

ICSOFT 2011

6th International Conference on Software and
Data Technologies

Proceedings

Volume 2

Seville, Spain
18 - 21 July, 2011

Sponsored by:



In Cooperation with:



ATI Asociación de Técnicos
de Informática



In Collaboration with:



ICSOFT 2011

Proceedings of the
6th International Conference on
Software and Database Technologies

Volume 2

Seville, Spain

18 - 21 July, 2011

Sponsored by

**INSTICC – Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control
and Communication**

In Collaboration with

**University of Seville
ETSII – University of Seville**

In Cooperation with

**IICREST – Interdisciplinary Institute for Collaboration and Research on
Enterprise Systems and Technology**

CEPIS – Council of European Professional Informatics Societies

ATI – Asociación de Técnicos de Informática

**FIDETIA – Fundación para la Investigación y el Desarrollo de las Tecnologías
de la Información en Andalucía**

INES – Iniciativa Española de Software y Servicios

Copyright © 2011 SciTePress – Science and Technology Publications
All rights reserved

Edited by Maria Jose Escalona, Boris Shishkov and José Cordeiro

Printed in Portugal

ISBN: 978-989-8425-77-5

Depósito Legal: 330157/11

<http://www.icssoft.org/>
icssoft.secretariat@insticc.org

BRIEF CONTENTS

INVITED SPEAKERS	IV
ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES	V
PROGRAM COMMITTEE	VI
AUXILIARY REVIEWERS	IX
SELECTED PAPERS BOOK	IX
FOREWORD	XI
CONTENTS	XIII

INVITED SPEAKERS

Ivan Ivanov

SUNY Empire State College

U.S.A.

Antonia Bertolino

Italian National Research Council - CNR

Italy

David Marca

University of Phoenix

U.S.A.

Oscar Pastor

Universidad Politécnica de Valencia

Spain

ORGANIZING AND STEERING COMMITTEES

CONFERENCE CO-CHAIRS

José Cordeiro, Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

Maria Jose Escalona, University of Seville, Spain

PROGRAM CHAIR

Boris Shishkov, IICREST, Bulgaria

PROCEEDINGS PRODUCTION

Patrícia Alves, INSTICC, Portugal

Helder Coelhas, INSTICC, Portugal

Vera Coelho, INSTICC, Portugal

Andreia Costa, INSTICC, Portugal

Patrícia Duarte, INSTICC, Portugal

Bruno Encarnação, INSTICC, Portugal

Liliana Medina, INSTICC, Portugal

Carla Mota, INSTICC, Portugal

Raquel Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal

Vitor Pedrosa, INSTICC, Portugal

Cláudia Pinto, INSTICC, Portugal

José Varela, INSTICC, Portugal

CD-ROM PRODUCTION

Pedro Varela, INSTICC, Portugal

GRAPHICS PRODUCTION AND WEBDESIGNER

Daniel Pereira, INSTICC, Portugal

SECRETARIAT AND WEBMASTER

Sérgio Brissos, INSTICC, Portugal

PROGRAM COMMITTEE

Alain Abran, Ecole de Technologie Supérieure -
Université du Québec, Canada

Muhammad Abulaish, Jamia Millia Islamia (A
Central University), India

Hamideh Afsarmanesh, University of Amsterdam,
The Netherlands

Jacky Akoka, CNAM & INT, France

Markus Alekxy, ABB Corporate Research Center,
Germany

Rafa E. Al-Qutaish, Al Ain University of Science
and Technology, U.A.E.

Toshiaki Aoki, Japan Advanced Institute of Science
and Technology, Japan

Keiji Araki, Kyushu University, Japan

Gabriela Noemí Aranda, Universidad Nacional
Del Comahue, Argentina

Farhad Arbab, CWI, The Netherlands

Cyrille Artho, AIST, Japan

Colin Atkinson, University of Mannheim,
Germany

Mortaza S. Bargh, Novay, The Netherlands

Bernhard Bauer, University of Augsburg,
Germany

Noureddine Belkhatir, Grenoble University,
France

Fevzi Belli, University of Paderborn, Germany

Jorge Bernardino, Polytechnic Institute of
Coimbra - ISEC, Portugal

Marko Boškovic, Athabasca University, Canada

Lydie du Bousquet, Université J. Fourier, Grenoble
I, France

Mark Van Den Brand, Eindhoven University of
Technology, The Netherlands

Lisa Brownswold, Software Engineering Institute,
U.S.A.

Manfred Broy, Technische Universität München,
Germany

Dumitru Burdescu, University of Craiova,
Romania

Cristina Cachero, Universidad de Alicante, Spain

Fergal Mc Caffery, Dundalk Institute of
Technology, Ireland

Antoni Lluís Mesquida Calafat, University of the
Balearic Islands (UIB), Spain

José Antonio Calvo-Manzano, Universidad
Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

Gerardo Canfora, University of Sannio, Italy

Mauro Caporuscio, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Cinzia Cappiello, Politecnico di Milano, Italy

Cagatay Catal, TUBITAK - Research Council of
Turkey, Turkey

Krzysztof Cetnarowicz, AGH - University of
Science and Technology, Poland

Kung Chen, National Chengchi University, Taiwan

Shiping Chen, CSIRO ICT Centre Australia,
Australia

Yoonsik Cheon, University of Texas at El Paso,
U.S.A.

Chia-Chu Chiang, University of Arkansas at Little
Rock, U.S.A.

Peter Clarke, Florida International University,
U.S.A.

Rem Collier, University College Dublin, Ireland

Kendra Cooper, The University of Texas at Dallas,
U.S.A.

Sergiu Dascalu, University of Nevada, Reno,
U.S.A.

Steven Demurjian, University of Connecticut,
U.S.A.

Giovanni Denaro, University of Milano-Bicocca,
Italy

María J. Domínguez-Alda, Universidad de Alcalá,
Spain

Juan C. Dueñas, Universidad Politécnica de
Madrid, Spain

Philippe Dugerdil, Haute École de Gestion,
University of Applied Sciences, Switzerland

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

Jürgen Ebert, Universität Koblenz-Landau, Germany

Fikret Ercal, Missouri University of Science & Technology, U.S.A.

