



# ICEIS 2007

## ***NINTH INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SYSTEMS***

# Proceedings

*Databases and Information Systems Integration*

FUNCHAL, PORTUGAL, June 12-16, 2007

SPONSORED BY

FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia

ORGANIZED BY



CO-ORGANIZED BY

University of Madeira

IN COOPERATION WITH

ACM/SIGMIS and AAAI

# ICEIS 2007

Proceedings of the  
Ninth International Conference on  
Enterprise Information Systems

Volume DISI

Funchal, Madeira, Portugal

June 12 – 16, 2007

Co-organized by  
**INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control  
and Communication**  
and  
**Universidade da Madeira**

Sponsored by  
**FCT – Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia**

In Cooperation with  
**ACM SIGMIS – Special Interest Group on Management  
Information Systems**  
**AAAI – Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence**

Copyright © 2007 INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of  
Information, Control and Communication  
All rights reserved

Edited by Jorge Cardoso, José Cordeiro and Joaquim Filipe

Printed in Portugal

ISBN: 978-972-8865-88-7

Depósito Legal: 258799/07

<http://www.iceis.org>

[secretariat@iceis.org](mailto:secretariat@iceis.org)

# BRIEF CONTENTS

---

INVITED SPEAKERS.....	IV
SPECIAL SESSION CHAIRS .....	V
ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES .....	VI
SENIOR PROGRAM COMMITTEE.....	VII
PROGRAM COMMITTEE .....	VIII
AUXILIARY REVIEWERS .....	XII
SELECTED PAPERS BOOK .....	XIV
SPONSOR .....	XIV
FOREWORD.....	XV
CONTENTS.....	XVII

# INVITED SPEAKERS

---

**Amit Sheth**

Kno.e.sis Center, Wright State University

USA

**Wil van der Aalst**

Eindhoven University of Technology

The Netherlands

**Kurt Sandkuhl**

Jönköping University

Sweden

**Christoph Bussler**

BEA Systems, Inc.

USA

**Martin Curley**

Intel Corporation

USA

**K. Venkatesh Prasad**

Ford Motor

USA

**Larry Constantine**

University of Madeira, Portugal and

Constantine & Lockwood Ltd., USA

# SPECIAL SESSION CHAIRS

---

## SPECIAL SESSION ON BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE, KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT AND KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS

**Aurora Vizcaíno**, Escuela Superior de Informática Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Juan Pablo Soto**, Escuela Superior de Informática Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Ezendu Ariwa**, London Metropolitan University, U.K.

## SPECIAL SESSION ON COMPUTER SUPPORTED COLLABORATIVE EDITING

**Claudia Ignat**, LORIA-INRIA Lorraine, France

**Pascal Molli**, LORIA- INRIA Lorraine, University Henri Poincaré, France

**Hala Skaf-Molli**, LORIA- INRIA Lorraine, University Henri Poincaré, France

## SPECIAL SESSION ON APPLICATIONS IN A REAL WORLD

**Wita Wojtkowski**, Boise State University, USA

## SPECIAL SESSION ON NEW INFORMATION SYSTEM AND APPROACHES FOR PRODUCT MAINTENANCE

**Stéphanie Minel**, LIPSI-ESTIA Biarritz, LAPS-Bordeaux University, France

**Jérémy Legardeur**, LIPSI-ESTIA Biarritz, LAPS-Bordeaux University, France

## SPECIAL SESSION ON COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF SEMANTIC WEB SERVICE FRAMEWORKS

**Tiziana Margaria**, Institute for Computer Science, University Potsdam, Germany

**Ulrich Küster**, Institute for Computer Science, Friedrich-Schiller-University Jena, Germany

# ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES

---

## CONFERENCE CHAIR

Joaquim Filipe, Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

## PROGRAM CO-CHAIRS

Jorge Cardoso, Universidade da Madeira, Madeira, Portugal

José Cordeiro, Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

## LOCAL ARRANGEMENTS

Mónica Saramago, INSTICC, Portugal

## PROCEEDINGS PRODUCTION

Andreia Costa, INSTICC, Portugal

Vítor Duarte, INSTICC, Portugal

Bruno Encarnação, INSTICC, Portugal

Luís Marques, INSTICC, Portugal

Vitor Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal

## CD-ROM PRODUCTION

Paulo Brito, INSTICC, Portugal

## WEBDESIGNER

Marina Carvalho, INSTICC, Portugal

## GRAPHICS PRODUCTION

Helder Coelhas, INSTICC, Portugal

## SECRETARIAT AND WEBMASTER

Vitor Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal

# SENIOR PROGRAM COMMITTEE

---

**Luís Amaral**, University of Minho, Portugal

**Peter Bøgh Andersen**, University of Aarhus,  
Denmark

**Senén Barro**, University of Santiago de Compostela,  
Spain

**Jean Bézivin**, ATLAS Group (INRIA & IRIN),  
University of Nantes, France

**Enrique Bonsón**, University of Huelva, Spain

**João Alvaro Carvalho**, University of Minho, Portugal

**Albert Cheng**, University of Houston, USA

**Bernard Coulette**, University of Toulouse 2, France

**Andrea De Lucia**, Università di Salerno, Italy

**Jan Dietz**, Delft University of Technology,  
The Netherlands

**Virginia Dignum**, Utrecht University,  
The Netherlands

**Schahram Dustdar**, Technical University of Vienna,  
Austria

**António Figueiredo**, University of Coimbra, Portugal

**Ulrich Frank**, ICB - University of Essen, Germany

**Nuno Guimarães**, University of Lisbon, Portugal

**Jatinder Gupta**, The University of Alabama in  
Huntsville, USA

**Erik Hollnagel**, École des Mines de Paris, France

**Dimitris Karagiannis**, University of Vienna, Austria

**Maurizio Lenzerini**, University of Rome La  
Sapienza, Italy

**Michel Leonard**, University of Geneva, Switzerland

**Kecheng Liu**, The University of Reading, UK

**Pericles Loucopoulos**, The University of Manchester,  
UK

**Paul Luker**, Higher Education Academy, UK

**Kalle Lyytinen**, Case Western Reserve University,  
USA

**Yannis Manolopoulos**, Aristotle University of  
Thessaloniki, Greece

**José Legatheaux Martins**, Faculty of Sciences and  
Technology, New University of Lisbon, Portugal

**Masao Johannes Matsumoto**, Kyushu Sangyo  
University, Japan

**Carmel McNaught**, The Chinese University of Hong  
Kong, China

**Luís Moniz Pereira**, Universidade Nova de Lisboa,  
Portugal

**George Papadopoulos**, University of Cyprus, Cyprus

**Marcin Paprzycki**, SWPS and IBS PAN, USA

**Alain Pirotte**, University of Louvain, Belgium

**Klaus Pohl**, University of Duisburg-Essen, Germany  
and Lero, The Irish software Engineering Centre,  
Ireland/Germany

**Matthias Rauterberg**, Technical University  
Eindhoven, The Netherlands

**Colette Rolland**, University of PARIS-1, France

**Narczyz Roztocki**, State University of New York  
(SUNY) at New Paltz, USA

**Abdel-Badeeh Salem**, Ain Shams University, Egypt

**Bernadette Sharp**, Staffordshire University, UK

**Timothy K. Shih**, Tamkang University, Taiwan

**Alexander Smirnov**, St. Petersburg Institute for  
Informatics and Automation of Russian Academy of  
Sciences - SPIIRAS, Russia

**Ronald Stamper**, Staffordshire University, UK and  
University of Twente, NL, UK/The Netherlands

**David Taniar**, Monash University, Australia

**Miguel Toro**, University of Seville, Spain

**Antonio Vallecillo**, Universidad de Málaga, Spain

**Michalis Vazirgiannis**, Athens University of  
Economics & Business, Greece

**François Vernadat**, European Commission,  
Luxembourg

**Ioannis Vlahavas**, Aristotle University of  
Thessaloniki, Greece

**Frank Wang**, Cambridge-Cranfield High  
Performance Computing Facilities, UK

**Merrill Warkentin**, Mississippi State University,  
USA

**Hans Weigand**, Tilburg University, The Netherlands

**Yoneo Yano**, The University of Tokushima, Japan

**Mohd Syazwan Abdullah**, Universiti Utara Malaysia,  
Malaysia



# PROGRAM COMMITTEE

---

**Rama Akkiraju**, IBM Research, USA

**Patrick Albers**, ESEO - Ecole Supérieure d'Electronique de l'Ouest, France

**Salah Al-Sharhan**, Gulf University for Science and Technology, Kuwait

**Andreas Andreou**, University of Cyprus, Cyprus

**Pedro Antunes**, Faculty of Sciences, University of Lisboa, Portugal

**Ezendu Ariwa**, London Metropolitan University, UK

**Juan Carlos Augusto**, University of Ulster at Jordanstown, UK

**Bart Baesens**, University of Southampton, UK

**Cecilia Baranauskas**, UNICAMP - Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brazil

**Steve Barker**, King's College London University, UK

**Balbir Barn**, Thames Valley University, UK

**Daniela Barreiro Claro**, Universidade Federal da Bahia, Brazil

**Nick Bassiliades**, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

**Remi Bastide**, LIIHS-IRIT, France

**Nadia Bellalem**, University NANCY 2, France

**Orlando Belo**, University of Minho, Portugal

**Hatem Ben Sta**, Tunisia University, Tunisia

**Sadok Ben Yahia**, Faculty of Sciences of Tunis, Tunisia

**Peter Bertok**, RMIT University, Australia

**Robert Biddle**, Carleton University, Canada

**Oliver Bittel**, HTWG Konstanz - University of Applied Sciences, Germany

**Luis Borges Gouveia**, University Fernando Pessoa, Portugal

**Djamel Bouchaffra**, Oakland University, Michigan, USA

**Danielle Boulanger**, University of Lyon, France

**Jean-Louis Boulanger**, University of Technologie of Compiègne, France

**José Ângelo Braga de Vasconcelos**, Universidade Fernando Pessoa, Portugal

**Sjaak Brinkkemper**, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

**Miguel Calejo**, Declarativa, Portugal

**Coral Calero**, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Luis M. Camarinha-Matos**, New University of Lisbon / Uninova, Portugal

