ICSOFT 2008

Third International Conference on
Software and Data Technologies

Proceedings

Porto, Portugal • July 5-8, 2008

Volume: PL / DPS / KE

INSTICC PRESS

ORGANIZED BY

INSTICC

CO-SPONSORED BY

MEMBER
Workflow Management Coalition
WfMC

IN COOPERATION WITH

CREST

ICSOFT 2008

Proceedings of the
Third International Conference on
Software and Data Technologies

Volume PL/DPS/KE

Porto, Portugal

July 5 – 8, 2008

Organized by
INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication

Co-Sponsored by
WfMC - Workflow Management Coalition – Process Thought Leadership

In Cooperation with
IICREST – Interdisciplinary Institute for Collaboration and Research on Enterprise Systems and Technology

Copyright © 2008 INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of
Information, Control and Communication
All rights reserved

Edited by José Cordeiro, Boris Shishkov, AlpeshKumar Ranchordas and Markus Helfert

Printed in Portugal

ISBN: 978-989-8111-51-7

Depósito Legal: 277777/08

http://www.icsoft.org

secretariat@icsoft.org

BRIEF CONTENTS

INVITED SPEAKERS IV

SPECIAL SESSION CHAIRS IV

TUTORIAL IV

ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES V

PROGRAM COMMITTEE VI

AUXILIARY REVIEWERS X

SELECTED PAPERS BOOK XI

FOREWORD XIII

CONTENTS XV

INVITED SPEAKERS

Colin Atkinson

Mannheim University

Germany

Dimitri Konstantas

University of Geneva

Switzerland

Michael Papazoglou

University of Tilburg

The Netherlands

Alexander Verbraeck

Delft University of Technology

The Netherlands

SPECIAL SESSION CHAIRS

SPECIAL SESSION ON GLOBAL SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT: CHALLENGES AND ADVANCES

Aurora Vizcaíno, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

Gabriela Aranda, Universidad del Comahue, Argentina

SPECIAL SESSION ON APPLICATIONS IN BANKING AND FINANCES

Damir Kalpic, Department of Applied Computing, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, Croatia

SPECIAL SESSION ON METAMODELLING – UTILIZATION IN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING (MUSE)

Cesar Gonzalez-Perez, IEGPS, CSIC, Spain

Brian Henderson-Sellers, University of Technology, Australia

TUTORIALS

CRYPTOGRAPHIC FEATURES, APPLICATIONS: JAVA (C)

Ray Kresman, Bowling Green State University, U.S.A.

ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES

CONFERENCE CO-CHAIRS

José Cordeiro, Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

AlpeshKumar Ranchordas, INSTICC, Portugal

PROGRAM CO-CHAIRS

Markus Helfert, Dublin City University, Ireland

Boris Shishkov, University of Twente / IICREST, The Netherlands

PROCEEDINGS PRODUCTION

Helder Coelhas, INSTICC, Portugal

Vera Coelho, INSTICC, Portugal

Andreia Costa, INSTICC, Portugal

Bruno Encarnação, INSTICC, Portugal

Bárbara Lima, INSTICC, Portugal

Vitor Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal

Vera Rosário, INSTICC, Portugal

José Varela, INSTICC, Portugal

CD-ROM PRODUCTION

Paulo Brito, INSTICC, Portugal

WEBDESIGNER

Marina Carvalho, INSTICC, Portugal

GRAPHICS PRODUCTION

Helder Coelhas, INSTICC, Portugal

SECRETARIAT AND WEBMASTER

Mónica Saramago, INSTICC, Portugal

PROGRAM COMMITTEE

Jemal Abawajy, Deakin University, Australia

Silvia Abrahão, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain

Muhammad Abulaish, Jamia Millia Islamia (Central University), India

Hamideh Afsarmanesh, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Jacky Akoka, CNAM & INT, France

Markus Aleksy, ABB Corporate Research Center, Germany

Daniel Amyot, University of Ottawa, Canada

Tsanka Angelova, Uniccord Ltd, Bulgaria

Keijiro Araki, Kyushu University, Japan

Alex Aravind, University of Northern British Columbia, Canada

Farhad Arbab, CWI and Leiden University, The Netherlands

Cyrille Artho, National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology, Japan

Colin Atkinson, University of Mannheim, Germany

Rami Bahsoon, The University of Birmingham, U.K.

Mortaza S. Bargh, Telematica Instituut, The Netherlands

Joseph Barjis, University of Wisconsin - Stevens Point, U.S.A.

Bernhard Bauer, University of Augsburg, Germany

Bernhard Beckert, University of Koblenz, Germany

Noureddine Belkhatir, LSR-IMAG University of Grenoble, France

Fevzi Belli, University Paderborn, Germany

Alexandre Bergel, INRIA Futurs, France

Árpád Beszédes, University of Szeged, Hungary

Maarten Boasson, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Wladimir Bodrow, University of Applied Sciences Berlin, Germany

Marcello Bonsangue, LIACS - Leiden University, The Netherlands

Lydie du Bousquet, LIG, France

Mark van den Brand, Technical University of Eindhoven, The Netherlands

Manfred Broy, Institut für Informatik, TU München, Germany

Gerardo Canfora, University of Sannio, Italy

Cinzia Cappiello, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Antonio Cerone, UNU-IIST, China

Sergio de Cesare, Brunel University, U.K.

W. K. Chan, City University of Hong Kong, Hong Kong

Kung Chen, National Chengchi University, Taiwan

Jinjun Chen, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

Shiping Chen, CSIRO ICT Centre Australia, Australia

Yoonsik Cheon, University of Texas at El Paso, U.S.A.

Peter Clarke, Florida International University, U.S.A.

Rolland Colette, University Paris1 Pantheon Sorbonne, France

Rem Collier, University College Dublin, Ireland

Kendra Cooper, The University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Alexandra Cristea, University of Warwick, U.K.

Alfredo Cuzzocrea, University of Calabria, Italy

Bogdan Czejdo, Loyola University, U.S.A.

Sergiu Dascalu, University of Nevada Reno, U.S.A.

Mehdi Dastani, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Steve Demurjian, University of Connecticut, U.S.A.

Giovanni Denaro, University of Milano-Bicocca, Italy

Nikolay Diakov, Fredhopper B.V., The Netherlands

Oscar Dieste, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

Jan L. G. Dietz, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Jing Dong, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Brian Donnellan, National University of Ireland, Ireland

Juan C. Dueñas, Universidad Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

Philippe Dugerdil, HEG-University of Applied Sciences, Switzerland

Jürgen Ebert, University Koblenz, Germany

Fikret Ercal, Missouri University of Science & Technology, U.S.A.

Yadran Eterovic, P. Universidad Católica de Chile, Chile

Behrouz Far, University of Calgary, Canada

Clever de Farias, University of São Paulo, Brazil

Massimo Felici, The University of Edinburgh, U.K.

Gianluigi Ferrari, University of Parma, Italy

Rudolf Ferenc, University of Szegeds Hungary

Juan Fernandez-Ramil, The Open University, U.K.

Gerald Gannod, Miami University, U.S.A.

Jose M. Garrido, Kennesaw State University, U.S.A.

Maria Jesus Garzaran, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, U.S.A.