Maria Jose Escalona, University of Seville, Spain

João Faria, FEUP - Faculty of Engineering of the University of Porto, Portugal

Cléver Ricardo Guareis de Farias, University of São Paulo, Brazil

Luis Fernandez, University of Alcalá, Spain

Rita Francese, Università degli Studi di Salerno, Italy

Kehan Gao, Eastern Connecticut State University, U.S.A.

Jose M. Garrido, Kennesaw State University, U.S.A.

Nikolaos Georgantas, INRIA, France

Paola Giannini, Università del Piemonte Orientale, Italy

J. Paul Gibson, T&MSP - Telecom & Management SudParis, France

Itana Gimenes, Universidade Estadual de Maringá, Brazil

Athula Ginige, University of Western Sydney, Australia

Juan Carlos Granja, University of Granada, Spain

Des Greer, Queens University Belfast, U.K.

Slimane Hammoudi, ESEO, France

Christian Heinlein, Aalen University, Germany

Markus Helfert, Dublin City University, Ireland

Brian Henderson-Sellers, University of Technology, Sydney, Australia

Jose Luis Arciniegas Herrera, Universidad del Cauca, Colombia

Jose R. Hilera, University of Alcalá, Spain

Jang-eui Hong, Chungbuk National University, Korea, Republic of

Shihong Huang, Florida Atlantic University, U.S.A.

Ilian Ilkov, IBM Nederland B.V., The Netherlands

Ivan Ivanov, SUNY Empire State College, U.S.A.

Bharat Joshi, University of North Carolina Charlotte, U.S.A.

Yong-Kee Jun, Gyeongsang National University, Korea, Republic of

Sanpawat Kantabutra, Chiang Mai University, Thailand

Dimitris Karagiannis, University of Vienna, Austria

Foutse Khomh, Queen's University, Canada

Roger (Buzz) King, University of Colorado, U.S.A.

Mieczyslaw Kokar, Northeastern University, U.S.A.

Jun Kong, North Dakota State University, U.S.A.

Dimitri Konstantas, University of Geneva, Switzerland

Walter Kusters, Universiteit Leiden, The Netherlands

Martin Kropp, University of Applied Sciences Northwestern Switzerland, Switzerland

Patricia Lago, VU University Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Philippe Lahire, University of Nice - Sophia Antipolis, France

Konstantin Läufer, Loyola University Chicago, U.S.A.

Raimondas Lencevicius, Nuance Communications, U.S.A.

Hareton Leung, Hong Kong Polytechnic University, China

Hua Liu, Xerox Research Center at Webster, U.S.A.

David Lorenz, Open University, Israel

Zakaria Maamar, Zayed University, U.A.E.

Ricardo J. Machado, Universidade do Minho, Portugal

Leszek Maciaszek, Macquarie University, Australia

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

David Marca, University of Phoenix, U.S.A.

Eda Marchetti, ISTI-CNR, Italy

Katsuhisa Maruyama, Ritsumeikan University, Japan

Antonia Mas, Universitat de les Illes Balears, Spain

Tommaso Mazza, Centre for Integrative Biology, Italy

Bruce McMillin, Missouri University of Science and Technology, U.S.A.

Stephen Mellor, Freeter, U.K.

Marian Cristian Mihaescu, University of Craiova, Romania

Dimitris Mitrakos, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

Valérie Monfort, Université de Sfax, Tunisia

Mattia Monga, Università degli Studi di Milano, Italy

Sandro Morasca, Università degli Studi Dell'Insubria, Italy

Paolo Nesi, University of Florence, Italy

Jianwei Niu, University of Texas at San Antonio, U.S.A.

Rory O'Connor, Dublin City University, Ireland

Pasi Ojala, Nokia, Finland

Vincenzo Pallotta, Webster University Geneva, Switzerland

Patrizio Pelliccione, University of L'Aquila, Italy

Massimiliano Di Penta, University of Sannio, Italy

César González Pérez, LaPa - CSIC, Spain

Pascal Poizat, LRI, France

Andreas Polze, Hasso-Plattner-Institute for Software Engineering at University Potsdam, Germany

Christoph von Praun, Ohm-University of Applied Sciences, Germany

Rosario Pugliese, Università di Firenze, Italy

Anders Ravn, Aalborg University, Denmark

Werner Retschitzegger, Johannes Kepler University, Austria

Claudio de la Riva, University of Oviedo, Spain

Colette Rolland, Université Paris 1 Panthéon-Sorbonne, France

Gustavo Rossi, Lifa, Argentina

Gunter Saake, Institute of Technical and Business Information Systems, Germany

Krzysztof Sacha, Warsaw University of Technology, Poland

Francesca Saglietti, University of Erlangen-Nuremberg, Germany

Beijun Shen, Shanghai Jiaotong University, China

Boris Shishkov, IICREST, Bulgaria

Yanfeng Shu, CSIRO ICT Centre, Australia

Marten van Sinderen, University of Twente / CTIT, The Netherlands

Harvey Siy, University of Nebraska at Omaha, U.S.A.

Yeong-tae Song, Towson University, U.S.A.

Cosmin Stoica Spahiu, University of Craiova - Faculty of Automation, Computers and Electronics, Romania

George Spanoudakis, City University, U.K.

Peter Stanchev, Kettering University, U.S.A.

Davide Tosi, University of Insubria, Italy

Sergiy Vilkomir, East Carolina University, U.S.A.

Gianluigi Viscusi, Università Di Milano-bicocca, Italy

Florin Vrejoiu, ATIC - Association for Information Technology and Communication of Romania, Romania

Christiane Gresse von Wangenheim, UFSC - Federal University of Santa Catarina, Brazil

Martijn Warnier, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands

Ing Widya, University of Twente, The Netherlands

Dietmar Wikarski, FH Brandenburg University of Applied Sciences, Germany

PROGRAM COMMITTEE (CONT.)

Eric Wong, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Jongwook Woo, California State University, U.S.A.

Qing Xie, Accenture Technology Labs, U.S.A.

Haiping Xu, University of Massachusetts
Dartmouth, U.S.A.

Tuba Yavuz-kahveci, University of Florida, U.S.A.

I-Ling Yen, University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

Fatiha Zaidi, Université Paris-Sud XI, France

Xiaokun Zhang, Athabasca University, Canada

Hong Zhu, Oxford Brookes University, U.K.

