ICSOFT 2007

Second International Conference on
Software and Data Technologies

Proceedings

BARCELONA, SPAIN • July 22-25, 2007

Volume: Software Engineering

INSTICC PRESS

ORGANIZED BY

INSTICC

SPONSORED BY

MEMBER Workflow Management Coalition WfMC

IN COOPERATION WITH

JCREST

Edited by Joaquim Filipe, Markus Helfert and Boris Shishkov

Printed in Portugal

ISBN: 978-989-8111-06-7

Depósito Legal: 261299/07

http://www.icsoft.org

secretariat@icsoft.org

BRIEF CONTENTS

INVITED SPEAKERS

Jan Dietz

Delft University of Technology

The Netherlands

David Lorge Parnas

University of Limerick

Ireland

Kalle Lyytinnen

Case Western Reserve University

Canada

Stephen Mellor

Australia

Bart Nieuwenhuis

K4B Innovation / University of Twente

The Netherlands

Tony Shan

Bank of America

USA

Brian Fitzgerald

Lero - the Irish Software Engineering Research Centre

Ireland

SPECIAL SESSION CHAIRS

SPECIAL SESSION ON METAMODELLING – UTILIZATION IN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING (MUSE)

Cesar Gonzalez-Perez, Neco, Spain

Brian Henderson-Sellers, University of Technology, Australia

SPECIAL SESSION ON E-HEALTH SERVICES AND TECHNOLOGIES (EHST)

Dimitri Konstantas, University of Geneva, Switzerland

Boris Shishkov, University of Twente, The Netherlands

DOCTORAL CONSORTIUM

DOCTORAL CONSORTIUM CHAIR

Markus Helfert, Dublin City University, Ireland

ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES

CONFERENCE CHAIR

Joaquim Filipe, Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

PROGRAM CO-CHAIRS

Markus Helfert, Dublin City University, Ireland

Boris Shishkov, University of Twente, The Netherlands

PROCEEDINGS PRODUCTION

Vera Coelho, INSTICC, Portugal

Andreia Costa, INSTICC, Portugal

Bruno Encarnação, INSTICC, Portugal

Luís Marques, INSTICC, Portugal

Vitor Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal

Vera Rosário, INSTICC, Portugal

CD-ROM PRODUCTION

Paulo Brito, INSTICC, Portugal

WEBDESIGNER

Marina Carvalho, INSTICC, Portugal

GRAPHICS PRODUCTION

Helder Coelhas, INSTICC, Portugal

SECRETARIAT AND WEBMASTER

Mónica Saramago, INSTICC, Portugal

PROGRAM COMMITTEE

Jemal Abawajy, Deakin University, Australia

Silvia Abrahão, Valencia University of Technology, Spain

Muhammad Abulaish, Jamia Millia Islamia (A Central University), India

Hamideh Afsarmanesh, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Jacky Akoka, CNAM, France

Rafa Al Qutaish, École de Technologie Supérieure - University of Quebec, Canada

Markus Aleksy, University of Mannheim, Germany

Tsanka Petrova Angelova, Uniccord Ltd., Bulgaria

Keijiro Araki, Kyushu University, Japan

Alex Aravind, University of Northern British Columbia, Canada

Colin Atkinson, University of Mannheim, Germany

Juan Carlos Augusto, University of Ulster at Jordanstown, U.K.

Elisa Baniassad, Chinese University of Hong Kong, China

Luciano Baresi, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Joseph Barjis, Georgia Southern University, U.S.A.

Bernhard Beckert, University of Koblenz, Germany

Noureddine Belkhatir, University of Grenoble, France

Fevzi Belli, University Paderborn, Germany

Alexandre Bergel, Hasso-Plattner Institut, Germany

Sue Black, University of Westminster, U.K.

Maarten Boasson, Universiteit van Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Wladimir Bodrow, University of Applied Sciences Berlin, Germany

Marcello Bonsangue, University of Leiden, The Netherlands

Pere Botella, Universitat Politecnica de Catalunya, Spain

Lisa Brownsword, Software Engineering Institute, U.S.A.