**Olivier Camp**, Ecole Supérieure d'Electronique de l'Ouest, France

**Fernando Carvalho**, Universidade Federal do Ceará, Brazil

**Malu Castellanos**, HP Laboratories, USA

**Jose Jesus Castro-Schez**, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Luca Cernuzzi**, Universidad Católica, Paraguay

**Maria Filomena Cerqueira de Castro Lopes**, Universidade Portucalense Infante D. Henrique, Portugal

**Laurent Chapelier**, Fortis Banque Luxembourg, France

**William Cheng-Chung Chu**, TungHai University, Taiwan

**Chrisment Claude**, IRIT/SIG, France

**Francesco Colace**, Università degli Studi di Salerno, Italy

**Cesar Collazos**, Universidad del Cauca, Colombia

**Jose Eduardo Corcoles**, LoUISE Research Group Castilla-La Mancha University, Spain

**Antonio Corral**, University of Almeria, Spain

**Julie Cowie**, University of Stirling, UK

**Sharon Cox**, University of Central England, UK

**Alfredo Cuzzocrea**, University of Calabria, Italy

**Mohamed Dahchour**, Institut National des Postes et Télécommunications (INPT), Morocco

**Sergio de Cesare**, Brunel University, UK

**Nuno de Magalhães Ribeiro**, Centro de Estudos e Recursos Multimediáticos (CEREM), UFP, Portugal

**Suash Deb**, National Institute of Science & Technology, India

**Vincenzo Deufemia**, University of Salerno, Italy

## PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

---

**Rajiv Dharaskar**, Nagpur University, MIET, CSI,  
India

**José Javier Dolado**, University of the Basque  
Country, Spain

**Alan Eardley**, Staffordshire University, UK

**Hans-Dieter Ehrich**, Technische Universitaet  
Braunschweig, Germany

**David Emery**, Staffordshire University, UK

**Jean-Max Estay**, Université Catholique de l'Ouest,  
France

**Yaniv Eytani**, University of Illinois at  
Urbana-Champaign, USA

**Antonio Fariña**, University of A Coruña, Spain

**Antonio Fernández-Caballero**, Universidad de  
Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Eduardo Fernández-Medina**, University of  
Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Edilson Ferneda**, Universidade Católica de Brasília,  
Brazil

**Paulo Ferreira**, INESC-ID/IST - Technical University  
of Lisbon, Portugal

**Filomena Ferrucci**, University of Salerno, Italy

**Andre Flory**, INSA, France

**Donal Flynn**, University of Manchester, UK

**Ana Fred**, IT - IST - Technical University of Lisbon,  
Portugal

**Lixin Fu**, University of Carolina, USA

**Jose A. Gallud**, University of Castilla-La Mancha,  
Spain

**Juan Garbajosa**, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid  
(UPM) - Technical University of Madrid, Spain

**Aristogiannis Garmpis**, Technological Educational  
Institution of Messolonghi, Greece

**Leonardo Garrido**, Monterrey Institute of  
Technology / Center for Intelligent Systems, Mexico

**Marcela Genero**, University of Castilla-La Mancha,  
Spain

**Joseph Giampapa**, Carnegie Mellon University, USA

**Paolo Giorgini**, University of Trento, Italy

**Raúl Giráldez**, Pablo de Olavide University of  
Seville, Spain

**Pascual González**, Universidad de Castilla-La  
Mancha, Spain

**Gustavo Gonzalez-Sanchez**, University of Girona,  
Spain

**Robert Goodwin**, Flinders University of South  
Australia, Australia

**Jaap Gordijn**, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam,  
The Netherlands

**Silvia Gordillo**, LIFIA-UNLP, Argentina

**Feliz Gouveia**, University Fernando Pessoa / CEREM,  
Portugal

**Virginie Govaere**, INRS, France

**Sven Groppe**, University of Innsbruck, Austria

**Rune Gustavsson**, Blekinge Institute of Technology,  
Sweden

**Sissel Guttormsen Schär**, University of Bern,  
Switzerland

**Beda Christoph Hammerschmidt**, Oracle  
Corporation, USA

**Thorsten Hampel**, University of Paderborn, Germany

**Christian Heinlein**, University of Ulm, Germany

**Ajantha Herath**, Richard Stockton College of New  
Jersey, USA

**Suvineetha Herath**, Richard Stockton College of New  
Jersey, USA

**Francisco Herrera**, University of Granada, Spain

**Colin Higgins**, University of Nottingham, UK

**Peter Higgins**, Swinburne University of Technology,  
Australia

**Jun Hong**, Queen's University Belfast, UK

**Nguyen Hong Quang**, Institut de la Francophonie  
pour l'Informatique (IFI), Viet Nam

**Jiankun Hu**, RMIT University, Australia

**Kaiyin Huang**, South China Normal University,  
China

**Patrick C. K. Hung**, University of Ontario Institute of  
Technology (UOIT), Canada

**Joshua Ignatius**, Intelligent Insights International,  
Malaysia

**Hamid Jahankhani**, University of East London, UK

## PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

---

**Arturo Jaime**, Universidad del Pais Vasco, Spain

**Ivan Jelinek**, Czech Technical University in Prague, Czech Republic

**Luis Jiménez Linares**, UCLM, Spain

**Paul Johannesson**, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

**Luis Joyanes**, Universidad Pontificia de Salamanca, Spain

**Nikos Karacapilidis**, University of Patras, Greece

**Nikitas Karanikolas**, Technological Educational Institute of Athens (TEI-A), Greece

**Stamatis Karnouskos**, SAP Research, Germany

**Hiroyuki Kawano**, Nanzan University, Japan

**Nicolas Kemper Valverde**, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico

**A. Rahman Khan**, Intel Corporation, USA

**John Krogstie**, IDI, NTNU and SINTEF, Norway

**Stan Kurkovsky**, Central Connecticut State University, USA

**Joaquín Lasheras**, University of Murcia, Spain

**James P. Lawler**, Pace University, USA

**Chul-Hwan Lee**, University of Pittsburgh, USA

**Alain Leger**, France Telecom R&D, France

**Carlos León de Mora**, University of Sevilla, Spain

**Joerg Leukel**, University of Hohenheim, Germany

**Hareton Leung**, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, China

**Therese Libourel**, LIRMM, France

**John Lim**, National University of Singapore, Singapore

**Selma Limam Mansar**, Zayed University, UAE

**ZongKai Lin**, Chinese Academy of Sciences, China

**Matti Linna**, University of Vaasa, Finland

**Jan Ljungberg**, Gothenburg University, Sweden

**Stephane Loiseau**, LERIA, France

**João Correia Lopes**, University of Porto, Portugal

**Víctor López-Jaquero**, LoUISE Group, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**María Dolores Lozano**, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Miguel R. Luaces**, Universidade da Coruña, Spain

**Christopher Lueg**, University of Tasmania, Australia

**Mark Lycett**, Brunel University, UK

**Edmundo Madeira**, UNICAMP - Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Brazil

**Laurent Magnin**, University of Montreal, Canada

**Sam Makki**, University of Toledo, USA

**Mirko Malekovic**, FOI - Zagreb University, Croatia

**Nuno Mamede**, IST / L2F of INESC-ID Lisboa, Portugal

**João Bosco Mangueira Sobral**, UFSC / CPGCC - Computer Science Pos-Graduate, Brazil

**Esperanza Marcos**, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Spain

**Farhi Marir**, London Metropolitan University, UK

**Maria João Marques Martins**, IST - Technical University of Lisbon, Portugal

**Herve Martin**, LSR-IMAG, France

**Miguel Angel Martinez**, University of Murcia, Spain

**Johannes Mayer**, University of Ulm, Germany

**Andreas Meier**, University of Fribourg, Switzerland

**Emilia Mendes**, The University of Auckland, New Zealand

**Engelbert Mephu Nguifo**, Université d'Artois - IUT de Lens, CRIL-CNRS, France

**John Miller**, University of Georgia, USA

**Subhas Misra**, Carleton University, Canada

**Sudip Misra**, Cornell University, USA

**Michele Missikoff**, IASI-CNR, Italy

**Ghodrat Moghadampour**, Vaasa University of Applied Sciences, Finland

**Pascal Molli**, LORIA, Université Henri Poincaré, Nancy 1, France

**Francisco Montero**, LoUISE Research Group, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Paula Morais**, Universidade Portucalense, Portugal