Dragan Gasevic, Simon Fraser University, Canada

Nikolaos Georgantas, INRIA Rocquencourt, France

Paola Giannini, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy

Paul Gibson, Telecom SudParis, France

Karl Goeschka, Vienna University of Technology, Austria

Swapna Gokhale, University of Connecticut, U.S.A.

Jesus M. Gonzalez-Barahona, Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Spain

Juan Carlos Granja, University of Granada, Spain

Paul Grefen, Eindhoven University of Technology, The Netherlands

Daniela Grigori, University of Versailles, France

Klaus Grimm, DAIMLER AG, Germany

Yann-Gael Gueheneuc, DIRO - Université de Montréal, Canada

Slimane Hammoudi, ESEO, Angers, France

Jameleddine Hassine, Concordia University, Canada

Reiko Heckel, University of Leicester, U.K.

Christian Heinlein, Aalen University, Germany

Jose Luis Arciniegas Herrera, Universidad del Cauca, Colombia

Rattikorn Hewett, Texas Tech University, U.S.A.

Jang Eui Hong, Chungbuk National University, Korea

Ilian Ilkov, IBM Nederland B.V, The Netherlands

Ivan Ivanov, SUNY Empire State College, U.S.A.

Stephen Jarvis, University of Warwick, U.K.

Damir Kalpic, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, Croatia

Tuba Yavuz Kahveci, University of Florida, U.S.A.

Krishna Kavi, University of North Texas, U.S.A.

Roger King, University of Colorado, U.S.A.

Alexander Knapp, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Germany

Mieczyslaw Kokar, Northeastern University, U.S.A.

Jun Kong, North Dakota State University, U.S.A.

Rainer Koschke, University of Bremen, Germany

Jens Krinke, FernUniversität in Hagen, Germany

Padmanabhan Krishnan, Bond University, Australia

Martin Kropp, University of Applied Sciences Northwestern Switzerland, Switzerland

Tei-Wei Kuo, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Yvan Labiche, Carleton University, Canada

Michele Lanza, University of Lugano, Switzerland

Eitel Lauria, Marist College, U.S.A.

Jonathan Lee, National Central University, Taiwan

Yu Lei, University of Texas at Arlington, U.S.A

Rogerio de Lemos, University of Kent, U.K.

Raimondas Lencevicius, Nokia Research Center, U.S.A.

Hareton Leung, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, Hong Kong

Kuan-Ching Li, Providence University, Taiwan

Man Lin, St. Francis Xavier University, Canada

Panos Linos, Butler University, U.S.A.

Chengfei Liu, Swinburne University of Technology, Australia

Hua Liu, Xerox Corp., U.S.A.

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

David Lorenz, The Open University of Israel, Israel

Andrea De Lucia, Università di Salerno, Italy

Christof Lutteroth, University of Auckland, New Zealand

Jianhua Ma, Hosei University, Japan

Tiziana Margaria, University Potsdam, Germany

Ricardo J. Machado, University of Minho, Portugal

Yannis Manolopoulos, Aristotle University, Greece

Eda Marchetti, ISTI - CNR, Italy

Leonardo Mariani, University of Milano Bicocca, Italy

Katsuhisa Maruyama, Ritsumeikan University, Japan

Tommaso Mazza, University Magna Græcia of Catanzaro, Italy

Fergal McCaffery, Dundalk Institute of Technology, Ireland

Hamid Mcheick, University of Quebec at Chicoutimi, Canada

Bruce McMillin, Missouri University of Science and Technology, U.S.A.

Karl Meinke, Royal Institute of Technology, Sweden

Atif Memon, University of Maryland, U.S.A.

Jose Ramon Gonzalez de Mendivil, Universidad Publica de Navarra, Spain

Manoel Mendonça, Salvador University, Brazil

Rym Zalila Mili, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Raffaela Mirandola, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Dimitris Mitrakos, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Masoud Mohammadian, University of Canberra, Australia

Birger Møller-Pedersen, University of Oslo, Norway

Mattia Monga, Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy

Sandro Morasca, Università degli Studi dell'Insubria, Italy

Maurizio Morisio, Politecnico di Torino, Italy

Henry Muccini, University of L'Aquila, Italy

Paolo Nesi, University of Florence, Italy

Elisabetta Di Nitto, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Flavio Oquendo, University of South Brittany, France

Alan O'Callaghan, De Montfort University, U.K.

Rory O'Connor, Dublin City University, Ireland

Pasi Ojala, Nokia, Finland

Vincenzo Pallotta, University of Fribourg, Switzerland

Witold Pedrycz, University of Alberta, Canada

Patrizio Pelliccione, University of L'Aquila, Italy

Massimiliano Di Penta, RCOST - University of Sannio, Italy

Steef Peters, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Mauro Pezze, University of Lugano, Switzerland

Martin Pinzger, University of Zurich, Switzerland

Lori Pollock, University of Delaware, U.S.A.

Andreas Polze, Hasso-Plattner-Institute, University Potsdam, Germany

Peter Popov, City University, U.K.

Rosario Pugliese, Università di Firenze, Italy

Christoph von Praun, Georg-Simon-Ohm Hochschule, Nürnberg, Germany

Rafa Al Qutaish, Applied Science University, Jordan

Wenny Rahayu, La Trobe University, Australia

Jolita Ralyte, University of Geneva, Switzerland

Anders P. Ravn, Aalborg University, Denmark

Marek Reformat, University of Alberta, Canada

Arend Rensink, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Werner Retschitzegger, Johannes Kepler University Linz, Austria

Claudio de la Riva, University of Oviedo, Spain

Gustavo Rossi, LIFIA, Argentina

Stefano Russo, Federico II University of Naples, Italy

Mark Ryan, University of Birmingham, U.K.

Gunter Saake, University of Magdeburg, Germany

Krzysztof Sacha, Warsaw University of Technology, Poland

Francesca Saglietti, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

Bernhard Schätz, TU München, Germany

Shiva Sastry, The University of Akron, U.S.A.

Douglas Schmidt, Vanderbilt University, U.S.A.

Isabel Seruca, Universidade Portucalense, Portugal

Beijun Shen, Shanghai Jiaotong University, China

Marten van Sinderen, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Harvey Siy, University of Nebraska at Omaha, U.S.A.

Jacob Slonim, Dalhousie University, Canada

Vaclav Snasel, VSB-Technical Universtity of Ostrava, Czech Republic

Simão Melo de Sousa, Universidade da Beira Interior, Portugal

George Spanoudakis, City University, U.K.

Peter Stanchev, Kettering University, U.S.A.

Nenad Stankovic, University of Aizu, Japan

Larry Stapleton, ISOL Research Centre, Ireland

Richard Starmans, Utrecht University, The Netherlands

Junichi Suzuki, University of Massachusetts, U.S.A.

Kleanthis Thramboulidis, University of Patras, Greece

Ramayah Thurasamy, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia

Yasar Tonta, Hacettepe University, Turkey

Genny Tortora, University of Salerno, Italy

Enrico Vicario, University of Florence, Italy

Alexander Verbraeck, Delft University of Technology and University of Maryland, The Netherlands

Sergiy Vilkomir, University of Tennessee, U.S.A.

Aurora Vizcaino, University of Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

Hai Wang, University of Southampton, U.K.

Bing Wang, University of Hull, U.K.

