

### SECOND INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON WEB INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND TECHNOLOGIES

## Proceedings

Internet Technology Web Interfaces and Applications

SETÚBAL, PORTUGAL· APRIL 11-13, 2006

ORGANIZED BY



SPONSORED BY



IN COOPERATION WITH



# **WEBIST 2006**

### Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Web Information Systems and Technologies

Internet Technology / Web Interface and Applications

Setúbal, Portugal

April 11 – 13, 2006

Organized by INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication

Sponsored by Setúbal Polytechnic Institute

In Cooperation with OMG – Object Management Group

Hosted by Business School of Setúbal

### Copyright © INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication All rights reserved

Edited by José Cordeiro, Vitor Pedrosa, Bruno Encarnação and Joaquim Filipe

Printed in Portugal ISBN: 978-972-8865-46-7 Depósito Legal: 240669/06

http://www.webist.org secretariat@webist.org

### **BRIEF CONTENTS**

BRIEF CONTENTS	III
KEYNOTE LECTURES	IV
TUTORIAL	IV
ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES	V
PROGRAM COMMITTEE	VII
AUXILIARY REVIEWERS	IX
SELECTED PAPERS BOOK	IX
Foreword	XI
CONTENTS	XIII

### **KEYNOTE LECTURES**

### Mark M. Davydov

Bank of America

U.S.A.

### **Bebo White**

Stanford University

U.S.A.

### **Carmel McNaught**

The Chinese University of Hong Kong

Hong Kong

### **Piet Kommers**

Twente University

The Netherlands

#### Hermann Maurer

Graz University of Technology

Austria

### TUTORIAL

#### Mark M. Davydov

Bank of America

U.S.A.

### **ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES**

#### **Conference Chair**

Joaquim Filipe, INSTICC / EST Setúbal, Portugal

#### **Program Chair**

José Cordeiro, INSTICC / EST Setúbal, Portugal

#### **Proceedings Production**

Paulo Brito, INSTICC, Portugal Marina Carvalho, INSTICC, Portugal Helder Cide, INSTICC, Portugal Bruno Encarnação, INSTICC, Portugal Vitor Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal Mónica Saramago, INSTICC, Portugal

#### Webdesigner and Graphics Production

Marina Carvalho, INSTICC, Portugal

#### Secretariat and Webmaster

Vitor Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal

### **PROGRAM COMMITTEE**

**Margherita Antona**, Foundation for Research and Technology - Hellas (FORTH), Greece

Azita Bahrami, Armstrong Atlantic State University, U.S.A.

Cristina Baroglio, Università degli Studi di Torino, Italy

Orlando Belo, University of Minho, Portugal

Bharat Bhargava, Purdue University, U.S.A.

Christos Bouras, University of Patras and RACTI, Greece

Amy Bruckman, Georgia Tech, U.S.A.

Maria Claudia Buzzi, CNR - Italian National Research Counsil, Italy

Ku-Ming Chao, Coventry University, U.K.

Weiqin Chen, University of Bergen, Norway

Christophe Claramunt, Naval Academy Research Institute, France

Mark Claypool, Worcester Polytechnic Institute, U.S.A.

Bin Cong, California State University at Fullerton, U.S.A.

Michel Crampes, Ecole des Mines d'Ales, France

Alexandra Cristea, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Daniel Cunliffe, University of Glamorgan, U.K.

**John Philip Cuthell**, MirandaNet Academy; Bath Spa University College; University of Huddersfield, U.K.

Alfredo Cuzzocrea, University of Calabria, Italy

Alessandro D'Atri, CeRSI - Luiss Guido Carli, Italy

Valeria de Antonellis, University of Brescia, Italy

Sergio de Cesare, Brunel University, U.K.

Steven Demurjian, The University of Connecticut, U.S.A.

Darina Dicheva, Winston-Salem State University, U.S.A.

Y. Ding, University of Innsbruck, Austria

**Josep Domingo-Ferrer**, Rovira i Virgili University of Tarragona, Spain

Chyi-Ren Dow, Feng Chia University, Taiwan

Schahram Dustdar, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Barry Eaglestone, The University of Sheffield, U.K. Max J. Egenhofer, University of Maine, U.S.A. Ali El Kateeb, University of Michigan, U.S.A. A. El Saddik, University of Ottawa, Canada Luis Ferreira Pires, University of Twente, The Netherlands Filomena Ferrucci, University of Salerno, Italy Akira Fukuda, Kyushu University, Japan Giovanni Fulantelli, Italian National Research Council -Institute for Educational Technology, Italy Martin Gaedke, University of Karlsruhe, Germany Nicola Gessa, University of Bologna, Italy Anna Grabowska, Distance Education Centre at Gdansk University of Technology, Poland Begoña Gros, University of Barcelona, Spain Francesco Guerra, Università di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Italv Aaron Gulliver, University of Victoria, Canada Pål Halvorsen, University of Oslo, Norway Nicola Henze, University of Hannover, Germany Jussi Kangasharju, Darmstadt University of Technology, Germany Roland Kaschek, Massey University, New Zealand Sokratis Katsikas, University of the Aegean, Greece Piet Kommers, University of Twente, The Netherlands Mamadou Tadiou Kone, Université Laval, Canada Tsvi Kuflik, The University of Haifa, Israel

Tayeb Lemlouma, LIA Laboratory and University of Avignon, France

Weigang Li, University of Brasilia, Brazil

Pascal Lorenz, University of Haute Alsace, France

Heiko Ludwig, IBM TJ Watson Research Center, U.S.A.

Anna Maddalena, DISI - University of Genoa, Italy

Andreas Mauthe, Lancaster University, U.K.

Johannes Mayer, University of Ulm, Germany

### **PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)**

Carmel McNaught, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, China

Elisabeth Metais, CNAM, CEDRIC Laboratory, France

Alessandro Micarelli, University of "Roma Tre", Italy

Kia Ng, ICSRiM - University of Leeds, U.K.

David Nichols, University of Waikato, New Zealand

Andreas Ninck, Berne University of Applied Sciences, Switzerland

Vladimir Oleshchuk, Agder University College, Norway

Vasile Palade, Oxford University, U.K.

Jun Pang, INRIA Futurs, France

Chang-Shyh Peng, California Lutheran University, U.S.A.