Gerardo Canfora, University of Sannio, Italy

Cinzia Cappiello, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Antonio Cerone, United Nations University, China

W. K. Chan, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Shiping Chen, CSIRO ICT Centre, Australia

T. Y. Chen, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

Kung Chen, National Chengchi University, Taiwan, Province Of China

Samuel Chong, Accenture, U.K.

Peter Clarke, Florida International University, U.S.A.

Rolland Colette, Université Paris 1 Panthéon Sorbonne, France

Rem Collier, University College Dublin, Ireland

Kendra Cooper, The University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Alfredo Cuzzocrea, University of Calabria, Italy

Bogdan Czejdo, Loyola University, U.S.A.

Mehdi Dastani, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Sergio de Cesare, Brunel University, U.K.

Clever de Farias, University of São Paulo, Brazil

Rogerio de Lemos, University of Kent, U.K.

Andrea De Lucia, Università di Salerno, Italy

Serge Demeyer, Universiteit Antwerpen, Belgium

Steven Demurjian, University of Connecticut, U.S.A.

Elisabetta Di Nitto, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Massimiliano Di Penta, University of Sannio, Italy

Nikolay Diakov, Fredhopper B.V., The Netherlands

Oscar Dieste, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

Jan L. G. Dietz, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Jin Song Dong, National University of Singapore, Singapore

Jing Dong, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Brian Donnellan, National University of Ireland, Ireland

Juan C. Dueñas, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

Jürgen Ebert, Universität Koblenz-Landau, Germany

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

Paul Ezhilchelvan, University of Newcastle, U.K.

Behrouz Far, University of Calgary, Canada

Massimo Felici, The University of Edinburgh, U.K.

Rudolf Ferenc, University of Szeged, Hungary

Juan Fernandez-Ramil, The Open University, U.K.

Bernd Fischer, University of Southampton, U.K.

Gerald Gannod, Miami University, U.S.A.

Jose M. Garrido, Kennesaw State University, U.S.A.

Dragan Gasevic, Athabasca University, Canada

Nikolaos Georgantas, INRIA, France

Paola Giannini, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy

John Paul Gibson, Institut National des Télécommunications, France

Holger Giese, University of Paderborn, Germany

Karl Goeschka, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Swapna Gokhale, University of Connecticut, U.S.A.

Jose Ramon Gonzalez de Mendivil, Universidad Publica de Navarra, Spain

Jesus M. Gonzalez-Barahona, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Spain

Daniela Grigori, University of Versailles, France

Klaus Grimm, Daimlerchrysler AG, Germany

Yann-Gaël Guéhéneuc, University of Montreal, Canada

Tibor Gyimothy, University of Szeged, Hungary

Michael Hanus, University of Kiel, Germany

Naohiro Hayashibara, Tokyo Denki University, Japan

Reiko Heckel, University of Leicester, U.K.

Christian Heinlein, University of Ulm, Germany

Markus Helfert, Ireland

Rattikorn Hewett, Texas Tech University, U.S.A.

Jang-Eui Hong, Chungbuk National University, Republic of Korea

Shinichi Honiden, Graduate School of Information Science and Technology,University of Tokyo, Japan

Ilian Ilkov, IBM Nederland B.V., The Netherlands

Ivan Ivanov, State Univesity of New York, Empire State College, U.S.A.

Stephen Jarvis, University of Warwick, U.K.

Damir Kalpic, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, Croatia

Krishna Kavi, University of North Texas, U.S.A.

Taghi Khoshgoftaar, Florida Atlantic University, U.S.A.

Roger (Buzz) King, University of Colorado, U.S.A.

Paul Klint, Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, The Netherlands

Alexander Knapp, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany

Mieczyslaw Kokar, Northeastern University, U.S.A.

Rainer Koschke, University of Bremen, Germany

Jens Krinke, FernUniversität in Hagen, Germany

Padmanabhan Krishnan, Bond University, Australia

Martin Kropp, University of Applied Sciences Northwestern Switzerland, Switzerland

Tei-Wei Kuo, National Taiwan University, Taiwan, Province pf China

Yvan Labiche, Carleton University, Canada

Michele Lanza, University of Lugano, Switzerland

Eitel Lauria, Marist College, U.S.A.

Insup Lee, University of Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

Jonathan Lee, National Central University, Taiwan, Province of China

Yu Lei, The University of Texas at Arlington, U.S.A.

