OPEN SOURCE COMPONENTS <i>Jan vom Brocke and Jan Hermans</i>	151
A TOOL SUPPORTING WEB-BASED APPLICATIONS TESTING: A CONTROL FLOW MODEL BASED APPROACH <i>Adnane Ghannem and Linda Badri</i>	156
DESIGNING OBJECT ORIENTED SYSTEMS USING STEREOTYPES AND PATTERNS <i>Vinicius Miana Bezerra and Kechi Hiramã</i>	162
BUILDING COLLABORATIVE KNOWLEDGE-BASED SYSTEMS: A WEB ENGINEERING APPROACH <i>George Gkotsis, Christina E. Evangelou, Nikos Karacapilidis and Manolis Tzagarakis</i>	167
SERVICE ORIENTED METHOD TO MODEL WEB INFORMATION SYSTEMS: A CASE STUDY <i>Guillermo López, Valeria de Castro and Esperanza Marcos</i>	172
BURSTINESS DETECTION LOCATION IN CLUSTER-BASED NETWORK SERVERS BASED ON THE APRA ALGORITHM: A CASE STUDY <i>Katja Gilly, Javier Huertas Amores, Carlos Juiz and Ramon Puigjaner</i>	177
OVERLOADING PREVENTION BASED ON ADAPTIVE REQUEST PROCESSING <i>Miroslav Kripac</i>	182
OPTIMIZING THE EXECUTION STRUCTURE OF COMPOSED WEB SERVICES <i>Leo Rela and Juha Puustjärvi</i>	187
A MEMORY OBJECT LIFECYCLE MANAGEMENT TOOL FOR C++ APPLICATIONS <i>Hamid Mcheick, Hafedh Mili, Aymen Sioud, Hamdan Msheik and Marc Gravel</i>	191



DESIGNING A RESOURCE DISCOVERY METHOD BASED ON MULTI-AGENTS IN P2P SYSTEMS <i>Yoshikuni Harada and Yasushi Kambayashi</i>	196
A WEB-BASED INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR A UNIVERSITY DEPARTMENT <i>Raquel Martínez, José Alberto Jaén, Alberto Acebrón, Francisco de Asís Montañés, Ángel García and Santiago Tapia</i>	201
A MOBILE DEVICE-BASED SURVEILLANCE SYSTEM AND ITS PRACTICAL APPLICATIONS <i>Yoshiro Imai , Yuichi Sugie , Yukio Hori, Daisuke Yamane and Shin'ichi Masuda</i>	206
A SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT FOR RESIDENT PARTICIPATING GIS- BASED TSUNAMI DISASTER CONTROL <i>Takashi Muramoto, Ken Nakayama, Yoshitake Kobayashi and Mamoru Maekawa</i>	211
WEB-BASED MUSIC NOTATION EDITING <i>Stuart Cunningham, Nicole Gebert, Rich Picking and Vic Grout</i>	217
AN INTEGRATED FRAMEWORK FOR DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT: THE ROLE OF SEMANTIC AND ADMINISTRATIVE COOPERATION <i>Flavio Corradini, Alberto Polzonetti, Barbara Re, Lucio Forestieri and Andrea Sergiacomi</i>	222
SARIPOD: A SYSTEM BASED ON HIERARCHICAL SMALL WORLDS AND POSSIBILISTIC NETWORKS FOR INTERNET INFORMATION RETRIEVAL <i>Bilel Elayeb, Montaceur Zaghdoud, Mohamed Ben Ahmed and Fabrice Evrard</i>	227
BABEL, A MULTILINGUAL BLOG CMS <i>A. Gkamas, G. Panagiotou and C. Papazafeiropoulos</i>	233
EXTRACTION OF OBJECTS AND RELATIONS FROM HYPERTEXT DATA <i>Doina Babu, Bich-Liên Doan and Yolaine Bourda</i>	238
DISCOVERY OF IMPORTANT THREADS USING THREAD ANALYSIS REPORTS <i>Shigeaki Sakurai and Ryohei Orihara</i>	243
REGULATION FOR INTERCONNECTION BETWEEN NETWORK OPERATORS IN A LIBERALISED MARKET <i>S. Verbrugge, B. Lannoo, J. Van Ooteghem, T. Pingnet, D. Colle, M. Pickavet and P. Demeester</i>	249
EXTENDING THE P2P AUDIO NETWORK STREAMONTHEFLY FOR VIDEO USAGE AND VODCASTING <i>Markus Seidl, Martin Schmidt and Matthias Husinsky</i>	254
WEBSITE EVALUATION: DISCUSSION FOR A MODEL PROPOSAL <i>Manuela Aparício, Pedro Faria Lopes and Maria Joaquina Barrulas</i>	260
A TOPIC MAPS-BASED ARCHITECTURE FOR MOBILE KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT <i>Ando Ratsimanohatra, Nicolas Prat and Martin Schader</i>	266
SITUATED VIEWS ON AUGMENTED MEMORIES <i>Alexander Kröner and Nathalie Basselin</i>	272
AGENTS, GRAMMARS AND STYLE: MULTI-AGENT VISUAL COMPOSITION WITH SHAPE GRAMMARS <i>Joaquim Reis</i>	278