Elena Zucca, University of Genova, Italy

AUXILIARY REVIEWERS

Narciso Albarracin, ONTOADAPTIVE, LLC,
U.S.A.

Tom Arbuckle, University of Limerick, Ireland

Carmen Bratosin, Océ, The Netherlands

Patricia Shiroma Brockmann, Ohm-Hochschule
Nürnberg, Germany

Félix Cuadrado, Universidad Politécnica de
Madrid, Spain

Subhomoy Dass, FAU, U.S.A.

Boni García, UPM, Spain

Rodrigo García-Carmona, Universidad
Politécnica de Madrid, Spain

Michiel Helvensteijn, CWI, The Netherlands

Joseph Kaylor, Loyola University, U.S.A.

Dae S. Kim-Park, University of Oviedo, Spain

Ruurd Kuiper, Technische Universiteit Eindhoven,
The Netherlands

James Mulcahy, Florida Atlantic University,
U.S.A.

Rob van Nieuwpoort, Vrije Universiteit
Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Behrooz Nobakht, Leiden University, The
Netherlands

Marcos Palacios, University of Oviedo, Spain

Jesús Pardillo, University of Alicante, Spain

Ignazio Passero, University of Salerno, Italy

Jose Proenca, K.U. Leuven, Belgium

Alexander Schneider,
Georg-Simon-Ohm-Hochschule Nürnberg,
Germany

Davide Taibi, Università dell'Insubria, Italy

Saleem Vighio, Computer Science, AAU, Denmark

Anton Wijs, Eindhoven University of Technology,
The Netherlands

Yunqi Ye, The University of Texas at Dallas, U.S.A.

SELECTED PAPERS BOOK

A number of selected papers presented at ICSOFT 2011 will be published by Springer-Verlag in a CCIS Series book. This selection will be done by the Conference Co-chairs and Program Chair, among the papers actually presented at the conference, based on a rigorous review by the ICSOFT 2011 Program Committee members.

FOREWORD

This volume contains the proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Software and Data Technologies - ICSOFT 2011. The conference is sponsored by the Institute for Systems and Technologies of Information, Control and Communication (INSTICC), held in collaboration with the University of Seville and the Escuela Técnica Superior de Ingeniería Informática (ETSII) at the University of Seville, and organized in cooperation with IICREST (Interdisciplinary Institute for Collaboration and Research on Enterprise Systems and Technology), CEPIS (Council of European Professional Informatics Societies), ATI (Asociación de Técnicos de Informática), FIDETIA (Fundación para la Investigación y el Desarrollo de las Tecnologías de la Información en Andalucía) and INES (Iniciativa Española de Software y Servicios).

The purpose of ICSOFT is to bring together researchers and practitioners interested in information technology and software development. The conference tracks are “Enterprise Software Technology”, “Software Engineering”, “Distributed Systems”, “Data Management” and “Knowledge-Based Systems”.

Software and data technologies are essential for developing any computer information system, encompassing a large number of research topics and applications: from programming issues to the more abstract theoretical aspects of software engineering; from databases and data-warehouses to the most complex management information systems; knowledge-base systems; distributed systems, ubiquity, data quality and many other topics are included in the scope of ICSOFT.

ICSOFT 2011 received 220 paper submissions from 48 countries. To evaluate each submission, a double-blind paper evaluation method was used: each paper was reviewed by at least two internationally known experts from the ICSOFT Program Committee. Only 27 papers were selected to be published and presented as full papers, i.e. completed work (10 pages in proceedings / 30’ oral presentation). Additionally, 62 papers were accepted as short papers (6 pages / 20’ oral presentation), - for a total of 89 oral presentations – and 33 papers as posters. The full-paper acceptance ratio was thus 12.3%, while the total oral paper acceptance ratio was 40.4%. As in previous editions of the conference, based on the reviewers’ evaluations and on the presentations, a short list of authors will be invited to submit extended versions of their papers for a book which will be published by Springer with the best papers of ICSOFT 2011.

ICSOFT’s program includes panels to discuss aspects of software development from both theoretical and practical perspectives, with the participation of distinguished world-class researchers and practitioners; furthermore, the program is enriched by several keynote lectures delivered by renowned experts in their areas of knowledge. These high points in the conference program definitely contribute to reinforce the overall quality of the ICSOFT conference, which is already becoming one of the most prestigious yearly events in its area.

The program for this conference required the dedicated effort of many people. Firstly, we must thank the authors, whose research efforts are herewith recorded. Secondly, we thank the members of the Program Committee and the additional reviewers for their diligent and professional reviewing. Next, we would like to personally thank the local organizers for all their hard work to provide smooth logistics and a friendly environment. Last but not least, we thank the invited speakers for their invaluable contribution and for taking the time to synthesize and prepare their talks.

A successful conference involves more than paper presentations; it is also a meeting place, where ideas about new research projects and other ventures are discussed and debated. Therefore, a social event - including dinner - has been arranged for the evening of July 19 (Tuesday) in order to promote this kind of social networking.

We wish you all an exciting conference and an unforgettable stay in the city of Seville. We hope to meet you again next year for the 7th ICSoft, to be held in Rome, details of which will shortly be made available at <http://www.icsoft.org>.

Maria Jose Escalona

University of Seville, Spain

Boris Shishkov

IICREST, Bulgaria

José Cordeiro

Polytechnic Institute of Setúbal / INSTICC, Portugal

CONTENTS

INVITED SPEAKERS

KEYNOTE SPEAKERS

THE IMPACT OF EMERGING COMPUTING MODELS ON ORGANIZATIONAL SOCIO-TECHNICAL SYSTEM <i>Ivan Ivanov</i>	IS-5
TOWARDS ENSURING ETERNAL CONNECTABILITY <i>Antonia Bertolino</i>	IS-7
DOMAIN MODELING – A LOST ART? <i>David Marca</i>	IS-11
FROM REQUIREMENTS TO CODE - A Full Model-Driven Development Perspective <i>Oscar Pastor</i>	IS-19