Hareton Leung, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

Kuan-Ching Li, Providence University, Taiwan, Province of China

Man Lin, St. Francis Xavier University, Canada

Panos Linos, Butler University, U.S.A.

Hua Liu, Xerox Corp., U.S.A.

Chengfei Liu, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

David Lorenz, University of Virginia, U.S.A.

Christof Lutteroth, University of Auckland, New Zealand

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

Jianhua Ma, Hosei University, Japan

Broy Manfred, Technische Universität München, Germany

Tiziana Margaria, University Potsdam, Germany

Katsuhisa Maruyama, Ritsumeikan University, Japan

Johannes Mayer, Ulm University, Germany

Tommaso Mazza, University Magna Græcia of Catanzaro, Italy

Fergal McCaffery, University of Limerick, Ireland

Hamid Mcheick, University of Quebec at Chicoutimi, Canada

Massimo Mecella, SAPIENZA - Università di Roma, Italy

Karl Meinke, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

Simão Melo de Sousa, Universidade da Beira Interior (UBI), Portugal

Emilia Mendes, The University of Auckland, New Zealand

Manoel Mendonça, Salvador University, Brazil

Raffaela Mirandola, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Hristo Mirkov, MorganStanley, U.S.A.

Prasenjit Mitra, Pennsylvania State University, U.S.A.

Dimitris Mitrakos, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Birger Møller-Pedersen, University of Oslo, Norway

Mattia Monga, Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy

Sandro Morasca, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Italy

Maurizio Morisio, Politecnico di Torino, Italy

Markus Müller-Olm, WWU Münster, Germany

Paolo Nesi, University of Florence, Italy

Alan O'Callaghan, De Montfort University, U.K.

Rory O'Connor, Dublin City University, Ireland

Pasi Ojala, Nokia, Finland

Claus Pahl, Dublin City University, Ireland

Witold Pedrycz, University of Alberta, Canada

Steve Peters, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Mario Piattini, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

Martin Pinzger, University of Zurich, Switzerland

Lori Pollock, University of Delaware, U.S.A.

Andreas Polze, Hasso-Plattner-Institute for Software Engineering at University Potsdam, Germany

Peter Popov, City University, U.K.

Wenny Rahayu, La Trobe University Australia, Australia

Jolita Ralyte, University of Geneva, Switzerland

Anders P. Ravn, Aalborg University, Denmark

Marek Reformat, University of Alberta, Canada

Arend Rensink, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Werner Retschitzegger, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria

Gustavo Rossi, LIFIA, Argentina

Guenther Ruhe, University of Calgary, Canada

Stefano Russo, Università di Napoli Federico II, Italy

Mortaza S. Bargh, Telematica Instituut, The Netherlands

Shazia Sadiq, University of Queensland, Australia

Francesca Saglietti, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany

Bernhard Schätz, TU München, Germany

Douglas Schmidt, Vanderbilt University, U.S.A.

Andy Schürr, Darmstadt University of Technology, Germany

Isabel Seruca, Universidade Portucalense, Portugal

Samir Shah, Penn State University, U.S.A.

Boris Shishkov, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Harvey Siy, University of Nebraska at Omaha, U.S.A.

Jacob Slonim, Dalhousie University, Canada

George Spanoudakis, City University, U.K.

Peter Stanchev, Kettering University, U.S.A.

Nenad Stankovic, University of Aizu, Japan

Larry Stapleton, ISOL Research Centre, Ireland

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

Richard Starmans, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Leon Sterling, University of Melbourne, Australia

Junichi Suzuki, University of Massachusetts, Boston, U.S.A.

Ramayah T., Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

Yarar Tonta, Hacettepe University, Turkey

Mark van den Brand, Technical University of Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Marten van Sinderen, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Enrico Vicario, University of Florence, Italy

Aurora Vizcaino, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

Christoph von Praun, IBM Research, U.S.A.

Christiane Gresse von Wangenheim, UNIVALI, Brazil

Bing Wang, University of Hull, U.K.

Edgar Weippl, Secure Business Austria, Austria

Danny Weyns, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

Ing Widya, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Dietmar Wikarski, Fachhochschule Brandenburg - University of Applied Sciences, Germany

Hongwei Xi, Boston University, U.S.A.