Christiane Gresse von Wangenheim, UNIVALI, Brazil

Edgar Weippl, Secure Business Austria, Austria

Danny Weyns, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium

Ing Widya, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Dietmar Wikarski, Brandenburg University of Applied Sciences, Germany

Eric Wong, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Qing Xie, Accenture Technology Labs, U.S.A.

Haiping Xu, University of Massachusetts Dartmouth, U.S.A.

Hongji Yang, De Montfort University, U.K.

Laurence T. Yang, St. Francis Xavier University, Canada

Stephen Yang, National Central University, Taiwan

Xiaokun Zhang, Athabasca University, Canada

Du Zhang, California State University, U.S.A.

Kang Zhang, The University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Jianjun Zhao, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

Hong Zhu, Oxford Brookes University, U.K.

Andrea Zisman, City University, U.K.

AUXILIARY REVIEWERS

Gabriela Aranda, Universidad del Comahue, Argentina

Tibor Bakota, University of Szeged, Hungary

Vedran Batos, University of Dubrovnik, Croatia

Zoran Bohacek, Director of the Croatian Banking Association, Croatia

Philipp Bostan, University of Mannheim, Germany

Peter Braun, Technische Universitaet Muenchen, Munich, Germany

Patrick H. S. Brito, Unicamp, Brazil

Josip Brumec, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Organization and Informatics, Croatia

Stefano Busanelli, University of Parma, Italy

Glauco Carneiro, Salvador University, Brazil

Alejandra Cechich, Universidad del Comahue, Argentina

Yuan-Hao Chang, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Che-Wei Chang, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Shih-Chun Chou, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Marcello Cinque, Dipartimento di Informatica e Sistemistica Universita' di Napoli Federico II, Italy

Methanias Colaço, Salvador University, Brazil

Daniela Soares Cruzes, Faunhofer Center For Experimental Software Engineering, Maryland, U.S.A.

Katarina Curko, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Economics and Business, Croatia

Jörg Donandt, Daimler AG, Germany

Ekaterina Ermilova, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Hua-Wei Fang, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Y. Y. Fanjiang, National Central University, Taiwan

Fausto Fasano, University of Salerno, Italy

Ferenc Fischer, University of Szeged, Hungary

Lajos Fülöp, University of Szeged, Hungary

Ingolf Geist, University of Magdeburg, Germany

Tamás Gergely, University of Szeged, Hungary

Mehdi Golami, Aalborg University, Denmark

Carmine Gravino, University of Salerno, Italy

Nikola Hadjina, Quality Superintending Company, Ltd., Croatia

Jie Hao, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Judith Hartmann, Fakultät für Informatik, TU München, Germany

Wilke Havinga, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Christian Hofmann, University of Twente, The Netherlands

K. H. Hsu, National Central University, Taiwan

Pi-Cheng Hsiu, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Benjamin Hummel, Technische Universitaet Muenchen, Munich, Germany

Emilio Insfran, Universidad Politécnica de Valencia, Spain

Judit Jász, University of Szeged, Hungary

Tariq M. King, Florida International University, U.S.A.

Leonid Kof, Technische Universitaet Muenchen, Munich, Germany

Stephan Korsholm, Aalborg University, Denmark

Pushpa Kumar, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Alessandro Lapadula, Universita' di Firenze, Italy

Stephane LoPresti, City University London, U.K.

Michele Loreti, Universita' di Firenze, Italy

Yung-Feng Lu, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Andreas Lübcke, University of Magdeburg, Germany

Paolo Medagliani, University of Parma, Italy

Kees van der Meer, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Maria de los Angeles Moraga, Universidad de Castilla-La Mancha, Spain

Simon S. Msanjila, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Joseph Okika, Aalborg University, Denmark

Rocco Oliveto, University of Salerno, Italy

Ignazio Passero, University of Salerno, Italy

Viviane Malheiros de Pinho, SERPRO and São Paulo University, Brazil

Daniel Ratiu, Technische Universitaet Muenchen, Munich, Germany

AUXILIARY REVIEWERS (CONT.)

Sabine Rittmann, Fakultät für Informatik, TU München, Germany

Giuseppe Scanniello, University of Basilicata, Italy

Eike Schallehn, University of Magdeburg, Germany

Boris Shishkov, University of Twente, The Netherlands

István Siket, University of Szeged, Hungary

Klaas Sikkel, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Bernd Spanfelner, Technische Universitaet Muenchen, Munich, Germany

Tom Staijen, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Pei-Lun Suei, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Francesco Tiezzi, Universitá di Firenze, Italy

Gabriella Tóth, University of Szeged, Hungary

Theocharis Tsigkritis, City University London, U.K.

László Vidács, University of Szeged, Hungary

Boris Vrdoljak, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing, Croatia

Vesna Bosilj Vuksic, University of Zagreb, Faculty of Economics and Business, Croatia

Yingbo Wang, Florida International University, U.S.A.

Feng Xie, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

N. L. Xue, National Central University, Taiwan

Chuan-Yue Yang, National Taiwan University, Taiwan

Cheng Zhang, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, China

Chunying Zhao, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

SELECTED PAPERS BOOK

A number of selected papers presented at ICSOFT 2008 will be published by Springer-Verlag in a CCIS Series book. This selection will be done by the Conference Co-chairs and Program Co-chairs, among the papers actually presented at the conference, based on a rigorous review by the ICSOFT 2008 program committee members.

FOREWORD

This volume contains the proceedings of the third *International Conference on Software and Data Technologies* (*ICSOFT 2008*), organized by the Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Communication and Control (*INSTICC*) in cooperation with the Interdisciplinary Institute for Collaboration and Research on Enterprise Systems and Technology (IICREST), and co-sponsored by the Workflow Management Coalition (WfMC).

The purpose of this conference is to bring together researchers, engineers and practitioners interested in information technology and software development. The conference tracks are "*Programming Languages*", "*Software Engineering*", "*Distributed and Parallel Systems*", "*Information Systems and Data Management*" and "*Knowledge Engineering*".

Software and data technologies are essential for developing any computer information system, encompassing a large number of research topics and applications: from programming issues to the more abstract theoretical aspects of software engineering; from databases and data-warehouses to management information systems and knowledge-base systems; Distributed systems, ubiquity, data quality and other related topics are included in the scope of ICSOFT.

ICSOFT 2008 received 296 paper submissions from more than 50 countries in all continents. To evaluate each submission, a double blind paper evaluation method was used: each paper was reviewed by at least two internationally known experts from ICSOFT Program Committee. Only 49 papers were selected to be published and presented as full papers, i.e. completed work (8 pages in proceedings / 30' oral presentations), 70 additional papers, describing work-in-progress, were accepted as short paper for 20' oral presentation, leading to a total of 119 oral paper presentations. There were also 40 papers selected for poster presentation. The full-paper acceptance ratio was thus 16%, and the total oral paper acceptance ratio was 40%.

In its program ICSOFT includes panels to discuss aspects of software development, with the participation of distinguished world-class researchers; furthermore, the program is enriched by several keynote lectures delivered by renowned experts in their areas of knowledge. These high points in the conference program definitely contribute to reinforce the overall quality of the ICSOFT conference, which aims at becoming one of the most prestigious yearly events in its area.