SOFTWARE ENGINEERING

FULL PAPERS

ON THE DISTRIBUTION OF SOURCE CODE FILE SIZES <i>Israel Herraiz, Daniel M. German and Ahmed E. Hassan</i>	5
COLLECTIVE SPECIFICATION AND VERIFICATION OF BEHAVIOR MODELS AND OBJECT-ORIENTED IMPLEMENTATIONS <i>Qing Yi, Jianwei Niu and Anitha R. Marneni</i>	15
JHYDE - THE JAVA HYBRID DEBUGGER <i>Christian Hermanns and Herbert Kuchen</i>	25
CTGE: AN EFFECTIVE CONSTRAINT-BASED TEST-CASE GENERATION ALGORITHM FOR DETECTING REGRESSION BUGS IN EVOLVING PROGRAMS <i>Anh D. Le, Tho T. Quan, Nguyen T. Huynh and Phung H. Nguyen</i>	36
GOAL DRIVEN ITERATIVE SOFTWARE PROJECT MANAGEMENT <i>Yves Wautelet and Manuel Kolp</i>	44
MODEL-DRIVEN DESIGN OF PERFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS WITH UML AND MARTE <i>Antonio García-Domínguez, Inmaculada Medina-Bulo and Mariano Marcos-Bárcena</i>	54
TYPE-FLOW ANALYSIS FOR LEGACY COBOL CODE <i>Alvise Spanò, Michele Bugliesi and Agostino Cortesi</i>	64
A MODEL-BASED REPOSITORY FOR OPEN SOURCE SERVICE AND COMPONENT INTEGRATION <i>Rodrigo García-Carmona, Félix Cuadrado, Juan C. Dueñas and Álvaro Navas</i>	76
CLIENT-TIER VALIDATION OF DYNAMIC WEB APPLICATIONS <i>Hideo Tanida, Masahiro Fujita, Mukul Prasad and Sreeranga P. Rajan</i>	86

SHORT PAPERS

KNOWING SOFTWARE ENGINEER'S PERSONALITY TO IMPROVE SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT	99
<i>Luis G. Martínez, Juan R. Castro, Guillermo Licea, Antonio Rodríguez-Díaz and Carlos F. Alvarez</i>	
FEASIBLE DYNAMIC RECONFIGURATIONS OF PETRI NETS - Application to a Production System	105
<i>Mohamed Khalgui, Olfa Mosbahi, Jiafeng Zhang, Zhiwu Li and Atef Gharbi</i>	
ALIGNMENT OF OPEN SOURCE TOOLS WITH THE NEW ISO 25010 STANDARD - Focus on Maintainability	111
<i>Emanuel Irrazábal, Javier Garzás and Esperanza Marcos</i>	
PROCESS FRAMEWORK FOR EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT - Solving of Emergency Situations by Way of Business Processes	117
<i>Tomáš Ludík and Jaroslav Ráček</i>	
BEYOND DESIGN PATTERNS - Improving Software Design with Pluggable Units	123
<i>Fernando Barros</i>	
HURDLES IN MULTI-LANGUAGE REFACTORING OF HIBERNATE APPLICATIONS	129
<i>Hagen Schink, Martin Kuhlemann, Gunter Saake and Ralf Lämmel</i>	
INTER-MODEL CONSISTENCY BETWEEN UML STATE MACHINE AND SEQUENCE MODELS	135
<i>Yoshiyuki Shinkawa</i>	
OPERATIONALIZATION OF LEARNING SCENARIOS ON EXISTENT LEARNING MANAGEMENT SYSTEMS - The Moodle Case-study	143
<i>Ayamen Abedmouleh, Pierre Laforcade, Lahcen Oubahssi and Christophe Choquet</i>	
PROPERTY DRIVEN PROGRAM SLICING REFINEMENT	149
<i>Sukriti Bhattacharya and Agostino Cortesi</i>	
UNRESTRICTED AND DISJOINT OPERATIONS OVER MULTI-STACK VISIBLY PUSHDOWN LANGUAGES	156
<i>Stefan D. Bruda and Tawhid Bin Waez</i>	
MULTI-PLATFORM MODEL-DRIVEN SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT OF WEB APPLICATIONS	162
<i>Ulrich Wolfgang</i>	
DETECTING EXECUTION AND HTML ERRORS IN ASP.NET WEB APPLICATIONS	172
<i>Mehmet Erdal Özkımacı and Aysu Betin Can</i>	
AN IDENTIFICATION METHOD OF RELATED GROUP THREADS FOR A RECENT BUG THREAD BY PEAK CHARACTERISTICS OF SIMILARITIES	179
<i>Yuuki Imanara, Kota Itakura, Masaki Samejima and Masanori Akiyoshi</i>	
SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT ASPECTS OF OUT-OF-CORE DATA MANAGEMENT FOR PLANETARY TERRAIN	185
<i>Cody J. White, Sergiu M. Dascalu and Frederick C. Harris, Jr.</i>	
TEST GENERATION FROM BOUNDED ALGEBRAIC SPECIFICATIONS USING ALLOY	192
<i>Francisco Rebello de Andrade, João Pascoal Faria and Ana C. R. Paiva</i>	
FAILURE PREDICTION USING THE COX PROPORTIONAL HAZARD MODEL	201
<i>Pekka Abrahamsson, Ilenia Fronza and Jelena Vlasenko</i>	