Haiping Xu, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, U.S.A.

Hongji Yang, De Montfort University, U.K.

Tuba Yavuz-Kahveci, University of Florida, U.S.A.

Rym Zalila Mili, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Kang Zhang, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Du Zhang, California State University, U.S.A.

Xiaokun Zhang, Athabasca University, Canada

Jianjun Zhao, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

Hong Zhu, Oxford Brookes University, U.K.

Andrea Zisman, City University, U.K.

AUXILIARY REVIEWERS

Jonatan Alava, Florida International University, U.S.A.

David Arney, University of Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

Louise Avila, University of Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

Djuradj Babich, Florida International University, U.S.A.

Tibor Bakota, University of Szeged, Hungary

Nurlida Basir, University of Southampton, U.K.

Massimo Canonico, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy

Glauco Carneiro, Salvador University (UNIFACS), Brazil

Su-Ying Chang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Taiwan, Taiwan

Shih-Chun Chou, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Taiwan, Taiwan

Daniela Cruzes, State University of Campinas (UNICAMP), Brazil

Marco D'Ambros, University of Lugano, Switzerland

Florian Deissenböck, TU Muenchen, Germany

Daniele Theseider Duprè, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy

Lavinia Egidi, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy

Ekaterina Ermilove, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Hua-Wei Fang, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Taiwan, Taiwan

Massimo Ficco, CINI Lab "C. Savy", Italy

Christina von Flach, Federal University of Bahia (UFBa), Brazil

Rita Francese, University of Salerno, Italy

Lajos Fulop, University of Szeged, Hungary

Lajos Jenő Fülöp, University of Szeged, Hungary

Udo Gleich, Daimler Chrysler AG, Germany

Leonardo Grassi, University of Florence, Italy

AUXILIARY REVIEWERS (CONT.)

Andreas Griesmayer, United Nations University, Macau SAR China

Ralph Guderlei, Ulm University, Germany

Michael Haupt, Software Architecture Group, Hasso Plattner Institute, Germany

Stefan Henkler, University of Paderborn, Germany

Martin Hirsch, University of Paderborn, Germany

Florian Hoelzl, TU Muenchen, Germany

Bernhard Hohlfeld, Daimler Chrysler AG, Germany

Endre Horváth, University of Szeged, Hungary

Ping-Yi Hsu, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Taiwan, Taiwan

Judit Jasz, University of Szeged, Hungary

Joop de Jong, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Elrmar Juergens, TU Muenchen, Germany

Madhan Karky, The University of Queensland, Australia

Steven van Kervel, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Tariq M. King, Florida International University, U.S.A.

Peter Lammich, Westfälischen Wilhelms-Univer-sität, Germany

Massimiliano de Leoni, University Roma, Italy

Martin Leucker, TU Muenchen, Germany

Yun-Hao Li, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Taiwan, Taiwan

Adrian Lienhard, Software Composition Group, University of Bern, Switzerland

Ruopeng Lu, The University of Queensland, Australia

Heng Lu, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Viviane Malheiros, University of São Paulo (USP), Brazil

Sergio Di Martino, University of Salerno, Italy

Michael Meisinger, TU Muenchen, Germany

Samar Mouchawrab, Carleton University, Canada

Simon S. Msanjila, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Sudarsanan Nesmony, The University of Queensland, Australia

Joseph Okika, Aalborg University, Denmark

Rocco Oliveto, University of Salerno, Italy

Jennie Palmer, University of Newcastle, U.K.

Ignazio Passero, University of Salerno, Italy

Gustavo Perez, University of Southern California (USC), U.S.A.