Guenther Pernul, University of Regensburg, Germany

Bhanu Prasad, Florida A & M University, U.S.A.

Kimmo Raatikainen, University of Helsinki, Finland

Werner Retschitzegger, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria

Norman Revell, Middelsex University, U.K.

Marco Roccetti, University of Bologna, Italy

Dumitru Roman, DERI Innsbruck / University of Innsbruck, Austria

Danguole Rutkauskiene, Kaunas University of Technology, Lithuania

Maytham Safar, Kuwait University, Kuwait

Eduardo Sanchez, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain

Abdolhossein Sarrafzadeh, Massey University, New Zealand

Anthony Savidis, ICS-FORTH, Greece

Vittorio Scarano, Universita' di Salerno, Italy

Alexander Schatten, Vienna University of Technology, Institute for Software Technology and Interactive Systems, Austria

Alexander Schill, TU Dresden, Germany

Heiko Schuldt, UMIT, Austria and University of Basel, Switzerland

Jochen Seitz, Technische Universität Ilmenau, Germany

Tony Shan, Wachovia Bank, U.S.A.

Charles A. Shoniregun, University of East London, U.K.

Miguel-Angel Sicilia, University of Alcalá, Spain

Miguel Soriano, UPC, Spain

J. Michael Spector, FSU-LSI, U.S.A.

Martin Sperka, Slovak University of Technology, FIIT, Slovakia

Carlo Strapparava, ITC-IRST, Italy

Eleni Stroulia, University of Alberta, Canada

Aixin Sun, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore

Junichi Suzuki, University of Massachusetts, Boston, U.S.A.

T. Ramayah, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

Arun-Kumar Tripathi, Dresden University of Technology, Germany

Andre Trudel, Acadia University, Canada

Christof van Nimwegen, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Athanasios Vasilakos, University of Thessaly, Greece

Jari Veijalainen, University of Jyvaskyla, Finland

Maria Esther Vidal, Universidad Simón Bolívar, Venezuela

Maurizio Vincini, Universita' di Modena e Reggio Emilia, Italy

Michael Welzl, University of Innsbruck, Austria

Viacheslav Wolfengagen, Institute JurInfoR-MSU, Russia

Sung-Ming Yen, National Central University, Taiwan

Jianying Zhou, Institute for Infocomm Research, Singapore

Weihua Zhuang, University of Waterloo, Canada

### **AUXILIARY REVIEWERS**

Chonho Lee, University of Massachusetts, Boston, U.S.A.
Alex Logvynovskiy, London South Bank University, U.K.
Frederic Majer, University of Karlsruhe, Germany
Delfina Malandrino, Universita' di Salerno, Italy
Sergio di Martino, Universita' di Salerno, Italy
Michele Melchiori, University of Brescia, Italy
Marco Mesiti, University of Milan, Italy
Atmaram Mulliah, University of Alberta, Canada
Wladimir Palant, University of Oslo, Norway
Jaime Pavlich-Mariscal, Computer Science & Engineering, University of Connecticut, U.S.A.
Andreas Brilling I. H. S. CO. L. M.
Andreas Petitund, University of Osio, Norway Paolo Spagnoletti Luise Guido Carli University, Rome Italy
Hung-Min Sun National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan
rung inin bun, runonai rising rua Omversity, ruiwan
Knut-Helge Vik, University of Oslo, Norway
Laura Voicu, University of Basel, Switzerland
Hiroshi Wada, University of Massachusetts, Boston, U.S.A.

Sergey Kosikov, MEPhI, Russia

Christoph Langguth, University of Basel, Switzerland

### SELECTED PAPERS BOOK

A number of selected papers presented at WEBIST 2006 will be published by Springer, in a book entitled Web Information Systems and Technologies I. This selection will be done by the conference and program chair, among the papers actually presented at the conference, based on a rigorous review by the WEBIST 2006 program committee members.

This volume contains the proceedings of the Second International Conference on Web Information Systems and Technologies (WEBIST 2006), organized by the Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication (*INSTICC*) in collaboration with OMG (Object Management Group), hosted by the Business School of Setúbal and sponsored by the Setúbal Polytechnic Institute.

The purpose of this Conference is to bring together researchers, engineers and practitioners interested in the technological advances and business applications of web-based information systems. It has four main topic areas, covering different aspects of Web Information Systems, including Internet Technology, Web Interfaces and Applications, Society, e-Business, e-Government and e-Learning.

WEBIST 2006 received 218 paper submissions from more than 40 countries in all continents. After a double-blind review process, with the help of more than 120 Ph.D. experts from the international program committee, 33 papers were selected to be published and presented as full papers, i.e. completed work (8 pages in proceedings / 30' oral presentations), 76 additional papers, describing work-in-progress, were accepted as short paper for 15' oral presentation, and 69 papers for poster presentation. The "full-paper" acceptance ratio was 16%, and the total oral paper acceptance ratio was 50%.

One of the interesting things about WEBIST is the existence of a panel with all the keynote speakers and a large number of keynote lectures, specifically five. These keynote lectures, presented by internationally recognized researchers, experts in different knowledge areas, have definitely contributed to increase the overall quality of the Conference.

The program for this conference required the dedicated effort of many people. Firstly, we must thank the authors, whose research and development efforts are recorded here. Secondly, we thank the members of the program committee and the additional reviewers for their diligence and expert reviewing. Last but not least, we thank the invited speakers for their invaluable contribution and for taking the time to synthesize and prepare their talks.

We wish you all an exciting conference and an unforgettable stay in the lovely city of Setúbal. We hope to meet you again next year for the 3<sup>rd</sup> WEBIST, in Barcelona (Spain), details of which will be shortly made available at http://www.webist.org.