**Fernando Moreira**, Universidade Portucalense, Portugal

## PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

---

**Gianluca Moro**, DEIS, University of Bologna, Italy

**Haralambos Mouratidis**, University of East London, UK

**Nathalie Nathalie**, Universidad de Málaga, Spain

**Ana Neves**, knowman - Consultadoria em Gestão, Lda, Portugal

**Jose Angel Olivas**, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Luis Olsina Santos**, Universidad Nacional de La Pampa, Argentina

**Peter Oriogun**, London Metropolitan University, UK

**José R. Paramá**, University of A Coruña, Spain

**João Pascoal Faria**, FEUP - Faculty of Engineering of University of Porto, Portugal

**Vicente Pelechano**, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain

**Maria Carmen Penadés Gramaje**, Technical University of Valencia, Spain

**Gabriel Pereira Lopes**, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Portugal

**Laurent Péridy**, IMA-UCO, France

**Dana Petcu**, Western University of Timisoara, Romania

**Steeff Peters**, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

**Paolo Petta**, Medical University of Vienna, Austrian Research Institute for Artificial Intelligence, Austria

**José Pires**, Escola Superior de Tecnologia e Gestão / IPB, Portugal

**Geert Poels**, Ghent University, Belgium

**Abdul Razak Rahmat**, University Utara Malaysia, Malaysia

**Jolita Ralyte**, University of Geneva, Switzerland

**Pedro Ramos**, ISCTE, Portugal

**Hajo A. Reijers**, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

**Ulrich Reimer**, University of Applied Sciences St. Gallen, Switzerland

**Marinette Revenu**, Greyc Ensicaen, France

**Yacine Rezzoui**, University of Salford, UK

**Simon Richir**, Presence & Innovation Lab. ENSAM Laval, France

**Maria Rigou**, University of Patras, Greece

**Roland Ritsch**, University of Applied Sciences St. Gallen, Switzerland

**David Rivreau**, Université Catholique de l'Ouest, France

**Daniel Rodriguez**, University of Alcalá, Spain

**Pilar Rodriguez**, Universidade Autónoma de Madrid, Spain

**Jimena Rodriguez Arrieta**, University of the Basque Country, Spain

**Oscar M. Rodriguez-Elias**, Universidad Autónoma de Baja California (UABC), Mexico

**Jose Raul Romero**, University of Cordoba, Spain

**Agostinho Rosa**, IST - Technical University of Lisbon, Portugal

**Gustavo Rossi**, LIFIA-UNLP, Argentina

**Francisco Ruiz**, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Roberto Ruiz**, Pablo de Olavide University, Spain

**Ángeles S. Places**, University of A Coruña, Spain

**Manuel Santos**, Universidade do Minho, Portugal

**Daniel Schang**, ESEO, France

**Mareike Schoop**, University of Hohenheim, Germany

**Isabel Seruca**, Universidade Portucalense, Portugal

**Hanifa Shah**, Staffordshire University, UK

**Jianhua Shao**, Cardiff University, UK

**Alberto Silva**, INESC/IST - Technical University of Lisbon, Portugal

**Maria João Silva Costa Ferreira**, Universidade Portucalense, Portugal

**Janice Sipior**, Villanova University, USA

**Spiros Sirmakessis**, Technological Educational Institution of Messolongi, Greece

**Hala Skaf-Molli**, INRIA Lorraine - University Henri Poincaré, France

**Chantal Soule-Dupuy**, University of Toulouse 1 - IRIT, France

**Chris Stary**, University of Linz, Austria

## PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

---

**Janis Stirna**, Jönköping University, Sweden

**Vijayan Sugumaran**, Oakland University, USA

**Lily Sun**, The University of Reading, UK

**Ramayah T.**, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

**Sotirios Terzis**, University of Strathclyde, UK

**Philippe Thiran**, University of Namur, Belgium

**Claudine Toffolon**, Université du Maine - LIUM, France

**Robert Tolksdorf**, Freie Universität Berlin, Germany

**Ambrosio Toval**, University of Murcia, Spain

**Grigorios Tsoumakas**, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

**Theodoros Tzouramanis**, University of the Aegean, Greece

**Gulden Uchyigit**, Imperial College, UK

**Athina Vakali**, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

**Michael Vassilakopoulos**, Technological Educational Institute of Thessaloniki, Greece

**Christine Verdier**, University Joseph Fourier Grenoble, France

**Maria-Amparo Vila**, University of Granada, Spain

**Tuong Vinh Ho**, Institut de la Francophonie pour l'Informatique (IFI), Viet Nam

**Aurora Vizcaino**, Escuela Superior de Informática, Spain

**Bing Wang**, University of Hull, UK

**Hans Weghorn**, University of Cooperative Education, Stuttgart, Germany

**Gerhard Weiss**, SCCH, Austria

**Graham Winstanley**, University of Brighton, UK

**Claus Witfelt**, ITU, Denmark

**Wita Wojtkowski**, Boise State University, USA

**Robert Wrembel**, Poznan University of Technology, Poland

**Baowen Xu**, Southeast University, China

**Haiping Xu**, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, USA

**Hongji Yang**, De Montfort University, UK

**Jasmine Yeap**, Intelligent Insights International, Malaysia

**Kokou Yetongnon**, University of Bourgogne, France

**Jun Zhang**, SUN Yat-sen University, China

**Liping Zhao**, The University of Manchester, UK

**Shuigeng Zhou**, Fudan University, China

**Ester Zumpano**, University of Calabria, Italy

## AUXILIARY REVIEWERS

---

**Cesar Javier Acuña**, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Spain

**Pedro P. Alarcón**, UPM, Madrid, Spain

**Grigoris Antoniou**, University of Crete, Greece

**Jose M<sup>a</sup> Cavero Barca**, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Spain

**Manuel Bollaín**, UPM, Madrid, Spain

**M. Boughanem**, IRIT/SIG, France

**João Paulo Caldeira**, ISR/Politechnic Institute of Setubal, Portugal

**Angélica Caro**, University of Bio-Bio, Chile

**M<sup>a</sup> Valeria de Castro**, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Spain

**Isabel Nepomuceno Chamorro**, University of Seville, Spain

**Evandro de Barros Costa**, UFAL, Brazil

**Guillermo Covella**, GIDIS\_Web / Universidad Nacional de La Pampa, Argentina

**David Benavides Cuevas**, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

**Yuhui Deng**, Cranfield University, UK

**Vincenzo Deufemia**, University of Salerno, Italy

## AUXILIARY REVIEWERS (CONT.)

---

**Norberto Diaz Diaz**, University of Seville, Spain

**Angelina Espinoza**, UPM, Madrid, Spain

**Jian Feng**, QilinSoft (China) Ltd, China

**Carlos Fernandes**, IRS/Technical University of Lisbon, Portugal

**Óscar Pedreira Fernández**, University of A Coruña, Spain

**Rita Francese**, University of Salerno, Italy

**Vittorio Fuccella**, University of Salerno, Italy

**Arantza Irastorza Goñi**, Universidad del Pais Vasco, Spain

**Carmine Gravino**, University of Salerno, Italy

**Na Helian**, London Metropolitan University, UK

**Mohamed Said El Hmam**, LGI2A / University of Artois, France

**Kaidong Huang**, Century Securities, China

**Geert Jonker**, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

**Ioannis Katakis**, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

**Dimitrios Katsaros**, University of Thessaly, Greece

**Vasiliki Koutsonikola**, Aristotle University, Greece

**Marcelo Ladeira**, UnB, Brazil

**Elie Abiu Lahoud**, University of Borgogne, France

**Oriana Licchelli**, ESEO, France

**Mondher Maddouri**, URPAH-INSAT, Tunis, Tunisia

**Francisco Javier Lucas Martinez**, University of Murcia, Spain

**Sergio di Martino**, University of Salerno, Italy

**José P. Molina Massó**, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Juan Manuel Vara Mesa**, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Spain

**Gabriele Monti**, University of Bologna, DEIS Cesena, Italy

**M<sup>a</sup> Ángeles Moraga**, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

**Diego Seco Naveiras**, University of A Coruña, Spain

**Antonio De Nicola**, IASI-CNR, Italy

**Joaquin Nicolas**, University of Murcia, Spain

**Germana Menezes da Nóbrega**, UCB, Brazil

**George Pallis**, Aristotle University, Greece

**Efi Papatheocharous**, University of Cyprus, Cyprus

**Ignazio Passero**, University of Salerno, Italy

**Hércules Antonio do Prado**, Embrapa, Brazil

**Ajith Ranabahu**, University of Georgia, USA

**Michele Risi**, University of Salerno, Italy

**Ilias Sakellariou**, City College, Thessaloniki, Greece

**Ivo José Garcia dos Santos**, UNICAMP - University of Campinas, Brazil

**K. Sauvagnat**, IRIT/SIG, France

**Giuseppe Scanniello**, University of Basilicata, Italy

**Joaquin Peña Siles**, Universidad de Sevilla, Spain

**Mehdi Snene**, University of Geneva, Switzerland

**Konstantinos Stamos**, Aristotle University, Greece

**Francesco Taglino**, IASI-CNR, Italy

**Guilaine Talens**, University of Lyon, France

**Rui Tavares**, ISR/University of Evora, Portugal

**Olivier Teste**, IRIT/SIG, France

**Jean-Marc Thevenin**, University of Toulouse 1 and IRIT, France

**Norbert Tsopze**, CRIL / University of Artois, France

**Sining Wu**, Cranfield University, UK

**Agustín Yagüe**, UPM, Madrid, Spain

## SELECTED PAPERS BOOK

---

A number of selected papers presented at ICEIS 2007 will be published by Springer, in a book entitled Enterprise Information Systems IX. This selection will be done by the conference Chair and program co-chairs, among the papers actually presented at the conference, based on a rigorous review by the ICEIS 2007 program committee members.