Christian Pfaller, TU Muenchen, Germany

Roberto Pietrantuono, DIS - Federico II University of Naples, Italy

Dan Ratiu, TU Muenchen, Germany

Giancarlo Ruffo, Università di Torino, Italy

Ruggero Russo, University Roma, Italy

Laís Salvador, Salvador University (UNIFACS), Brazil

Valeriano Sandrucci, University of Florence, Italy

Giuseppe Scanniello, University of Basilicata, Italy

Siraj Shaikh, United Nations University, Macau SAR China

Marwa Shousha, Carleton University, Canada

Istvan Siket, University of Szeged, Hungary

Carine Souveyet, Universite Paris 1, France

Michael Sowka, Carleton University, Canada

Bas Steunebrink, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Tatiana Tavares, Catholic University of Pelotas (UCPel), Brazil

Matthias Tichy, University of Paderborn, Germany

Carlo Torniai, University of Florence, Italy

Kun-Yi Tsai, Department of Computer Science and Information Engineering, National Taiwan, Taiwan

Laszlo Vidacs, University of Szeged, Hungary

Stefan Wagner, TU Muenchen, Germany

Doris Wild, TU Muenchen, Germany

Tao Yue, Carleton University, Canada

Zhenyu Zhang, The University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Xiaohui Zhao, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

SELECTED PAPERS BOOK

A number of selected papers presented at ICSOFT 2007 will be published by Springer, in a book entitled Software and Data Technologies II. This selection will be done by the conference chair and program co-chairs, among the papers actually presented at the conference, based on a rigorous review by the ICSOFT 2007 program committee members.

CO-SPONSOR

FOREWORD

This volume contains the proceedings of the second *International Conference on Software and Data Technologies* (*ICSOFT 2007*), organized by the Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication (*INSTICC*) in cooperation with the Interdisciplinary Institute for Collaboration and Research on Enterprise Systems and Technology (IICREST), and co-sponsored by the Workflow Management Coalition (WfMC).

The purpose of this conference is to bring together researchers, engineers and practitioners interested in information technology and software development. The conference tracks are "*Software Engineering*", "*Information Systems and Data Management*", "*Programming Languages*", "*Distributed and Parallel Systems*" and "*Knowledge Engineering*".

Software and data technologies are essential for developing any computer information system, encompassing a large number of research topics and applications: from programming issues to the more abstract theoretical aspects of software engineering; from databases and data-warehouses to management information systems and knowledge-base systems; Distributed systems, ubiquity, data quality and other related topics are included in the scope of ICSOFT.

ICSOFT 2007 received 292 paper submissions from more than 56 countries in all continents. To evaluate each submission, a double blind paper evaluation method was used: each paper was reviewed by at least two internationally known experts from ICSOFT Program Committee. Only 41 papers were selected to be published and presented as full papers, i.e. completed work (8 pages in proceedings / 30' oral presentations), 74 additional papers, describing work-in-progress, were accepted as short paper for 20' oral presentation, leading to a total of 115 oral paper presentations. There were also 76 papers selected for poster presentation. The full-paper acceptance ratio was thus 14%, and the total oral paper acceptance ratio was 39%.

In its program ICSOFT includes panels to discuss aspects of software development, with the participation of distinguished world-class researchers; furthermore, the program is enriched by several keynote lectures delivered by renowned experts in their areas of knowledge. These high points in the conference program definitely contribute to reinforce the overall quality of the ICSOFT conference, which aims at becoming one of the most prestigious yearly events in its area. This year, ICSOFT was held back-to-back with ENASE (Evaluation of Novel Approaches to Software Engineering) working conference, in a joint effort to offer the research community the best possible environment for discussing and debating innovative aspects of Software Engineering. This was quite a rewarding experience, thanks to ENASE program chairs Leszek Maciaszek and Cesar Gonzalez-Perez and all other ENASE participants.

The program for this conference required the dedicated effort of many people. Firstly, we must thank the authors, whose research and development efforts are recorded here. Secondly, we thank the members of the program committee and the additional reviewers for their diligence and expert reviewing. I would like to personally thank the Program Chairs, namely Boris Shishkov and Markus Helfert, for their important collaboration. The local organizers and the secretariat have worked hard to provide smooth logistics and a friendly environment, so we must thank them all and

especially Ms. Monica Saramago for their patience and diligence in answering many emails and solving all the problems. Last but not least, we thank the invited speakers for their invaluable contribution and for taking the time to synthesize and prepare their talks.

A successful conference involves more than paper presentations; it is also a meeting place, where ideas about new research projects and other ventures are discussed and debated. Therefore, a social event including a conference diner was organized for the evening of July 24 (Tuesday) in order to promote this kind of social networking.