TOWARDS A MULTIAGENT ARCHITECTURE TO PROCESS KNOWLEDGE <i>Juan Pablo Soto, Aurora Vizcaíno and Mario Piattini</i>	283
MULTI-AGENT COOPERATIVE SCHEDULING AND RE-SCHEDULING IN THE SUPPLY-CHAIN (A SHORT VERSION) <i>Joaquim Reis</i>	288
COMPREHENSIVE XML FOR CONTACT CENTER <i>Nikolay Anisimov, Brian Galvin and Herbert Ristock</i>	294
WHOIS, JOINT WHOIS AND CRISP <i>Milena Constantino Caires</i>	300
AUTOMATIC LOCATION-BASED MAP DISTRIBUTION SERVICE FOR MOBILE COORDINATED POSITIONING SYSTEM <i>Daniel F. Santos, Ricardo Matos, José E. Sanguino and António Rodrigues</i>	305
ENSURING WEB SERVICE DATA INTEROPERABILITY <i>Enric Jaen Villoldo and Joan Serrat-Fernandez</i>	310
LICENSING SYSTEM IN AN ONLINE MPEG-21 ENVIRONMENT <i>Chris Poppe, Ingo Wolf, Sven Wischnowsky, Saar De Zutter and Rik Van de Walle</i>	315
SECURITY THREAT SOURCES AND SUPPLIERS: A MANAGEMENT THREAT PROCESS MODEL <i>B. Dawn Medlin and Adriana Romaniello</i>	320
SOCIAL ENTERPRISE ARCHITECTURE: KNOWLEDGE MEETS ORGANIZATION <i>Flavio Corradini, Alberto Polzonetti, Romeo Pruno and Roberto D'Angelo</i>	325
KNOWLEDGE EVOLUTION ON THE BASIS OF REFERENCE MODELS – TOWARDS A THEORETICAL FOUNDATION <i>Jan vom Brocke and Christian Buddendick</i>	329
UNDERSTANDING JPDA (DEBUGGING) & JVMTI (PROFILING) JAVA APIS WITHIN JAVATRACEIT! <i>D. Glez-Peña and F. Fdez-Riverola</i>	334
MODELLING AND PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS OF PROBABILISTIC PACKET MARKING SCHEMES FOR IP TRACEBACK <i>Shigeo Shioda and Hui Jing Wang</i>	339
ANALYSIS OF THE USAGE STATISTICS OF ROBOTS EXCLUSION STANDARD <i>Ajay, Smitha and Jaliya Ekanayake</i>	345
FRACTAL DIMENSION OF TEXT DOCUMENTS: APPLICATION IN FRACTAL SUMMARIZATION <i>M. Dolores Ruiz and Antonio B. Bailón</i>	349
E-DEMOCRACY: THE INTERNET EFFECT TO THE CITIZENS OF TOMORROW <i>D. Kalogeras , N. Sklavos and V. D. Triantafillou</i>	354

## POSTERS

INTACT TRANSPOSITION OF A MULTI-COLUMNAR DATA BASE SORT <i>R.F. Gonzales and D.B.L. Miles</i>	361
HOW SEMANTICS CAN IMPROVE E-LEARNING TOOLS <i>Abraham Rodríguez-Rodríguez, Laura Cruz-García, Concepción Hernández-Guerra and Francisca Quintana-Domínguez</i>	364
U-LEARNING FRAMEWORK FOR U-CLASSROOM <i>Byung-jin Oh, Nam-kyoung Um, Sang-ho Lee and Sung-hee Woo</i>	367
A WEB APPLICATION MODEL FOR SOFTWARE RELIABILITY <i>Tuulikki Gyllensvärd</i>	371
SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS' USE OF THE INTERNET: A GLANCE AT PISA 2003 RESULTS <i>Serpil Yalcinalp</i>	375
COLLABORATION <i>Caoimhín O'Nualláin and Sławomir Grzonkowski</i>	377
SEARCH INTERFACE BASED ON NATURAL LANGUAGE QUERY TEMPLATES <i>Sebastian Ryszard Kruk, Krystian Samp, Caoimhin O'Nuallain, Brian Davis, Bill McDaniel and Sławomir Grzonkowski</i>	381

## AUTHOR INDEX

# FOREWORD

These proceedings contain the papers and posters of the IADIS International Conference WWW/Internet 2006, which was organised by the International Association for Development of the Information Society and co-organised by Universidad de Murcia – Facultad de Comunicación y Documentación in Murcia, Spain, October 5 – 8, 2006.