Joaquim Filipe INSTICC/EST-Setúbal, IPS Portugal José Cordeiro INSTICC/EST-Setúbal, IPS Portugal

### **CONTENTS**

### **INVITED SPEAKERS**

### **KEYNOTE LECTURES**

ANNALS AND A PERSPECTIVE OF ARCHITECTURAL STYLES AND ARCHITECTURAL PATTERNS WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF LARGE COMPLEX WEB-BASED SYSTEMS <i>Mark M. Davydov</i>	IS-3
THE IMPLICATIONS OF WEB 2.0 ON WEB INFORMATION SYSTEMS Bebo White	IS-7
ARE LEARNING REPOSITORIES LIKELY TO BECOME MAINSTREAM IN EDUCATION? Carmel McNaught	IS-9
MOBILE- AND VIRTUAL FACTORS IN EXISTENTIAL LEARNING Piet Kommers	IS-19
THE GROWING IMPORTANCE OF E-COMMUNITIES Hermann Maurer	IS-21
TUTORIAL	
ANNALS AND A PERSPECTIVE OF ARCHITECTURAL STYLES AND ARCHITECTURAL	

 ANNALS AND A PERSPECTIVE OF ARCHITECTURAL STYLES AND ARCHITECTURAL

 PATTERNS WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF LARGE COMPLEX WEB-BASED SYSTEMS
 IS-25

 Mark M. Darydov
 IS-25

### INTERNET TECHNOLOGY

### FULL PAPERS

MEDIATION WITHOUT A GLOBAL SCHEMA - MATCHING QUERIES AND LOCAL SCHEMAS THROUGH AN ONTOLOGY Michel Schneider and Damien Thevenet	5
WEB FEDERATES - TOWARDS A MIDDLEWARE FOR HIGHLY SCALABLE PEER-TO-PEER SERVICES	
Ingo Scholtes and Peter Sturm	13
KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT FOR ADAPTED INFORMATION RETRIEVAL IN UBIQUITOUS ENVIRONMENTS	21
Angela Carrulo-Kamos, Mariene V luanova-Oliver, Jerome Gensel and Herve Marin	21
ONTOLOGY-BASED INTEGRATION OF XML DATA - SCHEMATIC MARKS AS A BRIDGE BETWEEN SYNTAX AND SEMANTIC LEVEL Christophe Cruz and Christophe Nicolle	30
EXTENDING AN XML MEDIATOR WITH TEXT QUERY Clément Jamard and Georges Gardarin	38
INSTANCES NAVIGATION FOR QUERYING INTEGRATED DATA FROM WEB-SITES Domenico Beneventano, Sonia Bergamaschi, Stefania Bruschi, Francesco Guerra, Mirko Orsini and Maurizio Vincini	46
SHORT PAPERS	
A SECURE, OPEN AND INTEROPERABLE E-ORDERING SERVICE Despina Polemi and Spyridon Papastergion	57
A MULTILINGUAL MARKUP TRANSLATION WEB-SERVICE - AN ENTRY LEVEL SOLUTION TO INTERNATIONALIZE XML MARKUP VOCABULARIES <i>Alejandro Bia, Juan Malonda and Federico Botella</i>	63
CWS-TRANSACTIONS: AN APPROACH FOR COMPOSING WEB SERVICES Juha Puustjärvi	69
BENCHMARKING OF WEB SERVICES PLATTFORMS - AN EVALUATION WITH THE TPC-APP BENCHMARK	
Daniel F. García, Javier García, Manuel García, Ivan Peteira, Rodrigo García and Pablo Valledor	75
AN EVENT-BASED MODEL FOR WEB SERVICES COORDINATION Mohsen Rouached and Claude Godart	81
FORMAL SPECIFICATION AND REFINEMENT FOR AN INTERACTIVE WEB EXAMPLE Ingrid van Coppenhagen and Barry Dwolatzky	89
RSA-PADDING SIGNATURES WITH ATTACK STUDIES George Stephanides, Nicolae Constantinescu, Mirel Cosulschi and Mihai Gabroveanu	97
USING SIMPLE PUSHLOGIC David Greaves and Daniel Gordon	101
OPEN AND DYNAMIC SCHEMA EVOLUTION IN CONTENT-INTENSIVE WEB APPLICATIONS Sebastian Bossung, Hans-Werner Sehring, Patrick Hupe and Joachim W. Schmidt	109

MEETING ARRANGEMENT SYSTEM BASED ON MOBILE AGENT TECHNOLOGY Takao Kawamura, Shinichi Motomura, Kengo Kagemoto and Kazunori Sugahara	117
A LOGIC-BASED MOBILE AGENT FRAMEWORK FOR WEB APPLICATIONS Shinichi Motomura, Takao Kawamura and Kazunori Sugahara	121
INVOKING ADAPTED WEB SERVICES USING A MULTI-AGENTS SYSTEM - THE FRAMEWORK PUMAS-AWS	107
Celine Lopez-V elasco, Angela Carrillo-Kamos, Jerome Gensel, Marlene V illanova-Oliver and Herve Martin	127
A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF 802.11 AND 802.11E WIRELESS LAN STANDARDS Fedoua Didi, Houda Labiod and Guy Pujolle	133
THE GLOBALLY SCALABLE ARCHITECTURE FOR HETEROGENEOUS VIDEO SERVERS WITHOUT ANY MODIFICATION SeongKi Kim and SangYong Han	140
A FORMAL DEFINITION OF SELECTION OPERATIONS THAT EXTEND XQUERY WITH INTERACTIVE QUERY CONSTRUCTION Alda Lopes Gançarski and Pedro Rangel Henriques	148
RESEARCH AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MPLS VPN PROTOCOL BASED ON NETWORK PROCESSOR Wang YongJun and Huang QingYuan	156
TRANSFORM: A TRANSACTION SAFE WEB APPLICATION MODEL Matthias Ihle and Georg Lausen	164
SECURITY SENSOR PROVIDING ANALYSIS OF ENCRYPTED NETWORK DATA Daniel Hamburg and York Tüchelmann	172
A STRATEGYPROOF AUCTION MECHANISM FOR GRID SCHEDULING WITH SELFISH ENTITIES V. Hastagiri Prakash and Y. Narahari	178
OPTIMAL INFORMATION GATHERING SCHEME OVER A SCALABLE GRID INFORMATION SERVICES ARCHITECTURE Nianjun Zhou, Dikran Meliksetian, Jean-Pierre Prost and Irwin Boutboul	184
CONTEXT-AWARENESS SIMULATION TOOLKIT - A STUDY ON SECURE CONTEXT-BASED LEARNING IN UBIQUITOUS COMPUTING InSu Kim, HeeMan Park, BongNam Nob, YoungLok Lee, SeungYong Lee and HyungHyo Lee	190
RULE-BASED QUERY TREE EVALUATION OVER FRAGMENTED XML DOCUMENTS Ron G. McFadyen and Yangjun Chen	199
OPTIMIZED CSS ENGINE Alessandro Cogliati and Petri V uorimaa	206
INTEGRATING E-LEARNING OBJECTS IN A P2P SYSTEM Gabriel André D. Dubois Brito and Ana Maria de C. Moura	214
POSTERS	
A TRANSACTION MODEL AND IMPLEMENTATION BASED ON MESSAGE EXCHANGE FOR GRID COMPUTING Zou Yali, Ling Hong and Wu Yonghua	225