## SPONSOR

---

The logo for FCT (Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia) consists of the letters 'FCT' in a large, bold, dark teal font.

Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia

MINISTÉRIO DA CIÊNCIA, TECNOLOGIA E ENSINO SUPERIOR

# FOREWORD

---

This volume contains the proceedings of the Ninth International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems (ICEIS 2007) organized by the Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information Control and Communication (INSTICC) and the University of Madeira, in collaboration with ACM/SIGMIS and AAI. Furthermore, the conference was sponsored by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology (FCT).

ICEIS has become a major point of contact between research scientists, engineers and practitioners in the area of business applications of information systems. This year, five simultaneous tracks were held, covering different aspects related to enterprise computing, including: “Databases and Information Systems Integration”, “Artificial Intelligence and Decision Support Systems”, “Information Systems Analysis and Specification”, “Software Agents and Internet Computing” and “Human-Computer Interaction”. All tracks describe research work that is often oriented towards real world applications and highlight the benefits of Information Systems and Technology for industry and services, thus making a bridge between the Academia and the Enterprise worlds.

Following the success of 2006, ICEIS 2007 also had a number of satellite workshops, related to the field of the conference. This year we collaborated in the organization of the following ten international workshops: 7<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Pattern Recognition in Information Systems; 1<sup>st</sup> International Joint Workshop on Wireless Ubiquitous Computing; 5<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Modelling, Simulation, Verification and Validation of Enterprise Information Systems; 5<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Security In Information Systems; 4<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Natural Language Processing and Cognitive Science; 4<sup>th</sup> International Workshop on Computer Supported Activity Coordination; 3<sup>rd</sup> International Workshop on Model-Driven Enterprise Information Systems; 1<sup>st</sup> International Joint Workshop on Technologies for Collaborative Business Processes and Management of Enterprise Information Systems; 1<sup>st</sup> International Workshop on RFID Technology - Concepts, Applications, Challenges and 1<sup>st</sup> International Workshop on Human Resource Information Systems.

This year, ICEIS 2007 received 644 paper submissions from more than 40 countries in all continents. 72 papers were published and presented as full papers, i.e. completed work (8 pages/30’ oral presentation), 198 papers reflecting work-in-progress or position papers were accepted for short presentation, and another 131 contributions were scheduled for poster presentation.

These numbers, leading to a “full-paper” acceptance ratio below 12%, and a total acceptance ratio below 65%, show the intention of preserving a high quality forum for the next editions of this conference. Additionally, as usual in the ICEIS conference series, a number of invited talks, presented by internationally recognized specialists in different areas, have positively contributed to reinforce the overall quality of the Conference and to provide a deeper understanding of the Enterprise Information Systems field.

A book of Selected Papers will be published, following the conference, by Springer in the newly created series “Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing” (LNBIP). This series brings the



successful LNCS approach to areas such as business information systems, e-business, B2B integration, Enterprise applications and industrial software development.

The program for this conference required the dedicated effort of many people. Firstly, we must thank the authors, whose research and development efforts are recorded here. Secondly, we thank the members of the program committee and the additional reviewers for their diligence and expert reviewing. Thirdly, we thank the invited speakers for their invaluable contribution and for taking the time to synthesise and prepare their talks. Fourthly, we thank the workshop chairs and the special session chairs whose collaboration with ICEIS was much appreciated. Finally, special thanks to all the members of the local organising committee, especially Jorge Cardoso, whose collaboration was fundamental for the success of this conference.

This year, the organization will distribute two awards to papers presented at the conference: the best paper award and the best student paper award, mainly based on the classifications provided by the Program Committee members.

We wish you all an exciting conference and an unforgettable stay in the lovely island of Madeira. We hope to meet you again next year for the 10<sup>th</sup> ICEIS, to be held in Barcelona - Spain, details of which are available at <http://www.iceis.org>.

Joaquim Filipe

I.P.Setúbal/ INSTICC, Portugal

José Cordeiro

I.P.Setúbal/INSTICC, Portugal

Jorge Cardoso

Universidade da Madeira, Portugal

# CONTENTS

---

## INVITED SPEAKERS

### KEYNOTE LECTURES

- THE 4 X 4 SEMANTIC MODEL - Exploiting Data, Functional, Non-functional and Execution Semantics  
Across Business Process, Workflow, Partner Services and Middleware Services Tiers IS-5  
*Amit P. Sheth and Karthik Gomadam*
- TRENDS IN BUSINESS PROCESS ANALYSIS - From Verification to Process Mining IS-13  
*Wil M. P. van der Aalst*
- INFORMATION LOGISTICS IN NETWORKED ORGANISATIONS - Issues, Concepts and  
Applications IS-23  
*Kurt Sandkubal*
- SERVICE-ORIENTED ARCHITECTURE - One Size Fits Nobody IS-31  
*Christoph Busler*
- INTRODUCING AN IT CAPABILITY MATURITY FRAMEWORK IS-35  
*Martin Curley*
- DRIVING AHEAD: JOINT ENTERPRISE-EMBEDDED COMPUTING IN SMART CLOUDS,  
SMART DUST AND INTELLIGENT AUTOMOBILES IS-49  
*K. Venkatesh Prasad*
- ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SYSTEMS FOR USE: FROM BUSINESS PROCESSES TO HUMAN  
ACTIVITY IS-51  
*Larry Constantine*

## DATABASES AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS INTEGRATION

### FULL PAPERS

- STATISTICS API: DBMS-INDEPENDENT ACCESS AND MANAGEMENT OF DBMS STATISTICS  
IN HETEROGENEOUS ENVIRONMENTS 5  
*Tobias Kraft and Bernhard Mitschang*
- USING AN INDEX OF PRECOMPUTED JOINS IN ORDER TO SPEED UP SPARQL PROCESSING 13  
*Sven Groppe, Jinghua Groppe and Volker Linnemann*
- ONE-TO-MANY DATA TRANSFORMATION OPERATIONS - Optimization and Execution on an  
RDBMS 21  
*Paulo Carreira, Helena Galhardas, João Pereira and Andrzej Wichert*
- MONITORING WEB DATA SOURCES USING TEMPORAL PROPERTIES AS AN EXTERNAL  
RESOURCES OF A DATA WAREHOUSE 28  
*Francisco Araque, Alberto Salguero and Cecilia Delgado*
- TRANSFORMATION OF LEGACY BUSINESS SOFTWARE INTO CLIENT-SERVER  
ARCHITECTURES 36  
*Thomas Rauber and Gudula Rünger*