The purpose of this conference is to serve as a forum to gather researchers, practitioners, students and anyone who works or studies the field of WWW/Internet. The following fifty-seven areas have been object of paper and poster submissions:

Accessibility; Adaptive Web Systems; Collaboration; Computer-Mediated Communication; Data Mining; Database Planning and Development; Digital Economy; Digital Libraries and E-Publishing; Distributed and Parallel Applications; E-Business and E-Commerce; E-Government; E-Learning; Electronic Data Interchange; Quality, Evaluation and Assessment; Extensible Languages; Global Tendencies in WWW/Internet; Groupware; Human Computer Interaction; Hypermedia; Information Architectures; Information Visualization; Intelligent Agents; Interfaces; Internet & Customer Relationship Management; Internet Payment Systems; Internet Services; Languages; Metadata; Performance Issues; Personalized Web Sites and Services; Portal strategies; Protocols and Standards; Searching and Browsing; Security Issues; Semantic Web; Social & Legal Issues; Storage Issues; System Integration; Teaching and Learning Strategies; Technology Innovation and Competitiveness; Technology Management; Technology Strategies; Tele-Work; WWW/Internet Applications; WWW/Internet Case studies; WWW/Internet Impacts; Web Engineering; Web Personalization; Web Software; Wireless Applications; Ubiquitous Computing; Usability; User Modelling; Virtual Communities; Virtual Reality; XML.

The IADIS WWW/Internet 2006 Conference had 286 submissions from more than 29 countries. Each submission has been anonymously reviewed by at least two independent reviewers, to ensure the final high standard of the accepted submissions. The final result was the approval of 71 full papers, which means that the acceptance rate was below 25%. A few more papers have been accepted as short papers and posters. Best papers will be selected for publishing as extended versions in the *IADIS International Journal on WWW/Internet* (IJWI).

The conference, besides the presentation of full papers, short papers, posters, and doctoral consortium presentations also included keynote presentations and a tutorial from internationally distinguished researchers.

As we all know, organising a conference requires the effort of many individuals. We would like to thank all members of the Program Committee for their hard work in reviewing and selecting the papers that appear in the proceedings.

These volumes have taken shape as a result of the contributions from a number of individuals. We are grateful to all authors who have submitted their papers to enrich the conference proceedings. We would like also to express our gratitude to Professor Katia Sycara, Director of the Intelligent Software Agents Lab, Carnegie Mellon University, USA, and to Professor Fausto Giunchiglia, Head of the Department of Information and

Communication Technology, University of Trento, Italy, for accepting our invitation as keynote speakers. We wish to thank all members of the local organizing committee in Murcia, sponsors, delegates, invitees and guests whose contribution and involvement are crucial for the success of the conference.

Each of the Proceedings contains a rich experience of the academic & research institutions and the industry on diverse themes related to the Internet and Web. We do hope that researchers, knowledge workers and innovators both in academia and the industry will find it a valuable reference material.

Last but not the least, we hope that everybody will have a good time in Murcia, and we invite all participants for the next year edition of the *IADIS International Conference WWW/Internet 2007*.

Pedro Isaías, Universidade Aberta (Portuguese Open University), Portugal  
Inmaculada J. Martínez, Universidad de Murcia, Spain  
Conference Co-Chairs

Miguel Baptista Nunes, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom  
Program Chair

Murcia, Spain  
October 2006

# PROGRAM COMMITTEE

## CONFERENCE CO-CHAIRS

Pedro Isaías, Universidade Aberta (Portuguese Open University), Portugal  
Inmaculada J. Martínez, Universidad de Murcia, Spain

## PROGRAM CHAIR

Miguel Baptista Nunes, University of Sheffield, United Kingdom

## COMMITTEE MEMBERS

Abdolhossein Sarrafzadeh, Massey University, New Zealand  
Al Warner, Penn State – Erie, USA  
Alessandro Micarelli, University of "Roma Tre", Italy  
Alexander Kröner, DFKI, Germany  
Alexander Schill, TU Dresden, Germany  
Alexander Wöhrer, University of Vienna, Austria  
Alfredo Cuzzocrea, University of Calabria, Italy  
Alvaro Ortigosa, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain  
Ana Regina Cavalcanti da Rocha, Centroin, Brazil  
Ananya S. Guha, Indira Gandhi National Open University, India  
Andrea Kienle, Fraunhofer IPSI, Germany  
Andrea Rodriguez, Universidad de Concepción, Chile  
Andreas Albers, T-Mobile Stiftungsforschung für M-Commerce, Germany  
Andreas Schrader, ISNM - International School of New Media, Germany  
Andy Lapham, Thames Valley University, United Kingdom  
Angel López Cima, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain  
Angélica de Antonio, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain  
Angelo Di Iorio, University of Bologna, Italy  
Anna Maddalena, University of Genova, Italy  
Anthony Savidis, ICS-FORTH, Greece  
Anurag Saxena, Indira Gandhi National Open University, India  
Arnaldo Belchior, University of Fortaleza, Brazil  
Aykut Firat, Northeastern University, USA  
Axel Küpper, Ludwig-Maximilians University of Munich, Germany  
Axel Polleres, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Madrid, Spain  
Azita Bahrami, Armstrong Atlantic State University, USA  
Berardina De Carolis, University of Bari, Italy  
Bernard Espinasse, Université d'Aix-Marseille, France