A GENERIC API FOR THE INTEGRATION OF RBS IN AN ESB <i>David Haase, Karl-Heinz Krempels and Christoph Terwelp</i>	207
MODGRAPH - A Transformation Engine for EMF Model Transformations <i>Sabine Winetzhammer, Thomas Buchmann and Bernhard Westfechtel</i>	212
DYNAMIC LANGUAGES AS MODELING NOTATIONS IN MODEL DRIVEN ENGINEERING <i>Xiaoping Jia and Chris Jones</i>	220
BlueState - A Metamodel-based Execution Framework for UML State Machines <i>Alfredo Ortigosa and Carlos Rossi</i>	226
ASSISTING REFACTORING TOOL DEVELOPMENT THROUGH REFACTORING CHARACTERIZATION <i>Raúl Marticorena, Carlos López, Javier Pérez and Yania Crespo</i>	232
A BEHAVIORAL PERSPECTIVE IN META-MODELING <i>Säid Assar, Sana Damak Mallouli and Carine Souveyet</i>	238
MODEL DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT OF CONTEXT-AWARE SERVICES USING PARAMETERIZED TRANSFORMATION <i>Slimane Hammoudi</i>	244
MODELLING QUALITY ATTRIBUTES IN FEATURE MODELS IN SOFTWARE PRODUCT LINE ENGINEERING <i>Guoheng Zhang, Huilin Ye and Yuqing Lin</i>	249
POSTERS	
FROM SOFTWARE-AS-A-GOOD TO SAAS: CHALLENGES AND NEEDS - Developing a Tool supported Methodology for the Migration of Non-SaaS Applications to SaaS <i>Leire Orue-Echevarria Arrieta, Juncal Alonso Ibarra, Jan Gottschick and Hannes Restel</i>	257
A FRAMEWORK FOR DEFINING SIMULATORS WITH WHICH TO TRAIN GLOBAL SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT <i>Miguel J. Monsor, Aurora Vizcaíno and Mario Piattini</i>	261
LESSONS LEARNED IN APPLYING MDE TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF HOME AUTOMATION SYSTEMS <i>Francisca Rosique, Pedro Sánchez, Manuel Jiménez and Diego Alonso</i>	265
A SYSTEMATIC LITERATURE REVIEW ON SOFTWARE PRODUCT LINE QUALITY <i>Carmen Moraga, M^a Ángeles Moraga, Marcela Genero and Mario Piattini</i>	269
COMPARISON OF SURFACE DATA - Exploring Real Samples Similarity for the Modelling of Engraving <i>Jana Hájková and Jakub Kotásek</i>	273
AGENT-BASED FAULT MANAGEMENT OF EMBEDDED CONTROL SYSTEMS <i>Atef Gharbi, Mohamed Khalgui, Jiafeng Zhang and Samir Ben Ahmed</i>	277
ALIGNMENT OF MEASUREMENT AND BUSINESS GOALS - A Systematic Literature Review <i>Belen Blasco, Marcela Genero and Mario Piattini</i>	281
FORMAL MODELING OF BEHAVIORAL PROPERTIES TO SUPPORT CORRECT BY DESIGN PUBLISH/SUBSCRIBE ARCHITECTURAL STYLES <i>Ikkbel Krichen, Imen Loulou and Ahmed Hadj Kacem</i>	286

AN IMPLEMENTATION FRAMEWORK FOR COMPONENT-BASED APPLICATIONS WITH
REAL-TIME CONSTRAINTS - Extensions for Achieving Component Distribution 290
Francisco Sánchez-Ledesma, Juan A. Pastor, Diego Alonso and Francisca Rosique

ENERGY AWARENESS NEEDS A RETHINKING IN SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT 294
Hagen Höpfner and Christian Bunse

DEPIVOT – A SOFTWARE PACKAGE TO DESIGN AND EVALUATE CENTER-PIVOT
SYSTEMS 298
M. I. Valín, M. R. Cameira, C. Pedras, P. R. Teodoro, J. M Gonçalves and L. S. Pereira

COOPERATION OF CPU AND GPU PROGRAMS FOR REAL-TIME 3D MAP BUILDING 302
*Yonghyun Jo, Hanyoung Jang, Yeonho Kim, Joon-Kee Cho, Hyoung-Ki Lee, Young Ik Eom and
JungHyun Han*

QUALITY OF TRANSFORMATIONS PROVIDING INTEROPERABILITY IN SOFTWARE
ARCHITECTURE MODEL-DRIVEN DEVELOPMENT 305
Liliana Dobrica

KNOWLEDGE-BASED SYSTEMS

FULL PAPERS

POSSIBILISTIC METHODOLOGY FOR THE EVALUATION OF CLASSIFICATION
ALGORITHMS 313
Olgierd Hryniewicz

MINING INFLUENCE RULES OUT OF ONTOLOGIES 323
Barbara Furletti and Franco Turini

THE CLASSIFICATION OF TIME SERIES UNDER THE INFLUENCE OF SCALED NOISE 334
P. Kroha and K. Kröber

RECOMMENDING DOCUMENTS VIA KNOWLEDGE FLOW-BASED GROUP
RECOMMENDATION 341
Chin-Hui Lai, Duen-Ren Liu and Ya-Ting Chen

SHORT PAPERS

MODELING AWARENESS OF AGENTS USING POLICIES 353
Amir Talaei-Khoei, Pradeep Ray, Nandan Parameswaran and Ghassan Beydoun

A HYBRID CLASSIFIER WITH GENETIC WEIGHTING 359
Benjamín Moreno-Montiel and Renè MacKinney-Romero

A NEW METHOD FOR LEARNING THE SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINES 365
Catalina-Lucia Cocianu, Luminita State and Panayiotis Vlamos

AN EMBODIED CONVERSATIONAL AGENT FOR COUNSELLING ABORIGINES - Mr.
Warnanggal 371
Manolya Kavakli, Tarashankar Rudra and Manning Li

TOWARDS KNOWLEDGE MANAGEMENT IN SPORTS EVENT MANAGEMENT - Context
Analysis of Malaysian Biannual Games with CommonKADS 377
Azizul Rahman Abdul Ghaffar, Ghassan Beydoun, Jun Shen and Will Tibben

A METAHEURISTICS BASED SIMULATION TOOL TO OPTIMIZE DEMAND RESPONSIVE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS <i>Eneko Osaba, Pablo Fernandez, Roberto Carballedo and Asier Perallos</i>	384
INCONSISTENCY-TOLERANT ELIMINATIONS OF INTEGRITY VIOLATIONS <i>Hendrik Decker</i>	390
DOMAIN ONTOLOGY GENERATION USING LMF STANDARDIZED DICTIONARY STRUCTURE <i>Feten Baccar Ben Amar, Bilel Gargouri and Abdelmajid Ben Hamadou</i>	396
A SEMANTIC APPROACH TO THE EXTRACTION OF FEATURE TERMS <i>Manuela Angioni and Franco Tuveri</i>	402
A SITUATION-DEPENDENT SCENARIO GENERATION FRAMEWORK FOR PROJECT MANAGEMENT SKILL-UP SIMULATOR <i>Koichi Iwai, Masanori Akiyoshi, Masaki Samejima and Hiroshi Morihisa</i>	408
POSTERS	
METHOD FOR AN AUTOMATIC GENERATION OF A SEMANTIC-LEVEL CONTEXTUAL TRANSLATIONAL DICTIONARY <i>Dmitry Kan</i>	415
COMPARATION OF OWL ONTOLOGIES REASONERS - Testing Cases with Pellet and Jena <i>José R. Hilera, Luis Fernández-Sanz and Adela Díez</i>	419
AN ONTOLOGICAL APPROACH FOR THE ACTIVITY IN AN AUTOMATIC CONTROL FACULTY <i>Liliana Dobrica, Alexandra Suzana Cernian and Anca Bertesteanu</i>	423
AUTHOR INDEX	427