A NEW ALGORITHM FOR TWIG PATTERN MATCHING <i>Yangjun Chen</i>	44
XML SCHEMA STRUCTURAL EQUIVALENCE <i>Angela C. Duta, Ken Barker and Reda Albaji</i>	52
MODELING DIMENSIONS IN THE XDW MODEL - A LVM-Driven Approach <i>R. Rajagan, Elizabeth Chang and Tharam S. Dillon</i>	60
INFORMATION SYSTEMS INTEGRATION DURING MERGERS - Integration Modes Typology and Integration Paths <i>Gérald Brunetto</i>	71
A VIRTUALIZATION APPROACH FOR REUSING MIDDLEWARE ADAPTERS <i>Ralf Wagner and Bernhard Mitschang</i>	78
XML INDEX COMPRESSION BY DTD SUBTRACTION <i>Stefan Böttcher, Rita Steinmetz and Niklas Klein</i>	86
DYNAMIC COMMIT TREE MANAGEMENT FOR SERVICE ORIENTED ARCHITECTURES <i>Stefan Böttcher and Sebastian Obermeier</i>	95
DETERMINING THE COSTS OF ERP IMPLEMENTATION <i>Rob J. Kusters, Fred J. Heemstra and Arjan Jonker</i>	102
<b>SHORT PAPERS</b>	
STAH-TREE: Hybrid Index for Spatio Temporal Aggregation <i>Marcin Goramski and Michał Faruga</i>	113
PREFERENCE RULES IN DATABASE QUERYING <i>Sergio Greco, Cristian Molinaro and Francesco Parisi</i>	119
SIMPLIFIED QUERY CONSTRUCTION - Queries Made as Easy as Possible <i>Brad Arshinoff, Damon Ratcliffe, Martin Saetre, Reda Albaji and Tansel Özyer</i>	125
DISTRIBUTED APPROACH OF CONTINUOUS QUERIES WITH KNN JOIN PROCESSING IN SPATIAL DATA WAREHOUSE <i>Marcin Goramski and Wojciech Gębczyk</i>	131
ACTIVITY WAREHOUSE: DATA MANAGEMENT FOR BUSINESS ACTIVITY MONITORING <i>Oscar Mangisengi, Mario Pichler, Dagmar Auer, Dirk Drabeim and Hildegard Rumetsbofer</i>	137
UNASSUMING VIEW-SIZE ESTIMATION TECHNIQUES IN OLAP - An Experimental Comparison <i>Kamel Aouiche and Daniel Lemire</i>	145
OLAP AGGREGATION FUNCTION FOR TEXTUAL DATA WAREHOUSE <i>Franck Ravat, Olivier Teste and Ronan Tournier</i>	151
REVISITING THE OLAP INTERACTION TO COPE WITH SPATIAL DATA AND SPATIAL DATA ANALYSIS <i>Rosa Matias and João Moura-Pires</i>	157
USING FUZZY DATACUBES IN THE STUDY OF TRADING STRATEGIES <i>M. Delgado Calvo-Flores, J. F. Nuñez Negrillo, E. Gibaja Galindo and C. Molina Fernández</i>	164
PIN: A PARTITIONING & INDEXING OPTIMIZATION METHOD FOR OLAP <i>Ricardo Jorge Santos and Jorge Bernardino</i>	170

FROM DATABASE TO DATAWAREHOUSE: A Design Quality Evaluation <i>Maurizio Pighin and Lucio Ieronutti</i>	178
IMPLEMENTING SPATIAL DATAWAREHOUSE HIERARCHIES IN OBJECT-RELATIONAL DBMSs <i>Elżbieta Malinowski and Esteban Zimányi</i>	186
A DOCUMENT REPOSITORY ARCHITECTURE FOR HETEROGENEOUS BUSINESS INFORMATION MANAGEMENT <i>Mohamed Mbarkei, Chantal Soulé-Dupuy and Nathalie Vallès-Parlangeau</i>	192
EXTRACTION AND TRANSFORMATION OF DATA FROM SEMI-STRUCTURED TEXT FILES USING A DECLARATIVE APPROACH <i>R. Raminhos and J. Moura-Pires</i>	199
DIMENSION HIERARCHIES UPDATES IN DATA WAREHOUSES - A User-driven Approach <i>Cécile Favre, Fadila Bentayeb and Omar Boussaid</i>	206
SECURE KNOWLEDGE EXCHANGE BY POLICY ALGEBRA AND ERML <i>Steve Barker and Paul Douglas</i>	212
EXPOSING WORKFLOWS TO LOAD BURSTS <i>Dmytro Dyachuk and Ralph Deters</i>	218
USING SEMANTIC WEB AND SERVICE ORIENTED TECHNOLOGIES TO BUILD LOOSELY COUPLED SYSTEMS: SWOAT – A Service and Semantic Web Oriented Architecture Technology <i>Bruno Caires and Jorge Cardoso</i>	226
THE CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK FOR BUSINESS PROCESS INNOVATION: Towards a Research Program on Global Supply Chain Intelligence <i>Charles Møller</i>	233
TRANSACTION SERVICE COMPOSITION - A Study of Compatibility Related Issues <i>Anna-Briith Arnisen and Randi Karlsen</i>	239
MEDIATION FRAMEWORK FOR ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SYSTEM INFRASTRUCTURES: Application-driven Approach <i>Leonid Kalinichenko, Dmitry Briukhov, Dmitry Martynov, Nikolay Skvortsov and Sergey Stupnikov</i>	246
A FRAMEWORK FOR SUPPORTING KNOWLEDGE WORK PROCESSES <i>Weidong Pan, Igor Hawryszkiemycz and Dongbei Xue</i>	252
A METHOD FOR EARLY CORRESPONDENCE DISCOVERY USING INSTANCE DATA <i>Indrakshi Ray and C. J. Michael Geisterfer</i>	258
PROBLEMS WITH NON-OPEN DATA STANDARDS IN SWEDISH MUNICIPALS - When Integrating and Adopting Systems <i>Benneth Christiansson and Fredrik Svensson</i>	264
CHANGE MANAGEMENT IN DATA INTEGRATION SYSTEMS <i>Rabee Ghurburn, Philippe Beaune and Hugues Solignac</i>	268
RELEVANT VALUES: NEW METADATA TO PROVIDE INSIGHT ON ATTRIBUTE VALUES AT SCHEMA LEVEL <i>Sonia Bergamaschi, Mirko Orsini, Francesco Guerra and Claudio Sartori</i>	274
LEGACY SYSTEM EVOLUTION – A Comparative Study of Modernisation and Replacement Initiation Factors <i>Irja Kankaanpää, Päivi Tübonen, Jarmo J. Ahonen, Jussi Koskinen, Tero Tilus and Henna Sivula</i>	280

A XML-BASED QUALITY MODEL FOR WEB SERVICES CERTIFICATION <i>J. Jorge Dias Jr., J. Adson O. G. da Cunha, Alexandre Álvaro, Roberto S. M. de Barros and Sílvia Meira</i>	288
AN INSERTION STRATEGY FOR A TWO-DIMENSIONAL SPATIAL ACCESS METHOD <i>Wendy Osborn and Ken Barker</i>	295
PTSM: A PORTLET SELECTION MODEL <i>M<sup>a</sup> Ángeles Moraga, Coral Calero, Mario Piattini and Oscar Díaz</i>	301
KNOWLEDGE-MASHUPS AS NEXT GENERATION WEBBASED SYSTEMS - Converging Systems via Self-explaining Services <i>Thomas Bopp, Bürger Kühnel, Thorsten Hampel, Christian Prpitsch and Frank Lützenkirchen</i>	307
ON CORRECTNESS CRITERIA FOR WORKFLOW <i>Belinda M. Carter and Maria E. Orlowska</i>	315
ENABLING CSCW SYSTEMS TO AUTOMATICALLY BIND EXTERNAL KNOWLEDGE BASES <i>Thomas Bopp, Jonas Schulte and Thorsten Hampel</i>	323
WFSelector - A Tool for Comparing and Selecting Workflow Engines <i>Karim Baïna</i>	330
INTEGRATING IDENTIFICATION CONSTRAINTS IN WEB ONTOLOGY <i>Thi Dieu Thu Nguyen and Nhan Le-Thanh</i>	338
EXTENSIBLE METADATA REPOSITORY FOR INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND ENTERPRISE APPLICATIONS <i>Ricardo Ferreira and João Moura-Pires</i>	344
AN EXTENSIBLE RULE TRANSFORMATION MODEL FOR XQUERY OPTIMIZATION - Rules Pattern for XQuery Tree Graph View <i>Nicolas Travers and Tuyêt Trâm Dang Ngoc</i>	351
DQXSD: AN XML SCHEMA FOR DATA QUALITY - An XSD for Supporting Data Quality in XML <i>Eugenio Verbo, Ismael Caballero and Mario Piattini</i>	359
ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SEARCH SYSTEMS FOR HETEROGENEOUS CONTENT REPOSITORIES <i>Trieu C. Chien, Shyh-Kwei Chen and Shiva S. Fu</i>	365
EVIE - AN EVENT BROKERING LANGUAGE FOR THE COMPOSITION OF COLLABORATIVE BUSINESS PROCESSES <i>Tony O'Hagan, Shazîa Sadiq and Wasim Sadiq</i>	372
SEMANTIC ORCHESTRATION MERGING - Towards Composition of Overlapping Orchestrations <i>Clementine Nemo, Mireille Blay-Fornarino, Michel Riveill and Günter Kniesel</i>	378
MAINTENANCE COST OF A SOFTWARE DESIGN: A Value-Based Approach <i>Daniel Cabrero, Javier Garzías and Mario Piattini</i>	384
AN INFORMATION SYSTEMS AUDITOR'S PROFILE <i>Mariana Carroll and Alta van der Merwe</i>	390
SOFTWARE COST ESTIMATION USING ARTIFICIAL NEURAL NETWORKS WITH INPUTS SELECTION <i>Efi Papatheocharous and Andreas Andreou</i>	398
DOING THINGS RIGHT OR DOING THE RIGHT THINGS? Proposing a Documentation Scheme for Small to Medium Enterprises <i>Josephine Antoniou, Panagiotis Germanakos and Andreas S. Andreou</i>	408