Brahmananda Sapkota, DERI, Ireland  
 Celso Saibel, UNIFACS – CEPERC, Brazil  
 Chris Messom, Massey University, New Zealand  
 Christoph Schmitz, Universität Kassel, Germany  
 Christos Fidas, University of Patras, Greece  
 Claudia Buzzi, CNR-IIT, Italy  
 Claudia Linnhoff-Popien, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany  
 Cristina Baroglio, Università Degli Studi Di Torino, Italy  
 Cristina Gena, Università di Torino, Italy  
 Daniel Burgos, The Open University, The Netherlands  
 Daniel Cunliffe, University of Glamorgan, United Kingdom  
 Daniel Fernández Lanvin, Universidad de Oviedo, Spain  
 David Caduff, University of Zurich – Irchel, Switzerland  
 David Crowther, De Montfort University, United Kingdom  
 David Eduardo Pinto Avendaño, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain  
 David Powers, Flinders University, Australia  
 Davide Buscaldi, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain  
 Debajyoti Mukhopadhyay, Techno India (West Bengal University of Technology), India  
 Demetrios Sampson, University of Piraeus, Greece  
 Dietmar Dengler, DFKI, Germany  
 Dimitrios Rigas, University of Bradford, United Kingdom  
 Dirk Thissen, RWTH Aachen, Germany  
 Dominic Heutelbeck, FernUniversität Hagen, Germany  
 Dominik Heckmann, DFKI, Germany  
 Dumitru Roman, DERI, Austria  
 Edilson Feredna, Universidade Católica de Brasília, Brazil  
 Elena Calude, Massey University at Albany, New Zealand  
 Elhadi Shakshuki, Acadia University, Canada  
 Elias Kirche, Florida Gulf Coast University, USA  
 Emilia Mendes, The University of Auckland, New Zealand  
 Enrique Alfonseca, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain  
 Eric Schwarzkopf, DFKI, Germany  
 Erica Melis, DFKI, Germany  
 Eva Söderström, University of Skövde, Sweden  
 Ezendu Ariwa, London Metropolitan University, United Kingdom  
 Fabio Vitali, University of Bologna, Italy  
 Fernanda Lima, Universidade Católica de Brasília, Brazil  
 Fernando Lyardet, Darmstadt University of Technology, Germany  
 Francesco Guerra, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Italy  
 Fred Fonseca, The Pennsylvania State University, USA  
 Fuensanta Medina-Domínguez, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Spain

Georg Groh, Technische Universität München, Germany  
 Germana Menezes da Nóbrega, Universidade Católica de Brasília, Brazil  
 Giorgia Lodi, University of Bologna, Italy  
 Gonzalo Méndez, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, Spain  
 Guido Roessling, Darmstadt University of Technology, Germany  
 Gustavo Rossi, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Argentina  
 Hamid Mcheick, Université du Québec à Chicoutimi, Canada  
 Hannes Werthner, University of Innsbruck, Austria  
 Hans Schlichter, Technische Universität München, Germany  
 Hans Weghorn, BA-University of Cooperative Education, Germany  
 Heiko Tapken, Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg, Germany  
 Henk de Vries, Rotterdam School of Management Erasmus University, The Netherlands  
 Henrique Paques, Georgia Institute of Technology, USA  
 Henry Oinas-Kukkonen, University of Oulu, Finland  
 Ian van der Linde, University of Texas, USA  
 Idris Rai, Lancaster University, United Kingdom  
 Iliaria Torre, Università degli Studi di Torino, Italy  
 Ioan Toma, DERI, Austria  
 Ismael Sanz Blasco, University Jaume I, Spain  
 Ismail Khalil Ibrahim, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria  
 Ivan Tomek, Acadia University, Canada  
 Jacek Kopecký, DERI, Austria  
 Jaime Ramírez, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain  
 Jaime Sánchez, University of Chile, Chile  
 James Thong, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong  
 Janez Brank, Jozef Stefan Institute, Slovenia  
 Jessica Rubart, ORGA Kartensysteme, Germany  
 Jesús Boticario, UNED, Spain  
 Jialie Shen, University of New South Wales, Australia  
 Jon Schutz, YourAmigo Pty Ltd, Australia  
 Jörg Leukel, University of Hohenheim, Germany  
 Jörg Roth, University of Applied Sciences Nuremberg, Germany  
 Jos de Bruijn, DERI, Austria  
 Jose Enrique Fernández Martín, University of Malaga, Spain  
 José Laurindo Campos, Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas na Amazonia, Brazil  
 Juan Manuel Pérez, University Jaume I, Spain  
 Juan Pedro Caraça-Valente, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain  
 Juan Ramón Pérez Pérez, Universidad de Oviedo, Spain  
 Juergen Hofer, University of Innsbruck, Austria  
 Jürgen Karla, Rwth Aachen University, Germany  
 Juhnyoung Lee, IBM T. J. Watson Research Center, USA