ALIGNMENT OF MEASUREMENT AND BUSINESS GOALS

A Systematic Literature Review

Belen Blasco, Marcela Genero and Mario Piattini

*ALARCOS Research Group, Department of Information Technologies and Languages
University of Castilla-la Mancha, Paseo de la Universidad 4, Ciudad Real, Spain
{Belen.Blasco, Marcela.Genero, Mario.Piattini}@uclm.es*

Keywords: Measurement, Business goals, Systematic literature review.

Abstract: Organizations are currently concerned about the importance of establishing software measurement programs. They do not, however, obtain all the benefit expected from them. This is, in some cases, owing to the lack of alignment between these measurement programs and organizations' business goals. The objective of this paper is to attempt to identify all existing works concerning the alignment of measurement programs and business goals, with the aim of identifying future work lines. This has been done by carrying out a systematic literature review that provides 26 primary studies, found in six digital libraries until January 2010. These studies were classified according to: the technique or techniques used in them, whether they propose a measurement method or also include a list of measures, the existence of support tools, and the validation of the proposal. After analyzing these papers, we discovered that the techniques most frequently used are GQM and BSC, and also that the majority of the papers propose a measurement method and are validated with real experiences.

1 INTRODUCTION

The motivation of this paper is to study the current situation with regard to the alignment of measurement and business goals in software development organizations, with the aim of identifying future research lines in this field. We therefore decided to carry out a systematic literature review (SLR) (Kitchenham and Charters, 2007), which would allow us to identify all the papers published in a systematic and reproducible manner. The SLR-Tool (Fernandez-Saez et al., 2010) was used to support the different stages of the review process.

This paper is structured as follows: Section 2 describes the activities carried out in the planning and execution of the SLR, and also presents the results obtained. Finally, Section 3 presents our conclusions and future work.

2 DESCRIPTION OF SLR

The following sub-sections describe the different steps performed to carry out the SLR, considering the guidelines proposed in (Kitchenham and Charters, 2007).

2.1 Planning the Review

This SLR was developed with the aim of discovering all the relevant information concerning the alignment of measurement programs with business goals. This objective led us to develop a series of questions that we hope answer with the results of this research (see Table 1).

Table 1: Research questions.

Research question
RQ1. Which techniques or methods to align measurement and business goals are used?
RQ2. What are the proposals?
RQ3. Are the proposals validated in any way?
RQ4. Are the proposed techniques supported with a tool?

The searches were made in the following electronic sources: IEEE, ACM, Scopus, Science Direct, Springer Link, and Wiley Interscience.

Other papers were provided by experts (the last two authors of this article). These papers were considered as "grey literature", as is suggested in (Kitchenham and Charters, 2007).

The next step was to identify the search string. This was done by identifying the major terms and their synonyms or related words and combining them using the logic operators "OR" and "AND".

The string obtained is as follows:

(measure or measurement or metric) and (business or organization or company) and (goal or need or requirement or strategy)) and software.

The search was carried out in the title, abstract and keywords, when the sources had this facility. Otherwise, the search was carried out in the full text.

The following inclusion and exclusion criteria were defined in order to facilitate the selection of primary studies:

- Papers can only be written in English or Spanish.
- Papers cannot belong to other research fields such as robotics or systems control.
- Abstracts, conference summaries, or documents that are not accessible are not included.
- Those papers that do not match the search string in the title key words, abstract, or full text, cannot be included as primary studies.

We also defined some guidelines were used to avoid problems concerning the repetition of papers.

In order to answer the research questions identified in Table 1 we have considered four dimensions: technique used, type of proposal, validation method, and existence of a tool.

The values for each dimension are listed below:

- Technique used (a paper may use several techniques):
 - BSC (Balanced Score Card), (Kaplan and Norton, 1996)
 - GQM (Goal, Question, Metric), (Basili et al., 1994), (Basili and Weiss, 1984)
 - AMI (Assess, Analyze, Metricate, Improve), (Pulford and Kuntzmann-Combelles, 1996)
 - KPI (Key Performance Indicators), (Parmenter, 2007)
 - Cobit (Control Objectives for Information and related Technology), (Information Systems Audit and Control Association 2007)
 - CMM (Capability Maturity Model) (Paulk et al., 1995)
 - GDM (Goal Driven Measurement), (Park, 1996)
 - No determined technique
- Type of proposal: measurement method, list of metrics and related goals.
- Validation method: only proposal, example, and real experience.
- Existence of a tool: Yes, No.

2.2 Execution of the SLR

This systematic literature review was carried out until January 2010. Table 2 shows statistics concerning those papers that were found and accepted through the different steps of the review execution.

The primary studies obtained have been organized by the search source in which they were found. The full list of papers is presented in Appendix 1. There is also included a short view of the classification.

Table 2: Distribution of papers per source.

Source	Found	Repeated	Ex. 1 st	Ex. 2 nd	Total
Grey literature	7	4	0	0	3
SCOPUS	95	21	70	4	0
ACM	8	0	8	0	0
IEEE Computer	98	1	56	25	16
Science Direct	69	0	36	28	5
SpringerLink	6	0	3	2	1
Wiley InterScience	69	0	67	1	1
Total	352	26	240	60	26

2.3 Results Obtained

The results are structured on the basis of the research questions stated above. The data extracted from the papers reviewed was analyzed both quantitatively and qualitatively to answer the research questions.

2.3.1 RQ1. Techniques Used

The first research question consisted of identifying which existent techniques are used or adapted to relate both fields. We also wished to identify any new technique or method.

We found that most of the papers use or adapt BSC, GQM or both together. This is because BSC is a tool with which to identify business goals that is very well known in business management, and GQM allows software measurement to be planned and implemented. The alignment of software measurement and business goals can thus be achieved by combining these two techniques.