ANNOTATED LOGIC REASONING BASED ON XML Fuxi Zhu, Duanzhi Chen and Jia Rao	229
TOWARDS A RAD FRAMEWORK FOR E-COMMERCE SYSTEMS Ernest Cachia and Mark Micallef	234
SOME POINTS AFFECTING WEB PERFORMANCE Christophe Deleuze	242
WEBTESTE: A STRESS TEST TOOL Hugo Saba, Eduardo Manuel de Freitas Jorge, Victor Franco Costa and Hernane Borges de Barros Pereira	246
DISTRIBUTED E-LEARNING SYSTEM USING P2P TECHNOLOGY Shinichi Motomura, Ryosuke Nakatani, Takao Kawamura and Kazunori Sugahara	250
UNIFIED MODEL BASED THREE DIMENSIONAL TOOL FOR MANAGING COMPUTER NETWORKS Meva Dodo, Patrice Torguet, Michelle Sibilla and Jean Pierre Jessel	256
AUTHORIZATION AND ACCESS CONTROL TO SECURE WEB SERVICES IN A GRID INFRASTRUCTURE Serena Pastore	264
XML EVERY-FLAVOR TESTING Antonia Bertolino, Jinghua Gao and Eda Marchetti	268
HIDING INFORMATION IN WAV-FILE - IMPLEMENTATION, ANALYSIS AND EVALUATION Habes Alkhaisat	274

### WEB INTERFACES AND APPLICATIONS

### FULL PAPERS

AN ALGORITHM TO USE FEEDBACK ON VIEWED DOCUMENTS TO IMPROVE WEB QUERY - ENABLING NAÏVE SEARCHERS TO SEARCH THE WEB SMARTLY Sunanda Patro, Vishv Malhotra and David Johnson	287
WEB USER INTERACTION - COMPARISON OF DECLARATIVE APPROACHES Mikko Pohja, Mikko Honkala, Miemo Penttinen, Petri Vuorimaa and Panu Ervamaa	295
A SERVICE BROKERING PLATFORM FOR PROVIDING PERSONALIZED AND SECURE CONTENTS Alessandro Andreadis and Giovanni Luca Daino	303
METAMODELING THE REQUIREMENTS OF WEB SYSTEMS María José Escalona and Nora Koch	310
ENABLING VOCAL INTERACTION IN A WEB PORTAL ENVIRONMENT Federico Bergenti, Lorenzo Lazzari, Marco Mari and Agostino Poggi	318
TOWARDS A DATA QUALITY MODEL FOR WEB PORTALS - RESEARCH IN PROGRESS Angélica Caro, Coral Calero, Ismael Caballero and Mario Piattini	325
M-FIRE: A METAPHOR-BASED FRAMEWORK FOR INFORMATION REPRESENTATION AND EXPLORATION Matteo Golfarelli, Andrea Proli and Stefano Rizzi	332

DOMAIN ONTOLOGIES: A DATABASE-ORIENTED ANALYSIS Stéphane Jean, Guy Pierra and Yamine Ait-Ameur	341
REAL-TIME DISCOVERY OF CURRENTLY AND HEAVILY VIEWED WEB PAGES Kazutaka Maruyama, Kiyotaka Takasuka, Yuta Yagihara, Satoshi Machida, Yuichiro Shirai and Minoru Terada	352
SHORT PAPERS	
FINDING EXPERTS ON THE WEB Fabian Kaiser, Holger Schwarz and Mihály Jakob	363
EFFICIENT POLICY-BASED ACCESS CONTROL IN WEB-BASED PERSONALIZATION MANAGEMENT - FOR USE IN CONVERGENT APPLICATIONS <i>Heinz-Josef Eikerling</i>	369
BPM: OVERALL ARCHITECTURE AND BUSINESS PROCESS DESIGN TOOL Anna Lisa Guido and Roberto Paiano	375
COMBINING TWO STRATEGIES FOR ONTOLOGY MAPPING Ying Wang, Jianbin Gong, Zhe Wang, Yanchun Liang and Nan Lu	381
A MODEL FOR AUTOMATIC MATCHING OF SECURITY REQUIREMENTS DURING SEMANTIC WEB SERVICE DISCOVERY Andreas Friesen and Danna Feng	387
JSR 168 AND WSRP 1.0 – HOW MATURE ARE PORTAL STANDARDS? Xiaobo Yang, Xiao Dong Wang and Rob Allan	393
CONTEXT-AWARE WEBLOG TO ENHANCE COMMUNICATION AMONG PARTICIPANTS IN A CONFERENCE Kosuke Numa, Hideaki Takeda, Takuichi Nishimura, Yutaka Matsuo, Masahiro Hamasaki, Noriyuki Fujimura, Keisuke Ishida, Tom Hope, Yoshiyuki Nakamura, Satoshi Fujiyoshi, Kazuya Sakamoto, Hiroshi Nagata, Osamu Nakagawa and Eiji Shinbori	400
SCALABILITY OF TEXT CLASSIFICATION Ioannis Antonellis, Christos Bouras, Vassilis Poulopoulos and Anastasios Zouzias	408
MINING THE COSTA RICAN WEB Esteban Meneses	414
INTEGRATING ONTOLOGIES AND USER INTERFACES - THE XGC APPROACH Vicente R. Tomás López, J. Javier Samper and Juan José Martínez	422
TRANSFORMATION RULES FROM CONCEPTUAL MODEL TO NAVIGATIONAL MODEL IN HYPERMEDIA APPLICATIONS <i>Didier Assossou and Maxime Wack</i>	428
FRAC+: A DISTRIBUTED COLLABORATIVE FILTERING MODEL FOR CLIENT/SERVER ARCHITECTURES Sylvain Castagnos and Anne Boyer	435
WEB SERVICES FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF PERSISTENT ONLINE GAME FACTIONS François Deliège and Esteban Zimányi	441
SPECIFICATION AND IMPLEMENTATION OF MULTI-AGENT ORGANIZATIONS Fatemeh Ghassemi, Naser Nemat Bakhsh, Behrouz Tork Ladani and Marjan Sirjani	447