We wish you all an exciting conference and an unforgettable stay in the cosmopolitan city of Barcelona. We hope to meet you again next year for the 3rd ICSOFT, to be held in the historic city of Porto (Portugal), details of which will be shortly made available at http://www.icsoft.org.

Joaquim Filipe

INSTICC/Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal, Portugal

(Conference Chair)

CONTENTS

SHORT PAPERS

POSTERS

ICSOFT 2007

Proceedings of the
Second International Conference on
Software and Data Technologies

Volume SE

Barcelona, Spain

July 22 – 25, 2007

Organized by
INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication

Co-Sponsored by
Workflow Management Coalition – Process Thought Leadership

In Cooperation with
IICREST – Interdisciplinary Institute for Collaboration and Research on Enterprise Systems and Technology

UNDERSTANDING PRODUCT LINES THROUGH DESIGN PATTERNS

Daniel Cabrero
Dirección General de Tráfico, Spanish Ministry of Internal Affairs, Madrid, Spain
dani_mas_d@yahoo.fr

Javier Garzás
Kybele Consulting S.L. Madrid, Spain
javierg@gmail.com

Mario Piattini
Alarcos Research Group. University of Castilla-La Mancha. Ciudad Real, Spain
mpiattini@inf-cr.uclm.es

Keywords: Design Patterns, Software Product Lines, Systematic Literature Review, Variability Points.

Abstract: Many proposals concerning design and implementation of Software Product Lines have been studied in the last few years. This work points out how and why different Design Patterns are used in the context of Product Lines. This will be achieved by reviewing how often those patterns appear in different proposed solutions and research papers for Product Lines for a given set of sources. This information will help us identify which specific problems need to be solved in the context of Product Lines. In addition, we will discuss how this information can be useful to identify gaps in new research.

1 INTRODUCTION

Software Product Lines engineering gathers the analysis, design and implementation of a family of systems in order to improve the reuse of the commonality among them. Thus, a Product Line is a group of "similar" systems (Clements and Northrop, 2001). Each system can be defined as the variability within the rest of the family.

The main challenge of this tendency in engineering is to establish the appropriate mechanisms for modelling and implementing this variability (Myllymäki, 2002) and to save cost and time by reusing components whenever possible.

Design Patterns describe common problems and their solutions (Gamma et al., 1995) in such a way that analysts and software designers can easily retrieve them. Thanks to the fact that experienced software engineers and domain specialists develop patterns, the software community can take advantage of this reliable knowledge, available from pattern libraries.

The experience at hand in the field of reusability of common features and components is well known and a lot of work on this has been successfully applied in a wide variety of systems, adopting solutions defined in patterns. Fortunately, all this experience has been gathered, and is obtainable through pattern libraries.

In terms of modelling variability in design, Software Product Lines are not much different from other systems. Therefore, one of the major differences between a classic development and a Product Line-oriented development is how the requirement analysis is done. In Product Lines requirements are collected in terms of "Variability Points" (Keepence and Mannion., 1999), which are differences among systems within a Product line.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes how we carried out a review on how different frameworks use this existing knowledge. An ordered list of patterns and refactorings will be summarized, based on the frequency of their appearance. Section 3 focuses in more in detail on the most common problems faced by Software Product Lines. Finally, section 4 draws some conclusions and identifies future research work.

2 DATA RETRIEVAL: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW IN PRODUCT LINES

A Systematic Literature Review is a means of identifying, evaluating and interpreting all available research that is relevant to a particular research question. Individual studies contributing to a Systematic Review are gathered and new conclusions are obtained from its summarization and analysis (Biolchini et al., 2005). This methodological literature review is common in other science disciplines such as medicine, but was recently introduced in Software Engineering by (Kitchenham, 2004).

2.1 Research Question

The difference between a Systematic Literature Review and a traditional Literature Review is that "the research conduction process of a Systematic Review follows a well defined and strict sequence of methodological steps" (Biolchini et al., 2005). These "strict" steps include the definition of the followed procedure in the research, which focuses different aspects such as the research question, sources, query strings or selection criteria.

In the context of this research, we performed a Systematic Review focusing on Design Knowledge as defined in (Garzás and Piattini, 2005) (Design Patterns, Refactorings, Design Principles, Rules, Bad Smells and Heuristics) applied to Software Product Lines. The research question was defined as shown in the Figure 1.