In “No determined technique” we have included those primary studies that do not use an existing technique and do not propose a new one, but nevertheless provide guidelines to define a new measurement program or to define business goals.

The most valuable proposal for us is GQM+ [EP-1, EP-2 and EP-3]. This is an adaptation of

GMQ designed to take into account business goals. However, from our point of view this technique is not yet complete, since it is centered on the passage from business goals to measurement goals but does not explain issues such as measurement execution and the presentation of results.

2.3.2 RQ2. Type of Proposal

The next step was to discover what they proposed. In this case, we distinguished between papers that centered on explaining a measurement process (16 studies, 62%), and those that are centered on the measures instead of the process or proposed a predefined list of goals and their related measures (10 studies, 38%).

2.3.3 RQ3. Validation Method

The number of primary studies that present case studies is greater than those that present imaginary examples, and practically double the number of simple proposals.

2.3.4 RQ4. Existing Tools

The last research question made reference to the existence of support tools for the techniques mentioned in the primary studies. There is only a 15% of studies (4 studies) that mention a support tool.

2.3.5 Other Results

This review has allowed us to obtain other conclusions, and is not limited solely to the knowledge provided by the primary studies. An example is the maturity of the research filed according to the kind of publications found. The percentage of papers published in conferences is greater than the number of papers published in journals (50% of conference papers, 42% of journal papers), and only 8% are presented in workshops. We did not find any other kinds of document, such as books or book sections.

3 CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

This paper presents an analysis of the state of the art in the alignment of measurement programs and business goals that was obtained by carrying out an SLR. Between October 2009 and January 2010 we carried out a systematic literature review, obtaining

26 primary studies. These papers were classified according to four different dimensions. In the first we considered the techniques used to carry out the measurement. Most of the studies used GQM, BSC or a mixture of both. With regard to the second dimension (the kind of proposal presented in the study) it was observed that 62% of the studies presented a measurement method, in comparison to 32% of metric-centered studies. With regard to the validation of proposes, 58% were supported by real cases. Finally, only 15% of the methods mentioned have a support tool. We have reached the conclusion that this research is extremely important to companies, there being a high percentage (58%) that presented case studies and real experiences.

Moreover, the technique that best aligns the measurement with business goals is GQM +, proposed in studies [EP-1, EP-2 and EP-3]. However, other papers also suggest interesting aspects to consider. One possible line for future work might therefore be to attempt to unify all the proposals into a single technique for measuring programs aligned with business goals. Having defined this new technique, the following step would be the development of a tool to support it.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This research has been funded by the following projects: MEDUSAS (CDTI-MICINN and FEDER IDI-20090557), ORIGIN (CDTI-MICINN and FEDER IDI-2010043 (1-5)), PEGASO/MAGO (MICINN and FEDER, TIN2009-13718-C02-01), EECCOO (MICINN TRA2009_0074) MECCA (JCOMM PII2109-0075-8394) and IMPACTUM (PEII 11-0330-4414).

REFERENCES

- Basili, V., Caldiera, G., and Rombach, D. (1994). *The Goal Question Metrics Approach*. Wiley.
- Basili, V., and Weiss, D. (1984). A Methodology for Collecting Valid Software Engineering Data. *IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering*, 10(3), 728-738.
- Fernandez-Saez, A. M., Genero, M., and Romero, F. P. (2010). SLR-Tool: A Tool for performing systematic literature reviews. *The 5th International Conference on Software and Data Technologies* (pp. 157-166).
- Information Systems Audit and Control Association. (2007). *Control Objectives for Information and Related Technology*, 4.1 th Edition. IT Governance Institute.

Kaplan, S., and Norton, P. (1996). Using the Balanced Scorecard as a Strategic Management System. *Harvard Business Review*, 76.

Kitchenham, B., and Charters, S. (2007). Guidelines for Performing Systematic Literature Reviews in Software Engineering. *EBSE-2007-01*, Keele University

Park, R. E. (1996). *Goal-Driven Software Measurement: A Guidebook*. CMU/SEI-96-HB-002

Parmenter, D. (2007). *Key Performance Indicators*. John Wiley and Sons.

Paulk, M. C., Weber, C. V., Curtis, B., and Chrissis, M. B. (1995). *The Capability Maturity Model: Guidelines for Improving the Software Process*. Addison Wesley.

Pulford, K., and Kuntzmann-Combelles, A. (1996). *A Quantitative Approach to Software management: The AMI handbook*.

APPENDIX

1. List and Classification of primary studies

Table 3 shows the classification of each primary study, with a brief comment on each proposal. Classification column is codified as follow: Techniques; Propose (M=Method, L=List); Validation (P=Proposal, E=Example, R = Real experience); Tool (Y=yes, N=no).

Table 3: Classification of the primary studies.