### POSTERS

3D ANIMATION STREAMING	
Martin Hash, Robert Hiromoto and Scott Harrison	457
AN ONTOLOGY-BASED SERVICES COMPOSITION ALGORITHM Jean-Charles Verdié, Danièle Hérin and Michel Sala	461
ENTERPRISE PORTAL CONTENT MANAGEMENT - FROM MODEL TO APPLICATION Sergey V. Zykov	465
XML QUERY OBJECT MODEL: A GENERAL VIEW Fábio Ribeiro Silvestre and Hernane Borges de Barros Pereira	469
GENERATING TEST CASES FROM SEQUENCES OF USE CASES Javier J. Gutiérrez, María J. Escalona, Manuel Mejías and Jesús Torres	473
MCCV BASED IMAGE RETRIEVAL FOR ASTRONOMICAL IMAGES S. Santhosh Baboo, P. Subashini and K. S. Easwarakumar	477
AUGMENTED REALITY ENVIRONMENT USING A WEB BROWSER - CONTENT PRESENTATION WITH A TWO-LAYER DISPLAY Kikuo Asai and Hideaki Kobayashi	481
TOWARD A SEARCH ENGINE OF MULTIMEDIA PRESENTATIONS Belkhir Abdelkader, Bouyakoub Fayçal M'hamed and Bouyakoub Samia	487
SEMANTIC WEB TECHNOLOGIES FOR CONTENT REUTILIZATION STRATEGIES IN PUBLISHING COMPANIES Andreas Andreakis, Adrian Paschke, Alexander Benlian, Martin Bichler and Thomas Hess	491
WEB APPLICATION DEVELOPMENT AND QUALITY - OBSERVATIONS FROM INTERVIEWS WITH COMPANIES IN NORWAY Sven Ziemer and Tor Stalhane	495

AUTHOR INDEX

499

### TOWARDS A DATA QUALITY MODEL FOR WEB PORTALS Research in Progress

Angélica Caro

Universidad del Bio Bio, Departamento de Auditoria e Informática, La Castilla s/n, Chillán, Chile mcaro@ubiobio.cl

#### Coral Calero, Ismael Caballero, Mario Piattini

ALARCOS Research Group

Information Systems and Technologies Department, UCLM-Soluziona Research and Development Institute University of Castilla-La Mancha, Paseo de la Universidad, 4 – 13071 Ciudad Real, Spain {Coral.Calero,Ismael.Caballero,Mario.Piattini}@uclm.es

Keywords: Data Quality, Information Quality, Web Portals.

Abstract: The technological advances and the use of the internet have favoured the appearance of a great diversity of web applications, among them Web Portals. Through them, organizations develop their businesses in a really competitive environment. A decisive factor for this competitiveness is the assurance of data quality. In the last years, several research works on Web Data Quality have been developed. However, there is a lack of specific proposals for web portals data quality. Our aim is to develop a data quality model for web portals focused -oin three aspects: data quality expectations of data consumer, the software functionality of web portals and the web data quality attributes recompiled from a literature review. In this paper, we will present the first version of our model.

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

In the last years, a growing interest in the subject of Data Quality (DQ) or Information Quality (IQ) has been generated because of the increase of interconnectivity of data producers and data consumers mainly due to the development of the internet and web technologies. The DQ/IQ is often defined as "fitness for use", i.e., the ability of a data collection to meet user requirements (Strong, Lee et al., 1997; Cappiello, Francalanci et al., 2004). Data Quality is a multi-dimensional concept (Cappiello, Francalanci et al., 2004), and in the DQ/IQ literature several frameworks providing categories and dimensions as a way of facing DQ/IQ problems can be found.

Research on DQ/IQ started in the context of information systems (Strong, Lee et al., 1997; Lee, 2002) and it has been extended to contexts such as cooperative systems (Fugini, Mecella et al., 2002; Marchetti, Mecella et al., 2003; Winkler, 2004), data warehouses (Bouzeghoub and Kedad, 2001; Zhu and Buchmann, 2002) or electronic commerce

(Aboelmeged, 2000; Katerattanakul and Siau, 2001), among others.

Due to the characteristics of web applications and their differences from the traditional information systems, the community of researchers has recently started to deal with the subject of DQ/IQ on the web (Gertz, Ozsu et al., 2004). However, there are not works on DQ/IQ specifically developed for web portals. As the literature shows that DQ/IQ is very dependent on the context, we have centred our work on the definition of a Data Quality Model for web portals. To do so, we have used some works developed for different contexts on the web but that can be partially applied or adapted to our particular context. For example, we have used the work of Yang et al., (2004) where a quality framework for web portals is proposed including data quality as a part of it.

As the concept of "fitness for use" is widely adopted in the literature (emphasizing the importance of taking into consideration the consumer viewpoint of quality), we have also considered, for the definition of our model, the data consumer viewpoint. To produce our model, we defined a four-stage process, as set out in figure 1. In the first of these phases, we recompiled web data quality attributes from the literature and which we believe should therefore be applicable to web portals. In the second stage we built a matrix for the classification of the attributes obtained in stage 1. This matrix reflects two basic aspects considered in our model: the data consumer perspective (by means data quality expectations of data consumers on Internet) and the



Figure 1: Stages in the development our model.

basic functionalities which a data consumer uses to interact with a Web portal.

Then in our third stage we used the matrix that has been produced, to analyse the applicability of each attribute of Web quality in a Web portal. Finally, in the fourth stage, we will validate our preliminary model, using surveys carried out on the data consumers of a given portal.

In this paper we describe the first version of our model, product of the three first stages of our methodology. The structure of this paper is as follows. In section 2, the components of our model are presented. In section 3, we will deeply describe the first version of our DQ/IQ Web Portal Model. Finally, in section 4 we will conclude with our general remarks and future work.

### 2 MODEL COMPONENTS

Web Portals are emerging Internet-based applications that enable access to different sources (providers) through a single interface (Mahdavi, Shepherd et al., 2004). The primary objective of a portal software solution is to create a working environment where users can easily navigate in order to find the information they specifically need to perform their operational or strategic functions quickly as well as to make decisions (Collins, 2001), being responsibility of web portals' owners the achievement and maintenance of a high information quality state (Kopcso, Pipino et al., 2000).