Which kind of Design Knowledge (e.g. Patterns, Refactorings, Principles, Rules, Bad Smells and Heuristics) is commonly used in Software Product Lines?

Figure 1: Research Question

2.2 Execution of the Systematic Review

In this case, a specific set of Query Strings was used to identify research articles in three sources: the IEEE, the ACM and the SCIENCE DIRECT portals. The Table 1 shows the strings used, as well as the number of selected studies from them. For example, the cell corresponding to the first column and the first row establishes that 30 documents were retrieved for the "Design Pattern" + "Product Line" string queries.

Table 1: First Search. Retrieved Studies.

	Product Line	Product Family
Design Pattern	30	11
Heuristic	19	3
Design Principle	10	9
Bad Smell	0	0
Refactoring	25	6
Design Rule	6	2

Once the search was performed, 121 relevant studies were selected. After that, we filtered the really important papers using the selection criteria. The selection criteria was to read the article abstract in order to ensure that the they talked about Product Lines and Design Knowledge Concepts defined in (Garzás and Piattini, 2005). After filtering each of them, we found that they mostly focused on architectural issues, requirements management, and many other aspects, but very few of them referred to lower level design aspects.

The Table 2 shows the number of results for each string query after the selection criteria was applied.

Table 2: First Search. Filtered Studies.

	Product Line	Product Family
Design Pattern	11	2
Heuristic	2	0
Design Principle	2	1
Bad Smell	0	0
Refactoring	4	0
Design Rule	0	0

Eventually, we discovered that some of the retrieved documents did propose new patterns for managing variability. Those "complex" patterns could be broken down into "classic" Patterns and Refactorings, such as those defined by the Gang of Four (Garzás and Piattini, 2005), (Buschmann et al., 1996) or (Fowler, 1999). Among those "complex" patterns we can highlight the Single Adapter Pattern, Multiple Adapter Pattern and Option Pattern (Goedicke et al., 2004, Keepence and Mannion., 1999)., the SCV Analysis (Coplien et al., 1998) or the Command Language Pattern (Goedicke et al., 2004).

In the end, after reading carefully each selected document, we had found 4 articles published in

Journals related with different patterns, as depicted in the Table 3.

Table 3: First Search. Final Results.

	(Keepence and Mannion., 1999)	(Coplien et al., 1998)	(Goedicke et al., 2004)	(Ziadi et al., 2003)
Abstract Factory	X	X	X	X
Singleton	X			X
Null Object	X			X
Replace If with Inheritance	X			
Adapter		X		
Message Redirector			X	
Service Abstraction Layer			X	
Command Processor			X	
Command			X	
Interpreter			X	

The summarized data given in the Table 3 establishes that only Patterns and Refactorings were found in the retrieved papers.

We noticed that articles focusing 'low level' design aspects used class diagrams. Because of that, we performed a second search, this time in Internet, using 'class diagram' and 'pattern-based' Strings, as shown in the Table 4.

Table 4: Second Search. Retrieved Studies.

	Software Product Line	Software Product Family
Class Diagram + Pattern-Based	90	20

After reading the abstract of the 110 related studies returned by the search queries (90 from the first query string and 20 from the second one), we found that 4 research works used any of the above-mentioned Design Knowledge. The Table 5 depicts the different patterns mentioned in each study.

All of them used patterns, but no reference was found in this second search related to refactorings, bad smells, design principles, design rules or heuristics.

Table 5: Second Search. Final Results.

	(Myllymäki, 2002)	(Bachmann and Bass, 2001)	(Harsu, 2001)	(Muthig et al., 2004)
Abstract Factory	X	X	X	
Strategy	X			
Mediator	X			X
Proxy	X			
Singleton			X	

2.3 Data Synthesis

The next step in our work was to check how often those patterns appear in the Product Line-based solutions and to build an ordered list based on their occurrence in the literature.