Id	Reference	Classif.	Comments
EP 1	Basili, V., Heidrich, J., Lindvall, M., Munch, J., Regardie, M., Trendowicz, A.: Brinding the Gap Between Business Strategy and Software Development. <i>International Conference on Information Systems (2007)</i>	GQM; M; E; N	Very detailed example of a new method called GQM+
EP 2	Basili, V., Heidrich, J., Lindvall, M., Munch, J., Regardie, M., Trendowicz, A.: Determining the Impact of Business Strategies Using Principles from Goal-Oriented Measurement. <i>Wirtschaftsinformatik (2009)</i>	GQM; M; E; N	Detailed description of GQM+. This is a method for defining measurement programs taking into account business goals.
EP 3	Barthel, H., Heidrich, J., Munch, J., Trendowicz, A.: GQM+ Strategies: Experiences from Industrial Case Studies and Visualization Needs. <i>International Software Engineering Research Network (2009)</i>	GQM; M; R; Y	Real application of GQM+ and description of its support tool.
EP 4	Xiaodong Guo, Li Meng: Organization Application Oriented Software Process Measurement Model. <i>International Symposium on Computer Science and Computational Technology (2008)</i>	GDM; M; P; N	Integrated measurement process model and application algorithm.
EP 5	Kulic, P.: A Practical Approach to Software Metrics. <i>IT Pro Software Development, Volume 2 (1), pp. 38-42 (2000)</i>	None; L; P; N	List the steps needed to define a good measurement program. It doesn't explain how to link business goals and measures.
EP 6	Patton, J.: Ambiguous Business Value Harms Software Products. <i>IEEE Software, Volume 25 (1), pp. 50-51 (2008)</i>	None; M; P; N	Gives some guidelines for identify measures from business goals.
EP 7	Becker, S. A., Bostelman, M. L.: Aligning Strategic and Project Measurement Systems. <i>IEEE Software, Volume 16 (3), pp. 46-51 (1999)</i>	BSC, GQM; M; R; N	Applies GQM, but separating goals depending on the perspective of BSC they belong.
EP 8	Offen, R., J.; Jeffery, R.: Establishing Software Measurement Programs. <i>IEEE Software, Volume 14 (2), pp. 45-53 (1997)</i>	GQM; M; R; N	Uses the definition of business goals by expert meetings, and after defines GQM measurement goals.
EP 9	Vardangalos, G; Pantelis, A.: A Performance System Based on the Balanced Scorecard Approach for Measuring Performance in a Business Environment. <i>International Symposium on Computers and Communications (2000)</i>	BSC, QGM, KPI; M; P; Y	Combines BSC, KPI and PSM, but the paper doesn't specify how to change from one to another.
EP 10	Van Grembergen, W.: Aligning Business and Information Technology through the Balanced Scorecard at a Major Canadian Financial Group: its Status Measured with an IT BSC Maturity Model. <i>Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (2001)</i>	BSC; L; R; N	Adaptation of BSC for IT. Each perspective is translated into predefined measures. It can be breaking down in levels, and it also includes maturity levels.
EP 11	Briand, L. C., Morasca, S., Basili, V. R.: An Operational Process for Goal-Driven Definition of Measures. <i>IEEE Transactions on Software Engineering, Volume 28 (12), pp. 1106-1125 (2002)</i>	GQM; M; R; N	The pass from business goals to measurement goals is done thanks to the knowledge of company experts
EP 12	Ki-won Song, Soo-Hwan Lee, Young-Gyun Jang, Il-Seok Suh, Jin-Soo Kim: Framework for Quantitative S/W Development Performance Measurement and Analysis in Semiconductor Industry. <i>International Conference on Convergence and Hybrid Information Technology (2008)</i>	GQM, KPI; L; R; N	List of measures.
EP 13	Yan Xu, Chung-Hsing Yeh: Evaluating Critical Strategies for Enterprise Resource Planning Systems Implementation. <i>International Symposium on Electronic Commerce and Security (2009)</i>	BSC; L; R; N	Proposes a list of goals and strategies and an algorithm to calculate the aggregated value.
EP 14	Jahankhani, H., Ekeigwe, J.: Adaptation of the balanced scorecard model to the IT functions. <i>International Conference on Information Technology and Applications (2005)</i>	BSC; M; P; N	Explain how to apply BSC to IT. It does not explicitly how to align business goals and measurement.

Table 3: Classification of the primary studies (cont.).

EP 15	Van Grembergen, W., Amelinckx, I.: Measuring and Managing E-business Projects through the Balanced Scorecard. Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (2002)	BSC; L; P; N	Proposes a generic BSC for e-business. It includes the list of metrics that results of the application of the technique.
EP 16	List, B., Bruckner, R., Kapaun, J.: Holistic Software Process Performance Measurement From the Stakeholders' Perspective. International Workshop on Database and expert Systems Applications (2005)	KPI; L; R; N	Framework for defining measures for process measurement that considers the perspective of each stakeholder.
EP 17	Oinas, A.: Defining Goal-driven Fault Management Metrics in a Real World Environment: A Case-Study from Nokia. Conference on Software Maintenance and Reengineering (2000)	GQM, AMI; M; R; N	Application of a combination of GQM and AMI at Nokia.
EP 18	Kopanas, V., Sylaidis, V., Nanakis, I.: GQM-based Improvement of Embedded, Real-time Software Development Practices. International Workshop on Software Technology and Engineering Practice (1997)	GQM, AMI; M; R; N	Application of a combination of GQM and AMI at a company.
EP 19	Van Grembergen, W., De Haes, S., Van Brempt, H.: Prioritising and Linking Business and IT Goals in the Financial Sector. Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences (2007)	Cobit; L; P; N	Proposes a guide with a cascade of business goals, IT goals and IT processes, based on Cobit.
EP 20	Martinsons, M., Davison, R., Tse, D.: The balanced scorecard: a foundation for the strategic management of information systems. Decision Support Systems, Volume 25, pp. 71-88(1999)	BSC; L; R; N	Framework for the evaluation of IT and IS base don BSC.
EP 21	Roche, J., Jackson, M.: Software measurement methods: recipes for success? Information and Software Technology Volume 36 (3), pp. 173-189 (1994)	None; M; P; N	Review of several measurement methods.
EP 22	Aversano, L., Bodhuin, T., Canfora, G., Tortorella, M.: Technology-driven business evolution. Journal of Systems and Software, Volume 79, pp. 314-338 (2006)	BSC, GQM; L; R; Y	In the measurement section it applies GQM using goals identified with BSC.
EP 23	Trienekens, J., Kusters, R., Rendering, B., Stokla, K.: Business-oriented process improvement: practices and experiences at Thales Naval The Netherlands (TNNL). Information and Software Technology, Volume 45, pp. 67-79 (2005)	GQM; M; R; Y	Proposes a framework for process improvement, where a very important part is the alignment of business goals.
EP 24	Lawrence Pfleeger, S.: Maturity, Models, and Goals: How to Build a Metrics Plan. Journal of Systems and Software, Volume 31, pp- 143-155 (1995)	GQM; M; R; N	Presents an example of how to apply GQM taking into account business goals.
EP 25	Kuntzmann-Combelles, A.: Quantitative Approach to Software Process Improvement. Symposium on Software Quality Techniques and Acquisition Criteria on Software Quality Techniques and Acquisition Criteria (1995)	AMI, CMM; M; R; N	Describes AMI and how it has been applied together with CMM in an industrial environment.
EP 26	Savioja, E., Tukiainen, M.: Measurement Practices in Financial Software Industry. Software Process Improvement and Practice, Volume 12 (6), pp. 585-595 (2007)	BSC; L; R; N	Example of how to apply a measurement program. It shows the obtained measures.