In this section, we will present the three basic aspects considerate to define our DQ/IQ model for web portals: the DQ/IQ attributes defined in the web context, the data consumer expectations about data quality, and web portals functionalities.

### 2.1 Data Consumer Expectations

When data management is conceptualized as a production process (Strong, Lee et al., 1997), we can identify three important roles in this process: (1) data producers (who generate data), (2) data custodians (who provide and manage resources for processing and storing data), and (3) data consumers (who access and use data for their tasks).

As in the context of web-based information systems, roles (1) and (2) can be developed by the same entity (Gertz, Ozsu et al., 2004), for web portals context we identify two roles in the data management process: (1) data producers-custodians, and (2) data consumers.

So far, except for few works in DQ/IQ area, like (Wang and Strong, 1996; Strong, Lee et al., 1997; Burgess, Fiddian et al., 2004; Cappiello, Francalanci et al., 2004), most of the works on the subject have looked at quality from the data producer-custodian perspective. The data consumer's perspective of quality differs from this in two important ways (Burgess, Fiddian et al., 2004):

Data consumer has no control over the quality of available data.

The aim of consumers is to find data that match their personal needs, rather than provide data that meet the needs of others.

Our proposal of a DQ/IQ model for web portals considers the data quality expectations of data consumer because, at the end, it is the consumer who will judge whether a data is fitted for use or not (Wang and Strong, 1996).

We will use the quality expectations of the data consumer on the Internet, proposed in (Redman, 2001). These expectations are organized into six categories: Privacy, Content, Quality of values, Presentation, Improvement, and Commitment.

#### 2.2 Web Portal Functionalities

A web portal is a system of data manufacturing where we can distinguish the two roles established in the previous subsection. Web portals present basic software functionalities to data consumer deploying their tasks and under our perspective, the consumer judges data by using the application functionalities. So, we used the web portal software functions that Collins proposes in (Collins, 2001) considering them as basics in our model. These functions are as follows: Data Points and



Figure 2: Roles in web portals.

Integration, Taxonomy, Search Capabilities, Help Features, Content Management, Process and Action, Collaboration and Communication, Personalization, Presentation, Administration, and Security. Behind these functions, the web portal encapsulates the producer-custodian role. Figure 2 illustrates this fact.

### 2.3 Web DQ Revision

By using a DQ/IQ framework, organizations are able to define a model for data, to identify relevant quality attributes, to analyze attributes within both current and future contexts, to provide a guide to improve DQ/IQ and to solve data quality problems (Kerr and Norris, 2004). In the literature, we have found some proposals oriented to DQ/IQ on the web.

Among them, we can highlight those showed in table 1. Related to such proposals, we can conclude that there is no agreement concerning either the set of attributes or, in several cases, their meaning. This situation, probably, is a consequence of the different domains and author's focus of the studied works.

However, from this revision we captured several data quality attributes. The most considered are (we present between brackets different terms used for the same concept): Accuracy (Accurate), in 60% of the works; Completeness, in 50% of the works and Timeliness (Timely), in 40% of the works; Concise (Concise representation), Consistent (Consistent representation), Currency (Current), Interpretability, Relevance, Secure (Security), in 30% of the studies. Accessibility (Accessible), Amount of data

(Appropriate amount of information), Availability, Credibility, Objectivity, Reputation, Source Reliability, Traceability (Traceable), Value added are stated in 20% of the works.

Finally, Applicable, Clear, Comprehensive, Confidentiality, Content, Convenient, Correct, Customer Support, Degree of Duplicates, Degree of Granularity, Documentation, Understand ability (Ease of understanding), Expiration, Flexibility, Freshness, Importance, Information value, Maintainable, Novelty, Ontology, Pre-decision availability, Price, Reliability, Response time, Layout and design, Uniqueness, Validity, and Verifiability are only studied in 10 % of the works

Summarizing the above-mentioned attributes, by means of similarity in their names and definitions, we have obtained a set of 28 attributes. Based on these DQ/IQ attributes we will try to identify which ones are applicable to the web portals context by classifying them into the matrix construed by the previous aspects (data consumer expectations x functionalities).

### 3 RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN THE COMPONENTS OF THE MODEL

Based on the previous background, we will determine the relationship between the web portal functionalities and the quality expectations of data consumers. Then, we will present the definition of each function according to (Collins, 2001) and we will show their relationships (see figure 3).

Data Points and Integration. They provide the ability to access information from a wide range of internal and external information sources and display the resulting information at the single pointof-access desktop. The expectations applied to this functionality are: *Content* (Consumers need a description of portal areas covered, use of published data, etc.), *Presentation* (formats, language, and others are very important for easy interpretation) and *Improvement* (users want to participate with their opinions in the portal improvements knowing the result of applying them).

*Taxonomy*. It provides information context (including the organization-specific categories that reflect and support organization's business), we consider that the expectations of data consumer

	Summary of web DQ/IQ II	ramework in the interature.
Author	Domain	Framework structure
(Katerattanakul and Siau, 1999)	Personal web sites	4 categories and 7 constructors
(Naumann and Rolker, 2000)	Data integration	3 classes and 22 of quality criterion
(Aboelmeged, 2000)	e-commerce	7 stages to modelling DQ problems
(Katerattanakul and Siau, 2001)	e-commerce	4 categories associated with 3 categories of data
		user requirements.
(Pernici and Scannapieco,	Web information	4 categories, 7 activities of DQ design and
2002)	systems (data evolution)	architecture to DQ management.
(Fugini, Mecella et al., 2002)	e-service	8 dimensions
	cooperative	
(Graefe, 2003)	Decision making	8 dimensions and 12 aspects related to
		(providers/consumers)
(Eppler, Algesheimer et al.,	Web sites	4 dimensions and 16 attributes
2003)		
(Gertz, Ozsu et al., 2004)	DQ on the web	5 dimensions
(Moustakis, Litos et al., 2004)	Web sites	5 categories and 10 sub-categories
(Melkas, 2004)	Organizational	6 stages to DQ analysis with several dimensions
	networks	associated with each one
(Bouzeghoub and Peralta, 2004)	Data integration	2 factors and 4 metrics
(Yang, Cai et al., 2004)	Web information	2 dimensions
-	portals	

Table 1: Summary of web DQ/IQ framework in the literature.

are: *Content* (consumers need a description of which data are published and how they should be used, easy-to-understand definitions of every important term, etc.), *Presentation* (formats and language in the taxonomy are very important for easy interpretation, users should expect to find instructions when reading the data), and *Improvement* (user should expect to convey his/her comments on data in the taxonomy and know the result of improvements).