The list shows the most-used patterns in Software Product Lines and their occurrence per document in parentheses:

1. Abstract Factory (7)
2. Singleton (3)
3. Mediator (2)
4. Null Object (2)
5. Proxy, Command, Adapter, Interpreter, Message Redirector, Strategy, Service Abstraction Layer, Command Processor, Replace If with Inheritance. (1)

It is interesting to highlight that, by observing the list of patterns used in Product Lines, we can take advantage of the common pattern language provided by pattern libraries. Thus, we can associate those patterns with the problems that they try to solve. In other words, the pattern frequency defines many important aspects of the system.

A quick glance at the list shows a clear preference for the Abstract Factory Design Pattern. A long way off this as regards frequency, we can find the rest of Patterns and Refactorings.

The next sections will explain the basics of the patterns found, and how they are used within Software Product Lines.

3 CONCLUSIONS

Very often, a system or technology can be defined by means of the problems that it tries to solve. Identifying those problems and having an overview of the state of the art in this respect is a necessary step in the process of producing a new proposal.

This research work reaches several objectives. First of all, it highlights what the main problems in SPL are, currently, as well as how they are being solved using patterns. This has been achieved empirically, studying the appearance frequency of patterns, instead of basing conclusions on personal opinions.

Secondly, this article shows a new line of research that aims to cover gaps in research on the use of refactorings, bad smells, design principles, design heuristics and design rules in Product Lines.

In addition, we propose that future work can be focused on the lack of a detailed library that analyses and evaluates each relevant pattern-based solution and then gives guidelines as to which proposal should be used in different cases.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research is partially supported by the ESFINGE project of the General Research Council (Dirección General de Investigación) of the Spanish Ministry of Education and Science (TIC 2003-02737-C02-02) and ENIGMAS (Entorno Inteligente para la Gestión del Mantenimiento Avanzado del Software), supported by the Department of Education and Science of the Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha (Regional Government of Castilla-La Mancha) (PBI-05-058).

REFERENCES

Bachmann, F. & Bass, L. (2001) *Managing variability in software architectures*, Symposium on Software Reusability ACM Press

Biolchini, J., Mian, P. G., Natali, A. C. C. & Travassos, G. H. (2005) *Systematic Review in Software Engineering*. Rio de Janeiro, COPPE / UFRJ.

Buschmann, F., Meunier, R., Rohnert, H., Sommerlad, P. & Stal, M. (1996) *Pattern-Oriented Software Architecture – A System of Patterns*, John Wiley and Sons Ltd.

Clements, P. & Northrop, L. (2001) *Software Product Lines: Practices and Patterns*, Addison-Wesley.

Coplien, J., Hoffman, D. & Weiss, D. (1998) *Commonality and Variability in Software Engineering*. IEEE Software 15**,** 37 - 45.

Fowler, M. (1999) *Refactoring*, Addison Wesley.

Gamma, E., Helm, R., Johnson, R. & Vlissides, J. (1995) *Design Patterns*, Addison-Wesley.

Garzás, J. & Piattini, M. (2005) *An ontology for micro-architectural design knowledge*. IEEE Software Magazine, 22**,** 28-33.

Goedicke, M., Köllmann, C. & Zdun, U. (2004) *Designing runtime variation points in product line architectures: three cases*. Science of Computer Programming, 53**,** 353 - 380

Harsu, M. (2001) *A Survey of Product-Line Architectures.* Tampere, Tampere University of Technology.

Keepence, B. & Mannion., M. (1999) *Using patterns to model variability in product families.* IEEE Software, 16**,** 102-108.

Kitchenham, B. (2004) *Procedures for Performing Systematic Reviews. Keele University Technical Report.* Keele, Software Engineering Group.Department of Computer Science. Keele University.

Muthig, D., John, I., Anastasopoulos, M., Forster, T., Dörr, J. & Schmid, K. (2004) *GoPhone - A Software Product Line in the Mobile Phone Domain. IESE-Report.* Fraunhofer IESE.

Myllymäki, T. (2002) *Variability Management in Software Product Lines*. Tampere, Institute of Software Systems. Tampere University of Technology.

Parnas, D., Clements, P. C. & Weiss, D. (1984) *The Modular Structure Of Complex Systems*, International Conference on Software Engineering Orlando, Florida, IEEE Press.

Ziadi, T., Jézéquel, J.-M. & Fondement., F. (2003) *Product Line Derivation with UML*, Groningen Workshop on Software Variability Management,