The program for this conference required the dedicated effort of many people. Firstly, we must thank the authors, whose research and development efforts are recorded here. Secondly, we thank the members of the program committee and the additional reviewers for their diligence and expert reviewing. I would like to personally thank the Program Chairs, namely Boris Shishkov and Markus Helfert, for their important collaboration. The local organizers and the secretariat have worked hard to provide smooth logistics and a friendly environment, so we must thank them all and especially Ms. Monica Saramago for their patience and diligence in answering many emails and solving all the problems. Last but not least, we thank the invited speakers for their invaluable contribution and for taking the time to synthesize and prepare their talks.

A successful conference involves more than paper presentations; it is also a meeting place, where ideas about new research projects and other ventures are discussed and debated. Therefore, a social event including a conference diner was organized for the evening of July 7 (Monday) in order to promote this kind of social networking.

We wish you all an exciting conference and an unforgettable stay in the cosmopolitan city of Porto. We hope to meet you again next year for the 4th ICSOFT, to be held in the charming city of Sofia (Bulgaria), details of which will be shortly made available at http://www.icsoft.org.

José Cordeiro

Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

AlpeshKumar Ranchordas

INSTICC, Portugal

CONTENTS

INVITED SPEAKERS

TUTORIAL

CRYPTOGRAPHIC FEATURES, APPLICATIONS: JAVA (C) IS-5
Ray Kresma

KEYNOTE LECTURES

SEARCH-DRIVEN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING IS-9
Colin Atkinson

USER DEFINED GEO-REFERENCED INFORMATION MANAGEMENT IS-11
Dimitri Konstantas

WHAT'S IN A SERVICE? IS-19
Michael Papazoglou

SERVICE-ORIENTED MODELING AND SIMULATION - Applications in Traffic Management IS-21
Alexander Verbraeck

PROGRAMMING LANGUAGES

FULL PAPERS

LANGUAGE-NEUTRAL SUPPORT OF DYNAMIC INHERITANCE
Jose Manuel Redondo, Francisco Ortin and J. Baltasar Garcia Perez-Schofield 5

SCALA ROLES - A Lightweight Approach towards Reusable Collaborations
Michael Pradel and Martin Odersky 13

A SOURCE CODE BASED MODEL TO GENERATE GUI - GUI Generation based on Source Code with Declarative Language Extensions
Marco Monteiro, Paula Oliveira and Ramiro Gonçalves 21

ENSURING SAFE USAGE OF BUFFERS IN PROGRAMMING LANGUAGE C
Milena Vujosevic-Janicic 29

SHORT PAPERS

GENERIC TRAITS IN STATICALLY TYPED LANGUAGES - How to do It?
Andreas Svendsen and Birger Møller-Pedersen 39

MODULAR CONCURRENCY - A New Approach to Manageable Software
Peter Grogono and Brian Shearing 47

DSAW - A Dynamic and Static Aspect Weaving Platform
Luis Vinuesa, Francisco Ortin, José M. Félix and Fernando Álvarez 55

SEPARATING PROGRAM SEMANTICS FROM DEPLOYMENT
Nurudeen Lameed and Peter Grogono 63

senseGUI – A DECLARATIVE WAY OF GENERATING GRAPHICAL USER INTERFACES
Mariusz Trzaska 71

POSTERS

ARIA LANGUAGE - Towards Agent Orientation Paradigm
Mohsen Lesani and Niloufar Montazeri 79

AN APPROACH TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF PROGRAMMING SOFTWARE FOR DISTRIBUTED COMPUTING AND INFORMATION PROCESSING SYSTEMS
V. P. Kutepov, V. N. Malanin and N. A. Pankov 83

DISTRIBUTED AND PARALLEL SYSTEMS

FULL PAPERS

BEHAVIOR CHARACTERIZATION AND PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OF A HYBRID P2P FILE SHARING SYSTEM
Juan Pedro Muñoz-Gea, Josemaria Malgosa-Sanahuja, Pilar Manzanares-Lopez, Juan Carlos Sanchez-Aarnoutse and Joan Garcia-Haro 95

REPLICATION IN SERVICE ORIENTED ARCHITECTURES
Michael Ameling, Marcus Roy and Bettina Kemme 103

STRATEGIES FOR OPTIMIZING QUERYING THIRD PARTY RESOURCES IN SEMANTIC WEB APPLICATIONS
Albert Weichselbraun 111

PERFORMANCE AND COMPLEXITY EVALUATION OF MULTI-PATH ROUTING ALGORITHMS FOR MPLS-TE
K. Abboud, A. Toguyeni and A. Rahmani 119

PIPELINED PARALLELISM IN MULTI-JOIN QUERIES ON HETEROGENEOUS SHARED NOTHING ARCHITECTURES
Mohamad Al Hajj Hassan and Mostafa Bamha 127

ADAPTING GRID SERVICES FOR URGENT COMPUTING ENVIRONMENTS
Jason Cope and Henry Tufo 135

SHORT PAPERS

USING MESSAGE PASSING FOR DEVELOPING COARSE-GRAINED APPLICATIONS IN OPENMP
Bielecki Wlodzimierz and Palkowski Marek 145

TECHNICAL CLASSIFICATION OF RUNTIME ENVIRONMENTS FOR MOBILE APPLICATIONS
Sören Blom, Matthias Book, Volker Gruhn, Ruslan Hrushchak and André Köhler 153

A HYBRID DIAGNOSTIC-RECOMMENDATION SYSTEM FOR AGENT EXECUTION IN MULTI-AGENT SYSTEMS
Andrew Diniz da Costa, Carlos J. P. de Lucena, Viviane T. da Silva and Paulo Alencar 159

AN EXTENDED MASTER WORKER MODEL FOR A DESKTOP GRID COMPUTING PLATFORM (QADPZ)
Monica Vlădoiu and Zoran Constantinescu 169

THREATS TO THE TRUST MODEL OF MOBILE AGENT PLATFORMS
Michail Fragkakis and Nikolaos Alexandris 175

OPTIMIZING SKELETAL STREAM PROCESSING FOR DIVIDE AND CONQUER
Michael Poldner and Herbert Kuchen 181

AN EXTENSION OF PUBLISH/SUBSCRIBE FOR MOBILE SENSOR NETWORKS
Hiroki Saito 190

TOWARDS A MULTI-AGENT ARCHITECTURE FOR WEB APPLICATIONS
Tiago Garcia and Luís Morgado 194

ALIGNING AGENT COMMUNICATION PROTOCOLS - A Pragmatic Approach
Maricela Bravo and Martha Coronel 200

JAVA NIO FRAMEWORK - Introducing a High-performance I/O Framework for Java
Ronny Standtke and Ulrich Ultes-Nitsche 206

POSTERS

A SURVEY OF SENSOR NETWORK AND RELATED ROUTING PROTOCOLS
O. P. Vyas, M. K. Tiwari and Chandresh Pratap Singh 215

BUILDING SCALABLE DATA MINING GRID APPLICATIONS - An Application Description Schema and Associated Grid Services
Vlado Stankovski and Dennis Wegener 221

THE FUTURE OF MULTIMEDIA DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS - IPTV Frameworks
Oscar Martinez Bonastre, Lucas Lopez and Antonio Peñalver 229

NORMATIVE VIRTUAL ENVIRONMENTS - Integrating Physical and Virtual under the One Umbrella
Anton Bogdanovych, Simeon Simoff and Marc Esteva 233

KNOWLEDGE ENGINEERING

FULL PAPERS

IMPROVING QUALITY OF RULE SETS BY INCREASING INCOMPLETENESS OF DATA SETS - A Rough Set Approach
Jerzy W. Grzymala-Busse and Witold J. Grzymala-Busse 241