Search Capabilities. It provides several services for web portal users and needs searches across the enterprise, World Wide Web, and search engine catalogs and indexes. The expectations applied to this functionality are: Quality of values (Data consumer should expect that the result of searches is correct, current and complete), Presentation (formats and language are important for consumers, for the search and for easy interpretation of results) and Improvement (consumer should expect to convey his/her comments on data in the taxonomy and know the result of improvements).

*Help Features.* They provide help when using the web portal. The expectations applied to this functionality are: *Presentation* (formats, language, and others are very important for easy interpretation of help texts) and *Commitment* (consumer should be easily able to ask and obtain answer to any question regarding the proper use or meaning of data, update schedules, etc.).

*Content Management.* This function supports content creation, authorization, and inclusion in (or exclusion from) web portal collections. The expectations applied to this functionality are:

Privacy (it should exist privacy policy for all consumers to manage, to access sources and to guarantee web portals data), Content (consumers need a description of data collections, that all data needed for an intended use are provided, etc.), Quality of values (consumer should expect that all data values are correct, current and complete, unless otherwise stated), Presentation (formats and language should be appropriate for easy interpretation), Improvement (consumer should expect to convey his/her comments on contents and their management and know the result of the improvements) and Commitment (consumer should be easily able to ask and have any question regarding the proper use or meaning of data, update schedules, etc. answered).

Process and Action. This function enables the web portal user to initiate and participate in a business process of portal owner. The expectations applied to this functionality are: Privacy (Data consumer should expect that there is a privacy policy to manage the data about the business on the portal), Content ( Consumers should expect to find descriptions about the data published for the processes and actions, appropriate and inappropriate uses, that all data needed for the process and actions are provided, etc.), Quality of values (that all data associated to this function are correct, current and complete, unless otherwise stated), Presentation (formats, language, and others are very important for properly interpret data), Improvement (consumer should expect to convey his/her comments on contents and their management and know the result of improvements) and Commitment (consumer should be easily able to ask and to obtain answer to any questions regarding the proper use or meaning of data in a process or action, etc.).

Collaboration and Communication. This function facilitates discussion, locating innovative ideas, and recognizing resourceful solutions. The expectations applied to this functionality are: Privacy (consumer should expect privacy policy for all consumers that participate in activities of this function), and Commitment (consumer should be easily able to ask and have any questions regarding the proper use or meaning of data for the collaboration communication, and/or etc.. answered).

*Personalization.* This is a critical component to create a working environment that is organized and configured specifically to each user. The expectations applied to this functionality are: *Privacy* (consumer should expect privacy and security about their personalization data, profile, etc.), and *Quality of values* ( data about user profile should be correct, current).

Presentation. It provides both the knowledge desktop and the visual experience to the web portal user that encapsulates all of the portal's The expectations applied to this functionality. functionality are: Content (the presentation of a web portal should include data about covered areas, appropriate and inappropriate uses, definitions, information about the sources, etc.), Quality of values (the data of this function should be correct, current and complete.), Presentation (formats, language, and others are very important for easy interpretation and appropriate use of portals data.) and Improvement (consumer should expect to convey his/her comments on contents and their management and know the result of the improvements).

Administration. This function provides service for deploying maintenance activities or tasks associated with the web portal system. The expectations applied to this functionality are: *Privacy* (Data consumers need security for data about the portal administration) and *Quality of values* (Data about tasks or activities of administration should be correct and complete).

Security. It provides a description of the levels of access that each user or groups of users are allowed for each portal application and software function included in the web portal. The expectations applied to this functionality are: *Privacy* (consumer need privacy policy about the data of the levels of access of data consumers.), *Quality of values* (data about the levels of access should be correct and current.) and *Presentation* (data about security should be in format and language for easy interpretation).

Concerning the relationships established in the matrix of figure 3, we can remark that Presentation is the category of data consumer expectation with more relations. This perfectly fits with the main goal of any web applications, which is to be useful and user-friendly for any kind of user.

The next step is to fill in each cell of the matrix with Web DQ/IQ attributes obtained from the study presented in 2.3. As a result of this, we have a subset of DQ/IQ attributes that can be used in a web portal to evaluate data quality. In table 2, we will show the most relevant attributes for each category of data consumer expectations.

To validate and complete this assignation we plan to work with portal data consumers through surveys and questionnaires. Once the validation is finished, we will reorganize the attributes obtaining the final version of our model.



Figure 3: Matrix stating the relationships between data consumer expectations and web portal functionalities.

### 4 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

The great majority of works found in the literature show that data quality or information quality is very dependent on the context. The increase of the interest in the development of web applications has implied either the appearance of new proposals of frameworks, methodologies and evaluation methods of DQ/IQ or the adaptation of the already-existing ones from other contexts. However, in the web portal context, data quality frameworks do not exist In this paper, we have presented a proposal that combines three aspects: (1) a set of web data quality attributes resulting from a data quality literature survey that can be applicable and useful for a web portal, (2) the data quality expectations of data consumer on the Internet, and (3) the basic functionalities for a web portal. These aspects have been related by obtaining a first set of data quality