THE CHALLENGES FACING GLOBAL ERP SYSTEMS IMPLEMENTATIONS <i>Paul Hawking, Andrew Stein and Susan Foster</i>	415
OOPUS - A Production Planning Information System to Assure High Delivery Reliability under Short-term Demand Changes and Production Disturbances <i>Wilhelm Dangelmaier, Tobias Rust, Thomas Hermanowski, Daniel Brüggemann, Daniel Kaschula, Andre Döring and Thorsten Timm</i>	423
 <b>POSTERS</b>	
MODEL-DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT USING STANDARD TOOLS <i>Julián Garrido, M<sup>a</sup> Angeles Martos and Fernando Berzal</i>	433
DEVELOPMENT OF AN ACCOUNTING SYSTEM - Applying the Incrementally Modular Abstraction Hierarchy to a Complex System <i>Kenji Ohmori and Toshiyasu L. Kunii</i>	437
AN ORDER ALLOCATION MODEL IN VIRTUAL ENTERPRISES BASED ON INDUSTRIAL CLUSTERS <i>Fangqi Cheng, Feifan Ye and Jianguo Yang</i>	445
A WEB TOOL FOR WEB DOCUMENT AND DATA SOURCE SELECTION WITH SQLFI <i>Marlene Goncalves and Leonid Tineo</i>	449
A DATABASE INTEGRATION SYSTEM BASED ON GLOBAL VIEW GENERATION <i>Uchang Park and Ramon Lawrence</i>	453
A KOREAN SEARCH PATTERN IN THE LIKE OPERATION <i>Sung Chul Park, Eun Hyang Lo, Jong Chul Park and Young Chul Park</i>	457
ON THE SEMI-AUTOMATIC VALIDATION AND DECOMPOSITION OF TERNARY RELATIONSHIPS WITH OPTIONAL ELEMENTS <i>Ignacio-J. Santos, Paloma Martínez Fernández and Dolores Cuadra</i>	465
INDUCTION OF DATA QUALITY PROTOCOLS INTO BUSINESS PROCESS MANAGEMENT <i>Shazia Sadiq, Maria Orłowska and Wasim Sadiq</i>	473
MODELING OF AN ANALYTICAL DATABASE SYSTEM <i>Alex Sandro Romeu de Souza Poletto and Jorge Rady Almeida Junior</i>	477
USABILITY ISSUES IN SERVICE-ORIENTED ARCHITECTURE <i>Jaroslav Král and Michal Zemlicka</i>	482
MULTIDIMENSIONAL VECTOR ROUTING IN A P2P NETWORK <i>Laurent Yeb, Georges Gardarin and Florin Dragan</i>	486
THE HAV DATA INTEGRATION APPROACH: The Mapping in HAV <i>Fatima Boulçane</i>	490
TIMING BEHAVIOR ANOMALY DETECTION IN ENTERPRISE INFORMATION SYSTEMS <i>Matthias Robr, Simon Giesecke and Wilhelm Hasselbring</i>	494
UNDERSTANDING THE DYNAMICS OF INFORMATION SYSTEMS <i>Abdelwahab Hamou-Lhadj</i>	498
ONE-TO-MANY DATA TRANSFORMATIONS - As Relational Operations <i>Paulo Carreira</i>	503

AN EXECUTIVE INFORMATION SYSTEM FOR SECURITIES BROKER'S RISK MANAGEMENT WITH DATA WAREHOUSING AND OLAP <i>Yung-Hsin Wang, Shing-Han Li and Kuo-Lung Sun</i>	508
STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK TO IMPLEMENT A TELECOMMUNICATIONS BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE SOLUTION IN A DEVELOPING COUNTRY <i>D. P. du Plessis and T. McDonald</i>	512
TOWARDS INDUSTRIAL SERVICE BUSINESS: Challenges in Designing ICT Support for the Networks of Companies <i>Sauli Häppavuori, Markus Hänninen, Samuli Pekkola and Kari Luostarinen</i>	516
MANAGING COMPLEX INFORMATION IN REACTIVE APPLICATIONS USING AN ACTIVE TEMPORAL XML DATABASE APPROACH <i>Essam Mansour, Kudakwashe Dube and Bing Wu</i>	520
ORGANIZATIONAL ISSUES ON COOPETTIVE FEDERATED INFORMATION SYSTEMS <i>Mirko Cesarini and Mario Mezzanzanica</i>	524
A DATABASE MANAGEMENT SYSTEM KERNEL FOR IMAGE COLLECTIONS <i>Liana Stanescu, Dumitru Burdescu, Cosmin Stoica and Marius Brezovan</i>	530
INTEGRATING ENTERPRISE DATA FOR DECISION SUPPORT IN CONSTRUCTION ORGANISATIONS <i>Tanko Ishaya, James Chadband and Lucy Grierson</i>	534
A NEW LOOK INTO DATA WAREHOUSE MODELLING <i>Nikolay Nikolov</i>	540
A MULTI-VIEWS REPOSITORY FOR MULTI-STRUCTURED DOCUMENTS <i>Karim Djemal</i>	544
INCENTIVES AND OBSTACLES IN IMPLEMENTING INTER-ORGANISATIONAL INTEROPERABILITY <i>Rajja Halonen and Veikko Halonen</i>	549
A FRAMEWORK FOR MODEL-DRIVEN PATTERN MATCHING <i>Ignacio García-Rodríguez de Guzmán, Macario Polo and Mario Piattini</i>	553
A METRICS PROPOSAL TO EVALUATE SOFTWARE INTERNAL QUALITY WITH SCENARIOS <i>Anna Grimán, María Pérez, Maryoly Ortega and Luis Mendoza</i>	558
A METHOD PROPOSAL FOR ARCHITECTURAL RELIABILITY EVALUATION <i>Anna Grimán, María Pérez, Luis E. Mendoza and Edumilis Méndez</i>	564
TOURISM INFORMATION AGGREGATION USING AN ONTOLOGY BASED APPROACH <i>Miguel Gouveia and Jorge Cardoso</i>	569
AN OVERVIEW OF THE OBJECT-ORIENTED DATABASE PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE DBPQL <i>Markus Kirchberg</i>	573
A DATA WAREHOUSE ARCHITECTURE FOR INTEGRATING FIELD-BASED DATA <i>Alberto Salguero, Francisco Araque and Ramón Carrasco</i>	577
TEXT ANALYTICS AND DATA ACCESS AS SERVICES - A Case Study in Transforming a Legacy Client-server Text Analytics Workbench and Framework to SOA <i>E. Michael Maximilien, Ying Chen, Ana Lelescu, James Rhodes, Jeffrey Kreulen and Scott Spangler</i>	581

A CONTINUOUS DATA INTEGRATION METHODOLOGY FOR SUPPORTING REAL-TIME DATA WAREHOUSING <i>Ricardo Jorge Santos and Jorge Bernardino</i>	589
<b>SPECIAL SESSION ON NEW INFORMATION SYSTEM AND APPROACHES FOR PRODUCT MAINTENANCE</b>	
LOGISTICS TRACEABILITY FOR SUPPLY CHAIN IMPROVEMENT - Case Study of SMMART Project <i>Paulina Blaszkomska, Jana Pieriegud and Michal Wolanski</i>	599
A POLICY-BASED PRIVACY STORAGE APPROACH <i>Julien Nowalczyk and Frédérique Tastet-Cherel</i>	605
SUPPLY CHAIN IMPROVEMENT - Assessing Readiness for Change through Collaboration Evaluation <i>Olivier Zephir, Emilie Chapotot, Stéphanie Minel and Benoît Roussel</i>	609
ACTIVITY THEORY MODEL - Application in the Automotive Industry <i>Jon Aldazabal, Gaizka Ballesteros and Juan Antonio Martín</i>	615
AUTHOR INDEX	621



# MAINTENANCE COST OF A SOFTWARE DESIGN

## *A Value-Based Approach*

Daniel Cabrero

*Dirección General de Tráfico, Spanish Ministry of Internal Affairs, Madrid, Spain*  
*dani\_mas\_d@yahoo.fr*

Javier Garzás

*Kybele Consulting S.L. Madrid, Spain*  
*javierg@gmail.com*

Mario Piattini

*Alarcos Research Group. University of Castilla-La Mancha. Ciudad Real, Spain*  
*mpiattini@inf-cr.uclm.es*

Keywords: Value-Based design, cost estimation, maintenance, design evaluation.

Abstract: Alternative valid software design solutions can give response to the same software product requirements. In addition, a great part of the success of a software project depends on the selected software design. However, there are few methods to quantify how much value will be added by each design strategy, and hence very little time is spent choosing the best design option. This paper presents a new approach to estimate and quantify how profitable is to improve a design solution. This will be achieved by estimating the maintenance cost of a software project using two main variables: The probability of change of each design artifact, and the cost associated to each change. Two techniques are proposed in this paper to support this approach: COCM (Change-Oriented Configuration Management) and CORT (Change-Oriented Requirement Tracing).