MODELING PROCESSES FROM TIMED OBSERVATIONS
Marc Le Goc, Emilie Masse and Corinne Curt 249

ONTOLOGY FOR SOFTWARE CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT - A Knowledge Management Framework for Software Configuration Management
Nikiforos Ploskas, Michael Berger, Jiang Zhang, Lars Dittmann and Gert-Joachim Wintterle 257

ON THE DETECTION OF NOVEL ATTACKS USING BEHAVIORAL APPROACHES
Benferhat Salem and Tabia Karim 265

PRUNING SEARCH SPACE BY DOMINANCE RULES IN BEST FIRST SEARCH FOR THE JOB SHOP SCHEDULING PROBLEM
María R. Sierra and Ramiro Varela 273

SHORT PAPERS

A COLLABORATIVE FRAMEWORK TO SUPPORT SOFTWARE PROCESS IMPROVEMENT BASED ON THE REUSE OF PROCESS ASSETS
Fuensanta Medina-Domínguez, Javier Saldaña-Ramos, Arturo Mora-Soto, Ana Sanz-Esteban and Maria-Isabel Sanchez-Segura 283

KNOWLEDGE REPRESENTATIONS OF CONSTRAINTS FOR PATIENT SPECIFIC IOL-DESTINATION
K. P. Scherer 290

APPLICATION OF GENETIC PROGRAMMING IN SOFTWARE ENGINEERING EMPIRICAL DATA MODELLING
Athanasios Tsakonas and Georgios Dounias 295

TERM KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION USING THE STRUCTURE OF HEADLINE SENTENCES FROM INFORMATION EQUIPMENTS OPERATING MANUALS
Makoto Imamura, Yasuhiro Takayama Masanori Akiyoshi and Norihisa Komoda 301

APLYING THE KoFI METHODOLOGY TO IMPROVE KNOWLEDGE FLOWS IN A MANUFACTURING PROCESS
Oscar M. Rodríguez-Elias, Alberto L. Morán, Jaqueline I. Lavandera, Aurora Vizcaíno and Juan Pablo Soto 309

MINING ASSOCIATION - Correlations Among Demographic Health Indicators
Subhagata Chattopadhyay, Pradeep Ray and Lesley Land 315

A PROTOPTYPE TO RECOMMEND TRUSTWORTHY KNOWLEDGE IN COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE
Juan Pablo Soto, Aurora Vizcaíno, Javier Portillo-Rodríguez, Mario Piattini and Oscar M. Rodríguez-Elias 321

HOW TO SUPPORT SCENARIOS-BASED INSTRUCTIONAL DESIGN - A Domain-Specific-Modeling Approach
Pierre Laforcade, Boubekeur Zendagui and Vincent Barré 327

INVERSE SIMULATION FOR RECOMMENDATION OF BUSINESS SCENARIO WITH QUALITATIVE AND QUANTITATIVE HYBRID MODEL
Keisuke Negoro, Takeshi Nakazaki, Susumu Takeuchi and Masanori Akiyoshi 333

TRAINING BELIEVABLE AGENTS IN 3D ELECTRONIC BUSINESS ENVIRONMENTS USING RECURSIVE-ARC GRAPHS
Anton Bogdanovych, Simeon Simoff and Marc Esteva 339

POSTERS

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN FRACTAL DIMENSION AND SENSITIVITY INDEX OF PRODUCT PACKAGING
Mayumi Oyama-Higa and Tiejun Miao 349

ON THE USE OF SYNTACTIC POSSIBILISTIC FUSION FOR COMPUTING POSSIBILISTIC QUALITATIVE DECISION
Salem Benferhat, Faiza Haned-Khellaf, Aicha Mokhtari and Ismahane Zeddigha 356

A COMPARISON STUDY OF TWO KNOWLEDGE ACQUISITION TECHNIQUES APPLIED TO THYROID MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS DOMAIN
Abdulhamed Mohamed Abdulkafi and Aiman Subhi Gannous 360

INTEGRATION OF ARCHITECTURAL DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION DECISIONS INTO THE MDA FRAMEWROK
Alti Adel and Smeda Adel 366

AN ONTOLOGY IN ORTHOPEDIC MEDICAL FIELD
Harold Vasquez, Ana Aguilera and Leonid Tineo 372

KNOWLEDGE SHARING IN TRADITIONAL AND AGILE SOFTWARE PROCESSES
Broderick Crawford, Claudio León de la Barra and José Miguel Rubio León 376

CONSTRAINT PROGRAMMING CAN HELP ANTS SOLVING HIGHLY CONSTRAINTED COMBINATORIAL PROBLEMS
Broderick Crawford, Carlos Castro and Eric Monfroy 380

ARABIC TEXT CATEGORIZATION SYSTEM - Using Ant Colony Optimization-based Feature Selection
Abdelwadood Moh'd A. Mesleh and Ghassan Kanaan 384

CONTROLLED EXPERIMENT ON SEARCH ENGINE KNOWLEDGE EXTRACTION CAPABILITIES
Pasquale Ardimento, Danilo Caivano, Teresa Baldassarre, Marta Cimitile and Giuseppe Visaggio 386

HUMAN RANDOM GENERATION AND ITS APPLICATIONS
Mieko Tanaka-Yamawaki 396

AUTHOR INDEX 401

A PROTOPTYPE TO RECOMMEND TRUSTWORTHY KNOWLEDGE IN COMMUNITIES OF PRACTICE

Juan Pablo Soto, Aurora Vizcaíno, Javier Portillo-Rodríguez, Mario Piattini
University of Castilla-La Mancha, Alarcos Research Group – Institute of Information Technologies & Systems
Department of Information Technologies & Systems - Escuela Superior de Informática, Ciudad Real, Spain
JuanPablo.Soto@inf-cr.uclm.es, {Aurora.Vizcaino, Javier.Portillo, Mario.Piattini}@uclm.es

Oscar M. Rodríguez-Elias
University of Sonora, Department of Mathematics, Hermosillo, Mexico
omrodriguez@ciencias.uson.mx

Keywords: Knowledge Management, Recommendation, multi-agent Systems.

Abstract: Knowledge Management is a key factor in companies which have, therefore, started using strategies and systems to take advantage of its intellectual capital. However, employees frequently do not take advantage of the means to manage knowledge that companies offer them. For instance, employees often complain that knowledge management systems overload them with more work since they have to introduce information into these systems, or that this kind of tools floods them with too much knowledge which is not always relevant to them. In order to avoid these problems we have implemented a tool to recommend trustworthy knowledge sources in communities of practice. This tool is based on a multi-agent architecture in which agents attempt to help users to find the information which is most relevant to them. In order to do this, the agents use a trust model to evaluate how trustworthy a knowledge source (which may even be another agent) is.

1 INTRODUCTION

In recent years Knowledge Management (KM) has become an important success factor for companies. The purpose of knowledge management is to help companies to create, share and use knowledge more effectively (Davenport and Prusak, 1997). Information technologies play a key role in achieving these goals but are only a small component of an overall system that must integrate the supporting technology with people-based business processes. KM is not a technology solution but rather is primarily about people oriented process, such as leadership, culture, expertise and learning, with technology playing a supporting role. Based on this idea we have studied how people obtain and increase their knowledge in their daily work. From this study we have realized that frequently, employees exchange knowledge with people who work on similar topics and consequently, either formally or informally, communities are created which can be called "communities of practice", by which we mean groups of people with a common interest where each member contributes knowledge about a common domain (Wenger, 1998).