	W1 (16 (11) 11)	
Category of	web portal functionalities related	web DQ/IQ attributes applying almost one
Data Consumer	to each category	functionality in each category
Expectations		
Privacy	Content management	Security
	Process and actions	
	Collaboration and Communication	
	Personalization	
	Administration	
	Security	
Content	Data Points and Integration	Accessibility, Currency, Amount of data,
	Taxonomy	Understandability, Relevance, Concise Representation,
	Content management	Validity, Traceability, Completeness, Reliability,
	Process and actions	Credibility, Timeliness, Availability, Documentation,
	Presentation	Specialization, Interpretability, Easy to use
Quality of	Data Points and Integration	Accessibility, Currency, Amount of data,
data	Search Capabilities	Credibility, Understandability, Accuracy, Expiration,
	Content management	Novelty, Relevance, Validity, Concise Representation,
	Process and actions	Completeness, Reliability, Availability, Documentation,
	Personalization	Duplicity, Specialization, Interpretability, Objectivity,
	Presentation	Relevance, Reputation, Traceability, Utility, Value-
	Security	added. Easy to use
Presentation	Data Points and Integration	Amount of data, Completeness, Understandability,
	Taxonomy	Easy to use. Concise Representation. Consistent
	Search Capabilities	Representation, Validity, Relevance, Interpretability,
	Help Features	User support. Availability. Specialization. Flexibility
	Content management	
	Process and actions	
	Collaboration and Communication	
	Presentation	
	Administration	
	Security	
Improvement	Data Points and Integration	Accessibility Reliability Credibility
improvement	Taxonomy	Understandability User support Traceability
	Search Capabilities	checistandaomity, ober support, fractaomity
	Content management	
	Process and actions	
	Presentation	
Commitment	Heln Features	Accessibility Reliability User support
Communelli	Content management	recessionity, renability, oser support,
	Process and actions	

Table 2: Web Data Quality attributes applied to web portal functionalities in each category

attributes for the different data consumer expectations X functionalities.

Our future work, now in progress, consists of validating and refining this model. First of all, it is necessary to check these DQ/IQ attributes with data consumers in a web portal. We plan to make a questionnaire for each web portal functionality. Then, once we have validated the model, we will define a framework including the necessary elements to evaluate a DQ/IQ in a web portal. Our aim is to obtain a flexible framework where the data consumer can select the attributes used to evaluate the quality of data in a web portal, depending on the

existing functionalities and their personal data quality expectations.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research is part of the following projects: CALIPO (TIC2003-07804-C05-03) supported by the Dirección General de Investigación of the Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (Spain) and DIMENSIONS (PBC-05-012-1) supported by FEDER and by the "Consejería de Educación y Ciencia, Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha" (Spain).

### REFERENCES

- Aboelmeged, M., 2000. A Soft System Perspective on Information Quality in Electronic Commerce. In Proceeding of the Fifth Conference on Information Quality,
- Bouzeghoub, M. and Z. Kedad, 2001. Quality in Data Warehousing. Information and Database Quality. M. Piattini, C. Calero and M. Genero, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Bouzeghoub, M. and V. Peralta, 2004. A Framework for Analysis of data Freshness. In International Workshop on Information Quality in Information Systems, (IQIS2004), Paris, France, ACM.
- Burgess, M., N. Fiddian, et al., 2004. Quality Measures and The Information Consumer. In *IQ2004*,
- Cappiello, C., C. Francalanci, et al., 2004. Data quality assessment from the user's perspective. In International Workshop on Information Quality in Information Systems, (IQIS2004), Paris, Francia, ACM.
- Collins, H., 2001. Corporate Portal Definition and Features. AMACOM
- Eppler, M., R. Algesheimer, et al., 2003. Quality Criteria of Content-Driven Websites and Their Influence on Customer Satisfaction and Loyalty: An Empirical Test of an Information Quality Framework. In *Proceeding* of the Eighth International Conference on Information Quality,
- Fugini, M., M. Mecella, et al.,2002. Data Quality in Cooperative Web Information Systems.In.
- Gertz, M., T. Ozsu, et al.,2004. Report on the Dagstuhl Seminar "Data Quality on the Web".In *SIGMOD Record* vol. 33, N° 1: 127-132.
- Graefe, G., 2003. Incredible Information on the Internet: Biased Information Provision and a Lack of Credibility as a Cause of Insufficient Information Quality. In *Proceeding of the Eighth International Conference on Information Quality*,
- Katerattanakul, P. and K. Siau, 1999. Measuring Information Quality of Web Sites: Development of an Instrument. In *Proceeding of the 20th International Conference on Information System*,
- Katerattanakul, P. and K. Siau, 2001. Information quality in internet commerce desing. Information and Database Quality. M. Piattini, C. Calero and M. Genero, Kluwer Academic Publishers.
- Kerr, K. and T. Norris, 2004. The Development of a Healthcare Data Quality Framework and Strategy. In *IQ2004*,
- Kopcso, D., L. Pipino, et al., 2000. The Assessment of Web Site Quality. In Proceeding of the Fifth International Conference on Information Quality,
- Lee, Y.,2002. AIMQ: a methodology for information quality assessment.In *Information and Management*. *Elsevier Science*: 133-146.
- Mahdavi, M., J. Shepherd, et al., 2004. A Collaborative Approach for Caching Dynamic Data in Portal Applications. In *Proceedings of the fifteenth conference on Australian database*,

- Marchetti, C., M. Mecella, et al., 2003. Enabling Data Quality Notification in Cooperative Information Systems through a Web-service based Architecture. In Proceeding of the Fourth International Conference on Web Information Systems Engineering,
- Melkas, H., 2004. Analyzing Information Quality in Virtual service Networks with Qualitative Interview Data. In *Proceeding of the Ninth International Conference on Information Quality*,
- Moustakis, V., C. Litos, et al., 2004. Website Quality Assessment Criteria. In *Proceeding of the Ninth International Conference on Information Quality*,
- Naumann, F. and C. Rolker, 2000. Assessment Methods for Information Quality Criteria. In *Proceeding of the Fifth International Conference on Information Quality*,
- Pernici, B. and M. Scannapieco, 2002. Data Quality in Web Information Systems. In Proceeding of the 21st International Conference on Conceptual Modeling,
- Redman, T., 2001. Data Quality: The Field Guide. Digital Press
- Strong, D., Y. Lee, et al.,1997. Data Quality in Context.In Communications of the ACM Vol. 40, N° 5: 103 -110.
- Wang, R. and D. Strong,1996. Beyond Accuracy: What Data Quality Means to Data Consumer.In Journal of Management Information Systems 12(4): 5-33.
- Winkler, W.,2004. Methods for evaluating and creating data quality. In *Information Systems* N° 29: 531-550.
- Yang, Z., S. Cai, et al.,2004. Development and validation of an instrument to measure user perceived service quality of information presenting Web portals.In *Information and Management. Elsevier Science* 42: 575-589.
- Zhu, Y. and A. Buchmann, 2002. Evaluating and Selecting Web Sources as external Information Resources of a Data Warehouse. In Proceeding of the 3rd International Conference on Web Information Systems Engineering,