Jure Ferlez, Jozef Stefan Institute, Slovenia  
 Jussi Kangasharju, Darmstadt University of Technology, Germany  
 Kai Jakobs, Aachen University, Germany  
 Kai Koster, Kosmicon, Germany  
 Karina Villela, Universidade Salvador, Brazil  
 Kathia Oliveira, Universidade Católica de Brasília, Brazil  
 Kiduk Yang, Indiana University, USA  
 Kinshuk, Massey University, New Zealand  
 Kostas Tsagkaris, University of Piraeus, Greece  
 Liliana Ardissono, Università Degli Studi Di Torino, Italy  
 Liping Liu, University of Akron, USA  
 Loris Penserini, ITC-IRST, Italy  
 Luca Spalazzi, Polytechnical University of Marche, Italy  
 Lucia Rapanotti, The Open University, United Kingdom  
 Manolis Tzagarakis, University of Patras, Greece  
 Manuel Montes y Gomez, INAOE, Mexico  
 Margherita Antona, ICS-FORTH, Greece  
 María del Puerto Paule Ruíz, University of Oviedo, Spain  
 María Isabel Sánchez-Segura, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid, Spain  
 Markus Anding, University of Munich, Germany  
 Martin Gaedke, University of Karlsruhe, Germany  
 Massimo Marchiori, University of Venice, Italy  
 Marco Mesiti, Università degli Studi di Genova, Italy  
 Martin Hepp, University of Innsbruck, Austria  
 Martin Wenig, RWTH Aachen, Germany  
 Martin Wessner, Fraunhofer IPSI, Germany  
 Mathias Bauer, DFKI, Germany  
 Matteo Baldoni, Università Degli Studi di Torino, Italy  
 Maurizio Vincini, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Italy  
 Max J. Egenhofer, University of Maine, USA  
 Maytham Safar, Kuwait University, Kuwait  
 Michael Weber, University of Ulm, Germany  
 Michael Welzl, University of Innsbruck, Austria  
 Michalis Vaitis, University of the Aegean, Greece  
 Mick Kerrigan, DERI, Austria  
 Miguel-Angel Sicilia Urbán, University of Alcalá, Spain  
 Mike Radmacher, Johann Wolfgang Goethe University Frankfurt a. M., Germany  
 Milos Kravcik, Fraunhofer Gesellschaft, Germany  
 Nicola Henze, University of Hannover, Germany  
 Nik Nailah binti Abdullah, University Montpellier II, France  
 Nikolaos Avouris, University of Patras, Greece

Nikolaos Tselios, University of Patras, Greece  
Nikos Karacapilidis, University of Patras, Greece  
Nilufar Baghaei, University of Canterbury, New Zealand  
Olga Santos Martín, UNED, Spain  
Oliver Haase, University of Applied Sciences Konstanz, Germany  
Omair Shafiq, DERI, Austria  
Panagiotis Demestichas, University of Piraeus, Greece  
Paola Podestà, Università di Génova, Italy  
Paolo Bresciani, ITC-IRST, Italy  
Paolo Massa, ITC, Italy  
Paolo Rosso, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain  
Paul Johannesson, Stockholm University and Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden  
Paul Smith, Lancaster University, United Kingdom  
Peter Westerkamp, Universität Münster, Germany  
Piedachu Peris García, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain  
Pramuditha Suraweera, University of Canterbury, New Zealand  
Rainer Eckstein, Helmholtz Center for Infection Research (HZI), Germany  
Ralf Ackermann, Darmstadt University of Technology, Germany  
Raluca Bunduchi, University of Aberdeen, United Kingdom  
Ricardo Imbert, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain  
Robert Goodwin, Flinders University, Australia  
Robin Laney, The Open University, United Kingdom  
Rolf Winter, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany  
Rosa Carro, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain  
Roxana Danger, Universitat Jaume I, Spain  
Samar Saha, SYNOPSIS, USA  
Shawn Newsam, University of California, Merced, USA  
Shivakant Mishra, University of Colorado, USA  
Sinuhe Arroyo, University of Alcalá de Henares, Spain  
Spiridon Bakiras, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong  
Stefan Diepolder, RWTH Aachen, Germany  
Stefan Fischer, Universität zu Lübeck, Germany  
Steven Demurjian, The University of Connecticut, USA  
SungKook Han, WonKwang University, Korea  
Sven Groppe, University of Innsbruck, Austria  
Sven Meyer zu Eissen, Bauhaus University Weimar, Germany  
Timothy Shih, Tamkang University, Taiwan  
Tomoo Inoue, University of Tsukuba, Japan  
Tsvi Kuflik, University of Haifa, Israel  
Umesh Rajashekar, University of Texas at Austin, USA  
Urs-Jakob Rüetschi, University of Zurich, Switzerland