Communities of practice (CoPs) enable their members to benefit from each other's knowledge. This knowledge resides not only in people's minds but also in the interaction between people and documents. CoPs share values, beliefs, and ways of doing things. Many companies report that such communities help reduce problems due to lack of communication, and save time by "working smarter" (Wenger et al, 2002). An interesting fact is that members of a community are frequently more likely to use knowledge built by their community team members than those created by members outside their group (Desouza et al, 2006). Because of this, as is claimed in (Desouza et al, 2006), knowledge reuse tends to be restricted within groups. Therefore, people, in real life in general and in companies in particular, prefer to exchange knowledge with "trustworthy people" by which we mean people they trust. For these reasons we consider important the implementation of mechanism in charge of

measuring and controlling the confidence level in a community where the members sharing information.

Bearing in mind that people exchange information with "trustworthy knowledge sources" we have designed a prototype in which software agents try to emulate humans evaluating knowledge sources with the goal of fostering the use of knowledge bases in companies where agents provide "trustworthy knowledge" to the employees.

The remainder of this work is organized as follows: Section 2 describes the design of a multi-agent system to recommend CoPs' members trustworthy knowledge sources. Then in Section 3 the prototype of the system is presented, in this section the trust model that we propose to be used in CoPs is also explained. After that in Section 4 the preliminary evaluation of the prototype is shown. Section 5 outlined related works and finally in Section 6 conclusions are future work are summarized.

2 DESIGN OF THE MULTI-AGENT SYSTEM

Due to the importance of knowledge management, tools which support some of the tasks related to KM have been developed. Different techniques are used to implement these tools. One of them, which is proving to be quite useful, is that of intelligent agents (van-Elst et al., 2003). Software agent technology can monitor and coordinate events, meetings and disseminate information (Balasubramanian et al., 2001). Furthermore, agents are proactive in the sense that they can take the initiative and achieve their own goals. The autonomous behaviour of these agents is critical to the goal of this research since agents can act on behalf of their users by carrying out difficult and often time-consuming tasks that employees have to perform when using a KM system. Most agents today employ some type of artificial intelligence technique to assist the users with their computer-related tasks, such as reading e-mails, maintaining a calendar, and filtering information. The advantages that agent technology has shown in the area of information management have encouraged us to consider agents as a suitable technique by which to develop a multi-agent system with the goal of supporting CoPs. To do this, we need to emulate people's behavior when they interact with the other members of a community. For this reason, we have grouped the agents into communities, thus attempting to emulate CoPs. Figure 1 represents the distribution of the multi-agent system where there are two kinds of agent and where there are different roles to play.



Figure 1: Multi-Agent System.

One type of agent is the User Agent which is in charge of representing each person that may consult or introduce knowledge in a community. The *User Agent* can assume three types of behavior or roles similar to the tasks that a person may carry out in his/her community. Therefore, the User Agent plays one role or another depending upon whether the person that it represents carries out one of the following actions:

- The person contributes new knowledge to the communities in which s/he is registered. In this case the User Agent plays the role of **Provider**.
- The person uses knowledge previously stored in the community. Then, the User Agent will be considered as a **Consumer**.
- The person helps other users to achieve their goals, for instance by giving an evaluation of certain knowledge. In this case the role is that of a **Partner**. So, Figure 1 shows that in Community 1 there are two User Agents playing the role of Partner (Pa), one User Agent playing the role of Consumer (Co) and another being a Provider (Pr).

The second type of agent within a community is called the *Manager Agent* (represented in black in Figure 1) which is in charge of managing and controlling its community.

Every user agent has been constructed by following the multi-agent architecture explained in (Soto et al, 2007), in which the authors present a three level architecture to support CoPs.

The multi-agent system has been designed by using the INGENIAS (Pavón and Gómez-Sanz, 2003) methodology because this is considered by many authors to be one of the most up to date and complete methodologies.

3 THE PROTOTYPE

In order to test our multi-agent system, we have developed a prototype system into which CoPs members can introduce documents and where these documents can also be consulted by other people. The goal of software agents is that of helping members to discover the information that may be useful to the CoPs members, thus decreasing the overload of information which, for instance, employees often have and strengthening the use of knowledge in enterprises. This prototype also helps to discover experts in a community and permits the detection of fraud when users insert non-valuable knowledge into the community.

One feature of this system is that when a person searches for knowledge in a community, and after having used the knowledge obtained, that person then has to evaluate the knowledge in order to indicate whether:

- The knowledge was useful.
- How it was related to the topic of the search.

In this paper, due to space limitations, we shall only explain how agents recommend documents when a person is searching for information about a topic.

In order to make it easier to search for documents in a community, users can choose one topic from those which are available in the community and the user agent will attempt to find documents about this topic.

The general idea is to consider those documents which come from trustworthy knowledge sources according to the user's opinion or needs. User agents use a trust model to discover which knowledge sources are trustworthy. As this trust model will be used in CoPs then the factors that arise in this kind of community should be considered, such as:

The number of interactions that an agent will have with other agents in the community will be low in comparison with other scenarios such as auctions. This is very important because we cannot use trust models which need a lot of interactions to obtain a reliable trust value; it is more important to obtain a reliable initial trust value. We use four factors (see Figure 2) to obtain a trust value:

- **Position:** employees often consider information that comes from a boss as being more reliable than that which comes from another employee in the same (or a lower) position as him/her (Wasserman and Glaskiewics, 1994). However, this is not a universal truth and depends on the situation. For instance in a collaborative learning setting collaboration is more likely to occur between people of a similar status than between a boss and his/her employee or between a teacher and pupils (Dillenbourg, 1999). Such different positions inevitably influence the way in which knowledge is acquired, diffused and eventually transformed within the local area. Because of this, as will later be explained, this factor will be calculated in our research by taking into account a weight that can strengthen this factor to a greater or to a lesser degree.
- **Expertise:** This term can be briefly defined as the skill or knowledge that a person who knows a great deal about a specific thing has. This is an important factor since people often trust experts more than novice employees. In addition, "individual" level knowledge is embedded in the skills and competencies of the researchers, experts, and professionals working in the organization (Nonaka and Takeuchi, 1995). The level of expertise that a person has in a company or in a CoP could be calculated from his/her CV or by considering the amount of time that a person has been working on a topic. This is data that most companies are presumed to have.
- **Previous Experience:** This is a critical factor in rating a trust value since, as was mentioned in the definitions of trust and reputation, previous experience is the key value through which to obtain a precise trust value. However, when previous experience is scarce or it does not exist humans use other factors to decide whether or not to trust in a person or a knowledge source. One of these factors is intuition.
- **Intuition:** This is a subjective factor which, according to our study of the state of the art, has not been considered in previous trust models. However, this concept is very important because when people do not have any previous experience they often use their "intuition" to decide whether or not they are going to trust something. We have tried to model intuition according to the similarity between personal profiles: the greater the similarity between one person and another, the greater the level of trust in this person as a result of intuition.

Figure 2: Trust Model.