## 1 INTRODUCTION

Recently, a Value-based software engineering (VBSE) agenda has emerged (Boehm, 2005), with the objective of integrating Value considerations into the full range of existing and emerging software engineering principles and practices. One of the major elements of this agenda is Value-based architecting, which involves the further reconciliation of the system objectives with achievable architectural solutions.

Some work has been already published according to the agenda. In particular, Value considerations about requirements (Cleland-Huang and Denne, 2005, Cleland-Huang et al., 2004, Heindl and Biffel, 2005, Srikanth and Williams, 2005) and test Value-based aspects (Egyed et al., 2005, Huang and Bohem, 2006, Srikanth and Williams, 2005). However, very few proposals about Value-based design have been written. (Kazman et al., 2001) exposes an architecture-centric approach to the economic modelling of software design decision making called CBAM (Cost Benefit

Analysis Method), in which costs and benefits are traded off with system quality attributes. However, this method doesn't provide any clue of how this cost should be calculated. Up to now, there is no work addressing how to calculate cost and benefit of each design decision in a Value-based context.

The way each design decision affects to the maintainability and global cost of software projects is still an open research issue. In general, each design artifact has a different relative importance. In fact, the contribution to the global design will vary depending on where and how the solution is applied. This lead us to the concept of "Value-based" Design.

## 2 FROM DESIGN TO VALUE

Software maintenance consumes the largest part of the overall lifecycle cost (Bennet and Rajlich, 2000, Pigoski, 1996). The incapacity to update software quickly and reliably means that organizations lose business opportunities. Thus, in recent years we

have seen an important increase in research addressing these issues.

Considering the ISO 9126 standard, three important parameters for quality maintenance of Object Oriented Micro Architectural Design exist: Analyzability, Changeability and Stability:

- **Analyzability** allows us to understand the design.
- **Changeability** allows a design to be able to be altered, an important requirement at the time of extended functionality into an existing code. In our case, the element that provides changeability is what it is called indirection. (Nordberg, 2001) comments that “at the heart of many design patterns is an indirection between service provider and service consumer”.
- **Stability** allows us reduce risk of unexpected effect of modifications.

Introducing indirections, such as abstraction layers or patterns, has a big impact on the relationship among analyzability, changeability and stability. Thanks to the fact that experienced software engineers and domain specialists develop patterns, the software community can take advantage of this reliable knowledge, available from pattern libraries (Gamma et al., 1995).

This new approach was an important milestone when talking about design techniques. Since then, however, a lot of applications have been designed. Some of them implemented no patterns at all, and on the other hand, some others are overloaded with patterns. But then, when should I introduce a new indirection?




Figure 1: Impact of the number of Patterns on Maintainability extracted from (Garz as and Piattini, 2002).

For example, if a design implements a lot of design patterns this design will have a great amount of changeability and stability, but it won't be analyzable. On the other hand, if it doesn't provide point of change (indirections) it will be analyzable, but difficult to change. Figure 1 depicts this context. This problem lead us to the point that not every

pattern or indirection adds the same Value to the design, it depends on where and how it's used. This will be discussed in detail in the next section.

### 3 COST DRIVEN DESIGN

#### 3.1 Cost of Maintainability

A first approach for calculating the cost of a design is the generic cost model showed in the equation 1.

$$C_t = C_i + C_m \quad (1)$$

The Total Cost of Construction ( $C_t$ ) is defined by two factors: The initial development cost ( $C_i$ ), and the maintenance cost of that implementation ( $C_m$ ).

Extending this model, this paper proposes to decompose the problem into pieces, and to apply this model to each piece that predicts which will be the cost of development and maintenance during the whole project's life, taking into account not only the cost, but also the long-term benefit.

This can be done multiplying the probability of change of each component by the cost of change. Of course, the probability of change will depend on the estimated life expectancy of the project. Thus, the equation 2 models the maintenance cost in this scenario.

$$C_m = \sum_{\text{year, comp}} \text{Probability}(\text{change}) * C_{\text{change}} \quad (2)$$

Where  $\sum_{\text{year, comp}} \text{Probability}(\text{change})$  is the probability of change of a component in the whole life of the project, and  $C_{\text{change}}$  is the cost of change of this component. The feasibility of this model depends on a correct estimation of probability and cost. In addition, a usable technique of decomposition of the problem must be defined.

#### 3.2 Cost of Refactoring

(Fowler, 1999) introduced the concept of "Refactoring". To refactor an application is to change its internal design in order to make it easier to maintain but conserving the same external behaviour.

In this study, we will use the model to decide whether or not to refactor a faulty expensive-to-maintain application. In terms of viability and costs, a software company has to check if the cost of refactoring ( $C_{\text{refactoring}}$ ) plus maintenance ( $C_m(\text{refactored})$ ) is smaller than the money spent in the long term maintenance cost without refactoring ( $C_m$ ). See equation 3.

$$C_m > C_m(\text{refactored}) + C_{\text{refactoring}} \quad (3)$$

If this statement is true, the refactoring of the faulty application is viable. Otherwise, it could be better not to modify the application or to build it from the beginning.

To be able to apply this model, we must estimate several variables: the probability of change of a component ( $\sum_{\text{year, comp}} \text{Probability}(\text{change})$ ), the cost of a change ( $C_{\text{change}}$ ), and the cost of refactoring ( $C_{\text{refactoring}}$ ). The rest of the paper will focus on this task.

## 4 ESTIMATING THE PROBABILITY OF CHANGE

As stated in section 2.2, the feasibility of this model depends on a correct estimation of probability of change.

Two techniques are proposed in this paper to tackle this problem: COCM (Change-Oriented Configuration Management) and CORT (Change-Oriented Requirement Tracing).

### 4.1 Change-Oriented Configuration Management (COCM)

This technique reviews which object has changed and when it happened. The Table 1 shows an example of data extraction.

Table 1: Example of COCM data extraction.

Object	Changes
Object 1	10/10/2006 12/10/2006 15/10/2006
Object 2	10/10/2006 12/10/2006
Object 3	15/10/2006

The historical information available on the Table 2 might be transformed into probability of change. This probability will be obtained by normalizing the number of changes.

Table 2: COCM probability of change.

Object	Estimated probability of change
Object 1	3/3 = 100%
Object 2	2/3 = 66%
Object 3	1/3 = 33%

The main advantage of this technique is that it can be easily automated, but there are two pre-requisites for its utilisation. The first is that we need

to have all this information available in a Configuration Management Tool. The other is that this tool must have been already used for a long enough period of time to receive a representative amount of change requests.

### 4.2 Change-Oriented Requirement Tracing (CORT)

Using final user input to estimate probability of change of a design artifact is a difficult task for two reasons. First, among the existing types of software artefacts (requirement, design, code, and so on), requirement artifacts are the only items that can be understood by the stakeholders. For this reason, tracing techniques need to be used to identify where those requirements corresponds to design artifacts. Second, in most cases, the only link between designers and final users is the gathered requirements, which have no mention to the probability of change and earned Value of each one.

To solve this problem, we propose to extract and document some additional information concerning the probability of change for each requirement. Later, this information will be traced into design artifacts to find out which requirement will change and how, according to the final user.

#### 4.2.1 Requirement Elicitation Approach

The aim of this new approach is to identify which requirement will change. Our technique is inspired by a case study presented by (Srikanth and Williams, 2005), that used a method called VBRT (Value Based Requirement Tracing), which sets a requirement prioritization based on the risk and the relative Value of each requirement. In our case, we will use a similar approach but focusing on changeability.

In this way, after identifying stakeholders of the project, they are asked to assign a "changeability" variable to each requirement and use case. This will generate a matrix of requirement/stakeholders with a number from 1 to 10 expressing the "estimated variability" of each requirement from each stakeholder point of view, as showed in the Table 3.

Table 3: "Estimated Variability" matrix.

	User 1	User 2	User 3
Req. 1	3	5	0
Req. 2	2	7	1
Req. 3	0	1	0

This variable is then normalized in order to obtain a probability. The Table 4 depicts the process.

Table 4: Probability of change per user of each requirement.

	User 1	User 2	User 3
Req. 1	3/7 = 28%	5/7 = 71%	0
Req. 2	3/7 = 28%	7/7 = 100%	1/7 = 14%
Req. 3	0	1/7 = 14%	0

The next step is to calculate the average or probability (or adjusted average assigning weights to different users if each stakeholder is not equally important). In this case, for simplicity, we will consider that all users have the same relative importance, and we won't use weights. The Table 5 shows probability of change of the each requirement.

Table 5: Probability of change of each requirement.

	Probability of Change
Req. 1	33%
Req. 2	47%
Req. 3	5%

The main advantage of this approach is that it can be easily used by many software requirement tools, which already have variables associated with requirements, such as importance or frequency. But on the other hand, we have the problem that we need the software requirements specification and direct contact with stakeholders, which is not always available.

#### 4.2.2 Tracing Requirements to Design Artifacts

In software development projects there are interdependencies between all kinds of artifacts, e.g. requirements, design, source code, test cases. Requirements tracing is the ability to follow the life of a requirement in a forward and backward direction (Gotel and Finkelstein, 1994).