Vanessa Evers, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands  
Vassilis Kapsalis, Industrial Systems Institute, Greece  
Vera Stavroulaki, University of Piraeus, Greece  
Vic Grout, University of Wales, United Kingdom  
Viviana Patti, Universita' di Torino, Italy  
Wei Tang, NCR Corporation Teradata Division, USA  
Wei Wang, The University of New South Wales, Australia  
Ying Ding, University of Innsbruck, Austria  
Yingcai Xiao, The University of Akron, USA  
Yufei Tao, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong  
Zakaria Maamar, Zayed University, United Arab Emirates

#### **AUXILIARY REVIEWERS**

Amali Weerasinghe, University of Canterbury, New Zealand  
Gonzalo Macarro Palazuelos, University of Alcalá de Henares, Spain

# TOWARDS A MULTIAGENT ARCHITECTURE TO PROCESS KNOWLEDGE

Juan Pablo Soto  
*UCLM-Soluziona Research  
and Development Institute  
Paseo de la Universidad 4  
jpsoto@proyectos.inf-cr.uclm.es*

Aurora Vizcaíno  
*UCLM and Development Institute  
Paseo de la Universidad 4  
aurora.vizcaino@uclm.es*

Mario Piattini  
*UCLM and Development Institute  
Paseo de la Universidad 4  
Mario.piattini@uclm.es*

## ABSTRACT

Currently, Knowledge Management (KM) is a key factor in the competitive advantage of organisations. Because of this different technology has been developed to support KM strategies. However, KM systems are often unsuccessful because they were designed from a technological point of view which did not take into account the knowledge tasks that they should support. In this paper we describe a multi-agent architecture to assist in the implementation of KM systems. This architecture was developed by taking into account the main knowledge process models and life cycles that literature proposes.

## KEYWORDS

Knowledge Management, Software Agents.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, knowledge management (KM) is an important topic for companies worried about employees' learning and about their competitiveness. In the last decades, KM has captured enterprises' attention as one of the most promising ways to attain success in this era of information (Malone, 2002). KM can be defined as a discipline that enables an organization to take advantage of its intellectual capital in order to reuse it and learn from previous experience (Rus and Lindvall, 2002).

On the other hand, Knowledge Management Systems (KMS) are tools whose main goal is to support knowledge creation (Jennex, 2005). Developing KMS is a difficult task since it is often necessary to know a priori what information will be requested, who will demand the information, who will supply the information, and when and how it will be used. Moreover, before developing this kind of systems it is advisable to study and understand how the transfer of knowledge is carried out among people in real life and then to provide tools that foster that interchange of information. This is a lack of many traditional KMS, which as is indicated in (Hahn, 2000), mainly focus on the technology without taking into account the fundamental knowledge problems that KMS are likely to support.

In order to avoid the previous problem, before designing our architecture we designed a KM life cycle which is the base of the architecture as will be explained in the remainder of this paper. Therefore, in section 2 we summarize different knowledge models proposed in literature and we present our knowledge model. In

section 3 our architecture is described by explaining how each agent supports the stages of the KM cycle. Finally, conclusions are presented in section 4.

## 2. KNOWLEDGE PROCESSES

There are different proposals concerning the knowledge life cycle, Nonaka and Takeuchi (1995) propose one of the most widely discussed approaches, namely the SECI process where the interaction between tacit and explicit knowledge emerges as a spiral (knowledge spiral) that includes four layers of knowledge conversion: *socialization* involves the sharing and exchanging of tacit knowledge between individuals to create common mental models and abilities, most frequently through the medium of shared experience; *externalization* is the process of articulating tacit knowledge into comprehensive forms that can be understood by others; *combination* involves the conversion of explicit knowledge into a more complex set of explicit knowledge, and *internalization* is the process of adding explicit knowledge to tacit new knowledge through experimenting in various ways, through real life experience or simulations (Nonaka and Takeuchi, 1995).

There is no consensus to define the stages that form a KM life cycle. In addition to SECI, Davenport and Prusak identify three tasks of knowledge management: (generation, codification/coordination and transfer) (Davenport, 1997). Wiig (1997) distinguishes five KM processes: (knowledge creation, knowledge storing, knowledge use, knowledge leverage, knowledge sharing). The similarities found in the stages of the models described in literature helped us to define a process that integrates the different proposals (see Figure 1). Most proposals consider stages to acquire, use, transfer and disseminate knowledge. However, an important feature for us is to formalize and store the information in order to reuse it. Because of this a stage in charge of this issue was added to the model. On the other hand, knowledge is useful when it is updated. Therefore, it is necessary to control how the information and knowledge evolve in order to eliminate obsolete information. This is the goal of the last stage.



Figure 1. Proposed Knowledge Management Life Cycle Model

## 3. SUPPORTING THE KNOWLEDGE MODEL WITH AGENTS

Different techniques are used to implement KMS. One of them, which is proving to be quite useful, is that of intelligent agents (van-Elst, 2003). Software agent technology can monitor and coordinate events, meetings and disseminate information (Balasubramanian, 2001). Furthermore, agents are proactive; this means they act automatically when it is necessary without human help. Because of this, agents can automatically explore information avoiding overloading employees with extra work (users of KMS often complain about the overload of work imposed upon them by searching for or introducing information).