We have classified these four factors into two groups: objective factors (position and expertise) and subjective factors (intuition and previous experience). The former is given by the company or community and the latter depends on the agent itself and the agent's experience in time. There are four different ways of using these factors, which depend upon the agent's situation.

- If the agent has no previous experience, for instance because it is a new user in the community, then the agent uses position, expertise and intuition to obtain an initial trust value and this value is used to discover which other agents it can trust.
- When the agent has previous experience obtained through interactions with other agents but this previous experience is low (low number of interactions), the agent calculates the trust value by considering the intuition value and the experience value. For instance, if an agent A has a high experience value for agent B but agent A has a low intuition value for agent B (profiles are not very similar), then agent A reduces the value obtained through experience. In this case the agent does not use position and expertise factors (objective factors) because the agent has its own experience and this experience is adjusted with its intuition which is subjective and more personalized.
- When the agent has enough previous experience to consider that the trust value it has obtained is reliable, then the agent only considers this value.

The way to translate the trust model to trust values is by using the following formula:

$$T_{ij} = w_e * E_j + w_p * P_j + w_I * I_{ij} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} QC_{ij}\right)/n$$

where $\mathbf{T_{ij}}$ is the value of trust of j in the eyes of i, E_j is the value of expertise which is calculated according to the degree of experience that the person upon whose behalf the agent acts has in a domain.

P_j is the value assigned to a person's position.

I_{ij} denotes the intuition value that agent i has in the agent j which is calculated by comparing each of the users' profiles.

In addition, previous experience should also be calculated. When an agent i consults information from another agent j, the agent i should evaluate how useful this information was. This value is called QC_{ij} (Quality of *j*'s Contribution in the opinion of i). To attain the average value of an agent's contribution, we calculate the sum of all the values assigned to these contributions and we divide it between their total. In the expression n represents the total number of evaluated contributions.

Finally, w_e, w_p and w_I are weights with which the trust value can be adjusted according to the degree of knowledge that one agent has about another. Therefore, if an agent i has had frequent interactions with another agent j, then agent i will give a low weight (or even zero) to w_i since, in this case, previous experience is more important than intuition. The same may occur with w_e, w_p. So the weights may have the value of 0 or 1 depending on the previous experience that an agent has.

In order to illustrate how the prototype works, let us look at an example. If a user selects a topic and wants to search for documents related to this topic his/her user agent will contact other user agents which have documents about the topic, and the user agent will then calculate the trust value for each agent, which means that these agents are considered to be knowledge sources and the user agent needs to calculate which "knowledge source" is more trustworthy. Once these values have been calculated, the user agent only shows his/her user the documents which have come from the most trustworthy agents. In Figure 3 we can see the results of a search sorted by the trust values, that is, the first documents on the list come from the most trustworthy knowledge sources (in this case the most trustworthy agents with the highest trust values). There are other possibilities, depending on user preferences. For instance, as we can see in Figure 3, the results of the request (sorted by reputation) show a large amount of results, and the first one on the list has five stars in the reputation level and four shields in the position level.

This method of rating trust helps to detect an increasing problem in companies or communities in which employees are rewarded if they contribute with knowledge in the community. Thus, if a person introduces non-valuable documents with the sole aim of obtaining rewards, the situation can be detected since these documents will have low values and the person will also be considered to be less



Figure 3: Showing and sorting results.

trustworthy. The agent will, therefore, not recommend those documents. Moreover, this model implies the reduction of users' overload when they use knowledge management systems, since with this model the user agent only recommends the most adequate and trustworthy knowledge.

4 EVALUATION OF THE PROTOTYPE

Once the prototype has been finished we have evaluated it. To do this, different approaches can be followed, from a multi-agent point of view or from a social one. First of all we have focused on the former and we are testing the most suitable number of agents advisable for a community. Therefore, several simulations have been performed. As result of them we found that:

The maximum number of agents supported by the Community Manager Agent when it receives User Agents' evaluations is approximately 800. When we tried to work with 1000 agents for instance, the messages were not managed conveniently. However, we could see that the Manager Agent could support a high number of petitions, at least, using simpler behavior.

All these results are being used to detect whether the exchange of messages between the agents is suitable, and to see if the information that we propose to be taken into account to obtain a trustworthy value of the reputation of each agent is enough, or if more parameters should be considered.

5 RELATED WORKS

This research can be compared with other proposals that use agents and trust in knowledge exchange.

With regard to Trust, in models such as eBay (1995) and Amazon (1996), which were proposed to resolve specific situations in online commerce, the ratings are stored centrally and the reputation value is computed as the sum of those ratings over six months. Thus, reputation in these models is a single global value. However, these models are too simple (in terms of their trust values and the way in which they are aggregated) to be applied in open multi-agent systems. For instance, in (Zachaira et al, 1999) the authors present the Sporas model, a reputation mechanism for loosely connected online communities where, among other features, new users start with a minimum reputation value, the reputation value of a user never falls below the reputation of a new user and users with very high reputation values experience much smaller rating changes after each update. The problem with this approach is that when somebody has a high reputation value it is difficult to change this reputation, or the system needs a high amount of interactions. A further approach of the Sporas authors is Histos which is a more personalized system than Sporas and is orientated towards highly connected online communities. In (Sabater and Sierra, 2002) the authors present another reputation model called REGRET in which the reputation values depend on time: the most recent rates are more important than previous rates. Carbó et al (2003) presents the AFRAS model, which is based on Sporas but uses fuzzy logic. The authors present a complex computing reputation mechanism which handles reputation as a fuzzy set while decision making is inspired in a cognitive human-like approach. In (Caballero et al, 2006) the authors present a trust and reputation model that considers trust and reputation as emergent properties of direct interactions between agents, based on multiple interactions between two parties. In this model, trust is a belief an agent has about the performance of the other party to solve a given task, according to own knowledge.

The main differences between these reputation models and our approach are that these models need an initial number of interactions to obtain a good reputation value and it is not possible to use them to discover whether or not a new user can be trusted. A further difference is that our approach is orientated towards collaboration between users in CoPs. Other

approaches are more orientated towards competition, and most of them are tested in auctions.

6 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

This paper describes a multi-agent prototype to support CoPs in which knowledge source are rated by using a trust model developed to be used solely in CoPS. In this prototype CoPs members can introduce documents and the software agents must decide how trustworthy those documents are for the user that they represent.

One important contribution of this paper is the trust model, as it helps to detect experts in a community, since those knowledge sources with high trust values are supposed to be people who contribute with valuable knowledge. The trust model also helps to detect fraud when users contribute with non-valuable knowledge. Another important feature of our trust model, and that which makes it different from previous models, is that even when a user is new to the community and other agents do not have any previous experience of working with him/her, the trust model allows agents to obtain a preliminary trust value by considering other factors such as the new agent's position, and level of expertise, along with the intuition that each agent has about the new member. In this way we attempt to model human features, since when a person has to evaluate something and s/he has no previous experience this person uses other aspects such as his/her intuition in order to decide whether or not to trust in it.

As future work, we are performing different tests with the prototype and the trust model in order to see how they might be improved according to different domains.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is partially supported by the MELISA project (PAC08-0142-3315), Junta de Comunidades de Castilla-La Mancha, Consejería de Educación y Ciencia, in Spain, partially supported by MECENAS (PBI06-0024) and ESFINGE project (TIN2006-15175-C05-05) Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (Dirección General de Investigación)/Fondos Europeos de Desarrollo Regional (FEDER) in Spain and CONACYT (México) under grant of the scholarship 206147 provided to the first author.