An interesting summary of tracing oriented to improve the return of investment is provided by (Cleland-Huang et al., 2004). The detailed study of each option is out of the scope of this research. Anyway, all of them finally establish a relationship between a set of requirements and an object or group of objects.

This relationship will allow us to analyse which objects will change if a given requirement changes, and to translate that "probability of change" from the requirement to objects. For example, if requirement 1 has a 33% of probability of change and this change will affect to the object A and B, we could say that

the object A and B have a 33% of probability of change.

## 5 ESTIMATING THE COST OF CHANGE

It's very difficult to estimate what we don't know. For this reason, the first logical step is to understand which kind of changes may be needed. Fortunately, there is a great amount of previous work on Object Oriented Design Knowledge (OODK). In particular, we are interested in "design rules" (Garzás and Piattini, 2005) exposed in the next subsection.

### 5.1 Classification of Changes Using OODK Rules

In OODK, rules are the "what", patterns are the "how", and refactorings are the "how to apply" design practices. In this case, we are interested in the "what". A high quality design must be compliant with design rules. Table 6 shows some design rules.

Table 6: Some of the OODK rules extracted from (Garzás and Piattini, 2005).

If there is any software design element (class, methods, code, and so on) duplicated, then eliminate the duplication
If there are dependencies on concrete classes then these dependencies should be on abstractions.
If there are unused or little-used items then eliminate them
If a super class knows any of its subclasses then eliminate it.
If a class collaborates with too many others then reduce the number of collaborations.
If a change in an interface has an impact on many clients then create specific interfaces for each client.
If a service has many parameters then create various methods, reducing the list, or put these into an object.
If the attributes of a class are public or protected then make them private and access them through services.

Thus, when we have a software design and we need to improve it, the most intuitive way is to detect the deficiencies (in this case, violated rules) and fix a percentage of deficiency resolutions. Note that we will use the probability of change to know when will be profitable to make the change.

### 5.2 Estimating Cost of Each Type of Change

The last step in our research is to estimate how much time will be needed to make a maintenance update in the code where a OODK rule is violated (we will call it Cost A), how much will cost to fix that rule

violation (Cost B) and to make the change once the design has been improved (Cost C). The cost will be expressed in “hours of work”.

Table 7: Example of cost associated to a change.

Rule	Cost A	Cost B	Cost C
Rule 1	4 hours	4 hours	2 hours
Rule 2	3 hours	6 hours	1 hour
Rule 3	8 hours	12 hours	6 hours

The improvement is worth it if the cost A multiplied by the number of modifications (n) is bigger than the cost B plus the cost C multiplied by n. The equation 4 shows the concept.

$$\text{Cost(A)} * n > \text{Cost (B)} + (\text{Cost (C)} * n) \quad (4)$$

At first sight, if the change must be done only once, probably it will be better in terms of cost not to improve the design. This confirms the importance of the “probability of change” variable estimated in the precedent section.

The most direct and reliable way to estimate how many hours will be necessary to change the code is to plan an experiment where a group of developers accomplish this task. This experiment must be repeated for each design rule. The output of this experiment could be similar to the data exposed in the Table 7.

Note that this experiment still hasn’t been carried out in the context of this research, as noted in the “Future Work” section.

## 6 GATHERING ALL TOGETHER

A company maintaining a faulty and expensive-to-maintain software may wonder whether or not to redesign some parts of the application, in order to make the application easier to maintain. To assess the potential cost of this application the following steps are proposed.

First, we have to analyse the application in order to identify violated rules and to estimate the cost to modify those designs. This process is explained in detail in section 5. Then, we must estimate the potential cost of maintenance of the code associated to that violated rule. To be able to calculate this cost ( $C_m$ ) presented in the equation 2, we need to estimate the probability of change and the estimated cost of change. This process is reviewed in the sections 4 and 5.

Later, we use the same equation to estimate the cost of maintenance after improving the code ( $C_m(\text{refactored})$ ), and estimate the cost of refactoring ( $C_{\text{refactoring}}$ ) using techniques exposed in section 5.

Only then we will be able estimate if the modification is profitable verifying if the condition exposed in the equation 3 is true.

In this way, it is possible to perform a cost-based guide of design decisions.

## 7 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

For future work, it would be very important to carry out an empirical validation of results. Several case studies could be conducted in order to verify empirically the suitability of the proposal.

In addition we plan to carry out an experiment that estimates the cost of correcting a violation of each rule, and the cost of modification of code containing violated rules. This experiment must be planned with a group of developers that accomplish this task, and repeated for each design rule.

We have presented a method for guiding a software design improvement through value and design knowledge. The estimation has been based on how effective the solutions are, instead of measuring functionality or size. This will be achieved estimating the maintenance cost of each solution.

To achieve this goal, we have developed a Value-based model oriented to maintenance costs, due to the fact that the main goal of a design is to make applications maintainable (stable, changeable and analyzable).

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work has been partially supported by the ESFINGE project (Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología (TIN 2006-15175-C05-05) and ENIGMAS (Entorno Inteligente para la Gestión del Mantenimiento Avanzado del Software), supported by the Department of Education and Science of the Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha (Regional Government of Castilla-La Mancha) (PBI-05-058).

## REFERENCES

- Antoniol, G., Lokan, C., Caldiera, G. & Fiutem, R. (1999) A Function Point-Like Measure for Object-Oriented Software. *Empirical Software Engineering*, 4, 263 - 287.
- Bennet, K. H. & Rajlich, V. T. (2000) Software Maintenance and Evolution: a Roadmap. *ICSE (Track*

- on *The Future of Software Engineering*). Limerick, Ireland, Finkelstein A.
- Boehm, B. (2005) Value-Based Software Engineering: Overview and Agenda. *Value-Based Software Engineering* Springer.
- Boehm, B., Horowitz, E., Madachy, R., Reifer, D., Clark, B. K., Steece, B., Brown, A. W., Chulani, S. & Abts, C. (2000) *Software Cost Estimation with Cocomo II* Prentice Hall PTR.
- Briand, L. C., Emam, K. E., Surmann, D., Wieczorek, I. & Maxwell, K. D. (1999) An assessment and comparison of common software cost estimation modeling techniques. *International Conference on Software Engineering* Los Angeles, California, United States IEEE Computer Society Press.
- Cleland-Huang, J. & Denne, M. (2005) Financially informed requirements prioritization. *International Conference on Software Engineering* St. Louis, MO, USA ACM Press.
- Cleland-Huang, J., Zemont, G. & Lukasik, W. (2004) A Heterogeneous Solution for Improving the Return on Investment of Requirements Traceability. *Requirements Engineering Conference, 12th IEEE International (RE'04)*. IEEE Computer Society
- Egyed, A., Biffl, S., Heindl, M. & Grünbacher, P. (2005) A value-based approach for understanding cost-benefit trade-offs during automated software traceability. *3rd international workshop on Traceability in emerging forms of software engineering* Long Beach, California ACM Press.
- Fowler, M. (1999) *Refactoring: Improving the Design of Existing Code*, Menlo Park, California, Addison Wesley.
- Gamma, E., Helm, R., Johnson, R. & Vlissides, J. (1995) *Design Patterns*, Reading, MA, Addison-Wesley.
- Garzás, J. & Piattini, M. (2002) Analyzability and Changeability in Design Patterns. *SugarLoafPLOP. The Second Latin American Conference on Pattern Languages of Programming*. Itaipava, Rio de Janeiro, Brasil.
- Garzás, J. & Piattini, M. (2005) An ontology for micro-architectural design knowledge. *IEEE Software Magazine*, 22, 28-33.
- Gotel, O. C. Z. & Finkelstein, A. C. W. (1994) An analysis of the requirements traceability problem. *1st International Conference on Requirements Engineering*. Colorado Springs, CO, USA.
- Heindl, M. & Biffl, S. (2005) A Case Study on Value-based Requirements Tracing. *10th European software engineering conference held jointly with 13th ACM SIGSOFT international symposium on Foundations of software engineering* Lisbon, Portugal ACM Press.
- Huang, L. & Bohem, B. (2006) How Much Software Quality Investment Is Enough: A Value-Based Approach. *IEEE Software*, 23, 88- 95.
- Kazman, R., Asundi, J. & Klein, M. (2001) Quantifying the Costs and Benefits of Architectural Decisions. *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Software Engineering*. Toronto, Ontario, Canada, IEEE Computer Society.
- Nordberg, M. E. (2001) Aspect-Oriented Indirection – Beyond OO Design Patterns. *OOPSLA 2001, Workshop Beyond Design: Patterns (mis)used*. Tampa Bay, Florida, EEUU.
- Pigoski, T. M. (1996) *Practical Software Maintenance. Best Practices for Managing your Investments*, NY, USA, John Wiley & Sons.
- Srikanth, H. & Williams, L. (2005) On the economics of requirements-based test case prioritization. *7th international workshop on Economics-driven software engineering research* St. Louis, Missouri ACM Press



Proceedings of the  
9th International Conference on Enterprise Information Systems - ICEIS 2007  
ISBN: 978-972-8865-88-7  
<http://www.iceis.org>