All these features led us to use intelligent agents how technology to support the implementation of a KMS that takes the previous model into account. In this section we will present how the different agents act in order to support the knowledge life cycle explained above.

*Knowledge acquisition* is the stage responsible for making the organization knowledge visible. This stage considers the activities necessary to create organizational knowledge. Furthermore, the acquisition stage determines the organization skills necessary to import knowledge from external sources. The definition of the knowledge to be acquired can be assisted by classifying types of knowledge and knowledge sources (Dickinson, 2002). To support this stage we propose including in our architecture an agent called the *Captor agent*. This agent is responsible for collecting the information (data, models, experience, etc) from the different knowledge sources. It executes a proactive monitoring process to identify the information and experiences generated during the interaction between the user and groupware tools (email, consulted web pages, chats, etc.). In order to accomplish this, the Captor agent uses a knowledge ontology which defines the knowledge to be taken into account in a domain. Another useful ontology is the source ontology which defines where each type of knowledge might be found. These ontologies have not been explained here due to space limitations. The Captor agent communicates with another agent (the *Constructor agent*) which is in

charge of creating knowledge. For example, when the Captor agent acquires information this can be converted into knowledge and the Captor agent sends this information to the Constructor agent.

*Knowledge formalizing/storing* is the stage that groups all activities focused on organizing, structuring, representing and codifying the knowledge with the purpose of facilitating its use (Davenport, 1997). To help carry out these tasks we propose a *Constructor agent*. This agent is in charge of giving an appropriate electronic format to the experiences obtained so that they can be stored in a knowledge base to aid retrieval. Storing knowledge helps to reduce dependency on key employees because at least some of their expert knowledge has been retained or made explicit. In addition, when knowledge is stored, it is made available to all employees, providing them with a reference to how processes must be performed, and how they have been performed in the past. Moreover, the Constructor agent compares the new information with old knowledge previously stored and decides whether to delete it and add new knowledge or to combine both of them. In this way, the combination process of the SECI model is carried out, producing new knowledge resulting in the merging of explicit knowledge plus previous explicit knowledge.

*Knowledge use* is one of the main stages, since knowledge is useful when it is used and/or reused. KMS should offer the possibility of searching for information; they can even give recommendations or suggestions with the goal of helping users to perform their tasks by reusing lessons learned and previous experiences. In our architecture the agent in charge of this activity is the *Searcher agent*, which searches in the knowledge base for information that is needed. The result of the search will be sent to the *Interface agent*. This will be explained in the next section. This agent could be implemented with different retrieval techniques. However, since this architecture is proposed at a high level these aspects will not be dealt with in this paper. Nevertheless, we would like to emphasize that the *Searcher agent* fosters the internalization process of the SECI model, since the employees have the opportunity of acquiring new knowledge by using the information that this agent suggests.

*Knowledge transfer/application* is the stage in charge of transferring tacit and explicit knowledge. Tacit knowledge can be transferred if it has been previously stored in shared means, for example: repositories, organizational memories, databases, etc. In addition, the transfer stage can be used with mechanisms to inform people about the new knowledge that has been added. For this stage we propose a *Disseminator agent*, which must detect the group of people, or communities who generate and use similar information: for example, in the software domain, the people who maintain the same product or those who use the same programming language. Therefore, this agent fosters the idea of a community of practice in which each person shares knowledge and learns thanks to the knowledge of the other community members (Wenger, 1998). Finally, the disseminator agent needs to know exactly what kind of work each member of the organization is in charge of and the knowledge flows associated with their job. In order to accomplish this, the disseminator agent contacts to a type of agent called the *Personal agent* which gives him information about the profiles of the user. Comparing this stage with the SECI model we can say that the *Disseminator agent* fosters the socialization process since it puts people who demand similar knowledge in touch and once in contact they can share their experience, thus increasing their tacit knowledge.

*Knowledge Evolution*. This stage is responsible for monitoring the knowledge that evolves daily. This process constantly reviews the changes that occur in the organization process and activities that have associated knowledge in the form of knowledge packages. To carry out this activity we propose a *Maintenance agent*. The main goal of this agent is to keep the knowledge stored in the knowledge base updated. Therefore, information which is not often used is considered by the maintenance agent as information to be possibly eliminated.

### 3.1 Architecture of the system

Once the knowledge model which the architecture is based on and the agents which support the different stages are defined we can then define how the agents are organized into different agencies. Figure 2 shows that the architecture is formed of two agencies made up of several agents.

The **Knowledge Agency** consists of the Constructor agent, the Captor agent, the Searcher agent, the Disseminator agent and the Maintenance agent previously described. Therefore, the agency is in charge of giving support to the KM process.