REFERENCES

Amazon (1996). URL: www.amazon.com

Balasubramanian, S., Brennan, R., Norrie, D., 2001, An Architecture for Metamorphic Control of Holonic Manufacturing Systems. *Computers in Industry*, Vol. 46, No. 1, pp: 13-31.

Caballero, A., Botía, J., Skarmeta, A., 2006, A New Model for Trust and Reputation Management with an Ontology Based Approach for Similarity Between Tasks. In: *Fischer, K., Timm, I. J., André, E., Zhong, N. (eds.) MATES.* LNCS 4196, pp: 172-183.

Carbó, J., Molina, M., Davila, J, 2003, Trust Management through Fuzzy Reputation. *International Journal of Cooperative Information Systems*. Vol. 12, No. 1, pp: 135-155.

Davenport, T., Prusak, L., 1997, Working Knowledge: How Organizations Manage What They Know. *Project Management Institute*. Harvard Business School Press, Boston, Massachusetts.

Desouza, K., Awazu, Y., Baloh, P., 2006, Managing Knowledge in Global Software Development Efforts: Issues and Practices. *IEEE Software*, pp: 30-37.

Dillenbourg, P., 1999, Introduction: What Do You Mean By "Collaborative Learning"?, *Collaborative Learning Cognitive and Computational Approaches*. Dillenbourg (Ed.). Elsevier Science.

ebay (1995). URL: www.ebay.com

Nonaka, I., Takeuchi, H., 1995, The Knowledge Creation Company: How Japanese Companies Create the Dynamics of Innovation, *Oxford University Press*.

Pavón, J., Gómez-Sanz, J., 2003, Agent Oriented Software Engineering with INGENIAS. *Multi-Agent Systems and Applications III: CEEMAS 2003, LNCS 2691,* pp. 394-403

Sabater, J., Sierra, C., 2002, Social REGRET, a Reputation Model based on social relations. *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Autonomous Agents*, Vol. 3, No. 1, pp: 44-56.

Soto, J. P.,Vizcaíno, A., Portillo-Rodríguez, J., Piattini, M., 2007, A Three Level Multi-agent Architecture to Foster Knowledge Exchange. In *19th International Conference on Software Engineering and Knowledge Engineering (SEKE)*, Boston, USA. pp. 565-569.

van-Elst, L., Dignum, V., Abecker, A., 2003, Agent-Mediated Knowledge Management. *International Symposium AMKM*, Stanford, CA, USA, Springer. pp. 1-30

Wasserman, S., Glaskiewics, J., 1994, Advances in Social Networks Analysis, *Sage Publications*.

Wenger, E., 1998, Communities of Practice: Learning Meaning, and Identity, *Cambridge University Press*.

Wenger, E., McDermott, R., Snyder, W., 2002, Cultivating Communities of Practice, *Harvard Business School Press*.

Zacharia, G., Moukas, A., Maes, P., 1999, Collaborative Reputation Mechanisms in Electronic Marketplaces. In *32nd Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (HICSS)*.

AUTHOR INDEX

Abboud, K. 119
Abdulkafi, A. 360
Adel, A. 366
Adel, S. 366
Aguilera, A. 372
Akiyoshi, M. 333
Akiyoshi, Y. 301
Alencar, P. 159
Alexandris, N. 175
Álvarez, F. 55
Ameling, M. 103
Ardimento, P. 388
Baldassarre, T. 388
Bamha, M. 127
Barra, C. 376
Barré, V. 327
Benferhat, S. 356
Berger, M. 257
Blom, S. 153
Bogdanovych, A. 233, 339
Bonastre, O. 229
Book, M. 153
Bravo, M. 200
Caivano, D. 388
Castro, C. 380
Chattopadhyay, S. 315
Cimitile, M. 388
Constantinescu, Z. 169
Cope, J. 135
Coronel, M. 200
Costa, A. 159
Crawford, B. 376, 380
Curt, C. 249
Dittmann, L. 257
Dounias, G. 295
Esteva, M. 233, 339
Félix, J. 55
Fragkakis, M. 175
Gannous, A. 360
Garcia, T. 194
Garcia-Haro, J. 95
Goc, M. 249
Gonçalves, R. 21
Grogono, P. 47, 63
Gruhn, V. 153
Grzymala-Busse, J. 241
Grzymala-Busse, W. 241
Haned-Khellaf, F. 356
Hassan, M. 127
Hrushchak, R. 153
Imamura, M. 301
Kanaan, G. 384
Karim, T. 265
Kemme, B. 103
Köhler, A. 153
Komoda, N. 301
Kuchen, H. 181
Kutepov, V. 83
Laforcade, P. 327
Lameed, N. 63
Land, L. 315
Lavandera, J. 309
León, J. 376
Lesani, M. 79
Lopez, L. 229
Lucena, C. 159
Malanin, V. 83
Malgosa-Sanahuja, J. 95
Manzanares-Lopez, P. 95
Marek, P. 145
Masse, E. 249
Medina-Domínguez, F. 283
Mesleh, A. 384
Miao, T. 349
Mokhtari, A. 356
Møller-Pedersen, B. 39
Monfroy, E. 380
Montazeri, N. 79
Monteiro, M. 21
Morán, A. 309
Mora-Soto, A. 283
Morgado, L. 194
Muñoz-Gea, J. 95
Nakazaki, T. 333
Negoro, K. 333
Odersky, M. 13
Oliveira, P. 21
Ortin, F. 5, 55
Oyama-Higa, M. 349
Pankov, N. 83

AUTHOR INDEX (CONT.)

Peñalver, A. 229
Perez-Schofield, J. 5
Piattini, M. 321
Ploskas, N. 257
Poldner, M. 181
Portillo-Rodríguez, J. 321
Pradel, M. 13
Rahmani, A. 119
Ray, P. 315
Redondo, J. 5
Rodríguez-Elias, O. 309, 321
Roy, M. 103
Saito, H. 190
Saldaña-Ramos, J. 283
Salem, B. 265
Sanchez-Aarnoutse, J. 95
Sanchez-Segura, M. 283
Sanz-Esteban, A. 283
Scherer, K. 290
Shearing, B. 47
Sierra, M. 273
Silva, V. 159
Simoff, S. 233, 339
Singh, C. 215
Soto, J. 309, 321
Standtke, R. 206
Stankovski, V. 221
Svendsen, A. 39
Takeuchi, S. 333
Tanaka-Yamawaki, M. 396
Tineo, L. 372
Tiwari, M. 215
Toguyeni, A. 119
Trzaska, M. 71
Tsakonas, A. 295
Tufo, H. 135
Ultes-Nitsche, U. 206
Varela, R. 273
Vasquez, H. 372
Vinuesa, L. 55
Visaggio, G. 388
Vizcaíno, A. 309, 321
Vlădoiu, M. 169
Vujosevic-Janicic, M. 29
Vyas, O. 215
Wegener, D. 221
Weichselbraun, A. 111
Wintterle, G. 257
Wlodzimierz, B. 145
Zeddigha, I. 356
Zendagui, B. 327
Zhang, J. 257

Proceedings of ICSOFT
Third International Conference on Software and Data Technologies
ISBN: 978-989-8111-51-7
http://www.icsoft.org