The **User Agency** consists of the *Interface agent* and the *Personal agent*. The *Interface Agent* acts as an effective bridge between the user and the rest of the agents. Such agents actively assist a user in operating an

interactive interface. In our knowledge management system, the interface agent is proposed to help users to search for useful information related to their activities and give recommendations about employees' expertise or knowledge sources that can be consulted. Furthermore, it interacts with the user receiving user specifications and delivering results. The interface agent communicates with the *Personal agent* which obtains user profiles and information relevant to users' knowledge that helps to determine the expertise level and knowledge that each person has or that a person may need.

Two further components used in this architecture are:

The **Shared Ontology**, this ontology is shared by all team members and provides a conceptualization of the knowledge domain. The Shared Ontology is used for the consistent communication of the agencies. Finally, the **Groupware Tools**. This architecture can be connected to groupware tools that might be used as a means of obtaining, communicating and interchanging information.




Figure 2. Multi-Agent Architecture for Knowledge Management

This architecture has been designed by using INGENIAS (Pavón and Gómez-Sanz, 2003) methodology due to it being based on the definition of a set of meta-models that describe the elements that form a MAS from several viewpoints. In addition, INGENIAS provides a set of tools that supports analysis, design and code generation activities. The platform that we are using to develop the architecture is JADE since it is FIPA compliant and is currently one of the most used. We are also studying JADEx in order to see how easy it would be to migrate to this new platform.

### 3.2 Collaboration between Agents

In order to exemplify how the architecture works we are going to describe a possible scenario that can take place in an organization.

Let us imagine that a person is writing an email and the agents start to work in order to check whether the mail contains information that should be stored in the data base (we suppose that the employees know that the mail are reviewed and they agree with this).

As Figure 3 shows, the Interface Agent captures each event that is triggered by the Employee. In this case the employee sends an email. Then, the Interface Agent advises the Captor Agent that an event has been triggered. Afterwards, the Captor Agent determines the type of groupware tool used (email) to identify and obtain information topics about related task. In order to obtaining information from the mail, a new agent can be added to the system (it would not form part of our architecture) but would be an agent that has been already developed to assist in this task. There exist several agents implemented to deal with email (Maes, 1994). Most of the current implementations are text classifiers or keyword extractors. The Captor Agent would study whether the information sent by the "email agent" should be transformed into knowledge. Finally, the Constructor Agent receives the information which is structured in form of, for instance, cases for its later storage.




Figure 3. Scenario of Agent Collaboration

## 4. CONCLUSION

This paper describes a multi-agent architecture to develop KM systems. The architecture has been described at a high level of abstraction and because of this we have indicated the tasks that each agent should carry out but without explaining how these will be performed. The main feature of this architecture is that it is based on a KM model to ensure that the architecture supports the main processes that should be promoted by a KM system. Moreover, the multi-agent architecture follows a knowledge management approach, providing agents that foster the process of the SECI model with the goal of guaranteeing a continuous flow of knowledge.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is partially supported by the ENIGMAS (PIB-05-058) and MECENAS (PBI06-0024) project, Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha, Consejería de Educación y Ciencia, both in Spain.

## REFERENCES

- Balasubramanian, S., Brennan, R., Norrie, D. (2001). *An Architecture for Metamorphic Control of Holonic Manufacturing Systems*. Computers in Industry, Vol. 46(1), pp: 13-31.
- Davenport, T. H., Prusak, L. (1997). *Working Knowledge: How Organizations Manage What They Know*. Boston, Massachusetts, Project Management Institute. Harvard Business School Press.
- Dickinson, A. (2002). *WP3 - KM Framework*. Enhancing Knowledge Management in Enterprises (ENKE).
- Hahn, J., Subramani, M. (2000). *A Framework of Knowledge Management Systems: Issues and Challenges for Theory and Practice*. 21st International Conference on Information Systems (ICIS 2000), Brisbane, Australia. pp: 302-312.
- Jennex, M. E. (2005). *The Issue of System Use in Knowledge Management Systems*. In 38th Hawaii International Conference on Systems Sciences Hawaii, USA, IEEE Press.
- Malone, D. (2002). *Knowledge Management: A Model for Organizational Learning*. International Journal of Accounting Information Systems, Vol. 3, pp: 111-123.
- Maes, P. (1994) *Agents that reduce work and information overload*. Communications of the ACM, Vol. 37(7): pp. 31-40.
- Nonaka, I., Takeuchi, H. (1995). *The Knowledge Creation Company: How Japanese Companies Create the Dynamics of Innovation*, Oxford University Press.
- Pavón, J., Gómez-Sanz, J. (2003). *Agent Oriented Software Engineering with INGENIAS*. Multi-Agent Systems and Applications II, LNAI 2691, Springer-Verlag. pp: 394-403.
- Rus, I., Lindvall, M. (2002). *Knowledge Management in Software Engineering*. IEEE Software, Vol. 19, pp: 26-38.
- van-Elst, L., Dignum, V., Abecker, A. (2003). *Agent-Mediated Knowledge Management*. International Symposium AMKM 2003, Stanford, CA, USA, Springer. pp: 1-30.
- Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of Practice: Learning Meaning, and Identity*. Cambridge U.K., Cambridge University Press.